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 بــنـعــتـه الــصـالــحـا ت . . .
و الــسلام عـلـى رسـولـنــا الــكـيـم مـعـلـمـنـا و ومـعـلـم الأمـة


 جـانــــنــا ود عمـو نــا خــالال مـسـيـرتـنـنـا
 ونـوجـه شـكرنــا الــخـا ص الــى رئـــــــا






 جـو اعـدة و الأسـتـا ذ شـحـدة ز الا هـد
 د ععمـو نــا خـلال مـسـيـرتــنــا الـــتـعــلـيـمـة





 د د ا مـنــة . . .
و شـكـرنــا الــخـا ص لــلأهـل و الأصـدقــا وقــوفـهم الــى جــانــــنــا ود ودمـنــا عـلـى طول
 شكر أ لــكم جمـيــاً لـكم مـنــ كل الـمـحبـة و الاحـتـر ام . . . اه هـد اء مـحمــد أبـو حـمـيــد
 وزعت الأمـل رغم يــأسي يـا أعذب الــنـاس فـي قـــبـي ... لــك يــا أغـلـى أب (عبــ

 ...

 ...
 و أضيـع بـيـن دروب الـظلام كـنـتـي الـشمعـة الــتـي أهـسك بـهـا لأضيء طريـــي .. . لــك

 لــكم يـا مـن كـبـرنـا مـــاً وكـبـرت أحـلامـنـا و آمـالـنــا وذكـريــاتـنـا تـحيـا فـي الـعقـل
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 فـي الـحقـيـتــة لا أعـرف مـا أصبـحو ا بــالــنسبـة لـي .... أهم الأصـدقـاء أم هم


(لملخص

مرض السكري من الامر اض المزمنة التي تواجه الانسان ومع مرور الزمن ربما يصـاحبه مضاعفات ناتجة عن المرض، و هو مرض قرحة القدم السكرية و هو مرض خطر الا يعالج في بداياته، و هذا المرض ينتج بسبب ضعف سريان الدم او اعنلال في الاعصـاب الطرفية و هؤلاء المرضىى يحتاجون الى عناية خاصـة بأقدا وامهـم. لذا قمنا بجمع المعلومات والأفكار حول مرض قرحة الققم السكرية لإفادة هؤ لاء المرضىى، فكانت الفكرة الأساسية أن نقوم بتصميم أداة نقوم على الكثف المبكر لمرض قر المرحة القدم السكرية وذللك من خلال طريقتين:

 التقام بالعمر وتتأثر بازدياد الوزن ومدة الإصـابة بمرض السكري، وأن قيمة الجهـ الناتجة تتأثرّر فكانت في حالة قرحة القدم السكرية بالنسبة للأشخاص السليمين تتراوح ما بين (0.99-19.2)، وفي حالة المصابين بمرض السكري بالنسبة للأشخاص السليمين تتر اوح ما بين (30.7-64.1).

أما الثانية وهي عن طريق وضع مجسات في اماكن محددة داخل ضبانة ويقوم المريض بالضغط على هذه الضبانة عن طريق الوقوف عليها فقط فتقوم المجسات باستشعار الضغط الناتج من القام على الضبانة ومن خلال قيمة الضغط الناتجة يتم معرفة إذا كان المريض مصـاب بمرض القدم السكرية ام لا وقد تم استنتاج أن الضخوط في المناطق الأربعة يمكن ترنيبها على النحو التاللي: أن الضنغط في منطقة الكعب يكون أعلى من باقي المناطق ومن ثم منطقة الأصبع الكبير ومن ثم منطقة الوسادة ومن ثم منطّقة القدم الجانبية. وأن المناطق الأكثر عرضة للإصـابة بمرض قرحة القدم السكرية هي منطقة الأصبع الكبير ومنطقة الوسادة.

## Abstract

Diabetes is one of the chronic diseases that a person faces with the passage of time, it may be accompany by complications resulting from the disease, which is a
Content Page
diabetic foot ulcer disease and it is a serious disease that may lead to amputation of the foot if it was not treated at its beginning. In addition, these patients need special care for their feet.

Therefore, we collected information and ideas about diabetic ulcer disease to benefit these patients. Therefore, the basic idea was to design a tool based on early detection of diabetic foot ulcer disease through two methods: The first is the electrical signal of the foot muscle where diabetes or diabetic foot ulcer affects. This resulting signal is in terms of the shape or value of the output voltage. After that, it was concluded that the electrical signal of the muscle is affected with age and is affected by the increase in weight and the duration of diabetes, and that the value of the resulting effort is affected and was in the case of diabetic foot ulcers. In the case of foot ulcers in the ratio between (19.2-0.99), and in the case of diabetes for healthy people, it occurs ratio between (64.1-30.7).The shape of the signal changes and appears differently apparently when displayed, whether it is the signal of a diabetic foot ulcer patient, a diabetic patient, or a healthy person.

The second is by placing sensors in specific places inside the insole and the patient presses this insole by standing on it only. The sensors sense the pressure from the foot on the insole and through the resulting pressure value it is known if the patient has diabetic foot ulcer or not.
It has been concluded that the pressure in the four areas can be arranged as follows: that the pressure in the heel area is higher than the rest of the areas and then the big toe area and then the pad area and then the side foot area. The area's most prone to developing diabetic ulcer disease are the big toe and the pad area.
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## Chapter One:

## Introduction

### 1.1 Overview.

### 1.2 Project Motivation

1.3 Project Objectives.

### 1.4 Literature Review.

### 1.5 Time plan.

### 1.6 Project Cost.

### 1.1 Overview :

Our project aims to design a device that helps in the early detection of diabetic foot ulcer disease, which is considered a serious disease in patients with diabetes, which may lead to amputation of the foot.

Where the device will early detection of the disease in two ways:
The first is where a set of sensors are placed in the foot in specific places that measure the pressure caused by the foot and through these pressures the disease is detected.
Second: it is by taking the EMG signal for the foot and then find the signal produced from the foot by the specific electrodes placed in the foot and then displayed on the screen and know the status of the foot.

### 1.2 Project Motivation:

Diabetes is one of the most common chronic diseases worldwide. the International Federation of Diabetes (IFA) President Petra Wilson confirmed that diabetes rates have risen significantly around the world, which requires urgent intervention to reduce its brutality, adding that the number of diabetics in the world has reached about 415 million people in 2015 , as the number of people with diabetes in the statistics of the Ministry of Health for 2017 in the West Bank 6313 are distributed by sex on 2792 males at a rate of 213 per 100,000 population and 3521 females at 279.6 per 100,000 population.
There is a close relationship between amputation and diabetes, where global statistics talk about the procedure of amputation every 30 seconds somewhere in the world, and that $6 \_8$ of every 1000 patients with diabetes may lose the lower limb due to carelessness in the foot care, and there is a clear relationship between The incidence of coronary atherosclerosis and peripheral disease and the length of the duration of diabetes, the patient with the disease for more than 10 years more susceptible to atherosclerosis than the injury or injury for 5 years, where the incidence of atherosclerosis more than $45 \%$ in patients with diabetes for More than 20 years old, the infection has been discovered Diabetic foot disease caused by complications of diabetes, and this disease leads to amputation of the foot because of symptoms such as gangrene in the foot, and for diabetic foot ulcer disease, the rate of infection is more than six times in patients with diabetes for 20 years or more, compared to those who did not And the risk of recurrence of diabetes mellitus is up to $60 \%$ of these patients.

### 1.3 Project objective:

$\checkmark$ Early detection of the disease of foot ulcer diabetes.
$\checkmark$ Design and implementation of medical tools can detect early the disease of foot ulcers.
$\checkmark$ Design the EMG circuit resulting from the foot to know the relationship between normal person, the patient of diabetic disease and patient of foot ulcer diabetes.

### 1.4 Literature Review:

The development of miniature, lightweight, and energy efficient circuit solutions for healthcare sensor applications is an increasingly important research focus given the rapid technological advances in healthcare monitoring equipment, micro fabrication processes and wireless communication. One area that has attracted considerable attention by researchers in
biomedical and sport related applications is the analysis of foot plantar pressure distributions to reveal the interface pressure between the foot plantar surface and the shoe sole. Typical applications are footwear design [1], and diagnosing disease [2], based on this researches it is clear that technique capable of accurately and efficiently measuring foot pressure are crucial to further developments.

### 1.5 Time Plan:

The Table 1.1 shows the activities that done in the project, and the time of each one.
Table 1.1: Activities planning.

| Wetivities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obtaining <br> Required <br> components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System <br> Design |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recording <br> analysis and <br> conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results <br> analysis and <br> conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Documentation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1.6 Project Cost:

The following table shows the cost of electronic parts that required to implementation of our project.

Table 1.2: Project cost.

| Component | Cost JD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Operational amplifiers <br> Resistors , Potentiometers <br> Connectors. | $10 \cdot \mathrm{JD}$ |
| 8-Flexi-force sensor | $1 \varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{JD}$ |
| LCD display, Arduino. | $9 \cdot \mathrm{JD}$ |
| LM7805CT,LM7905CT | $1 \cdot \mathrm{JD}$ |
| Outer cover "case" | $1 \cdots \cdot \mathrm{JD}$ |
| Total |  |

### 2.1 Foot Installation

The man and the foot are the source of the movement of the human person, the "loco motor system", and the presence of any defect in its anatomical structure or its functions that leads to the so-called motor disability and the human's failure to exercise the movement in completely or in part.

### 2.1.1 Foot definition:-

The foot is an intricate part of the body, consisting of 26 bones, 33 joints, 107 ligaments, and $r$. muscles. Where the bones and joints in the feet experience wear and tear, so conditions that cause damage to the foot can directly affect its health.

### 2.1.2 Foot bones and anatomy:-

The human foot consists of 26 bones. These bones fall into three groups: the tarsal bones, metatarsal bones, and phalanges.


Fig.2.1: Foot bones and anatomy

### 2.1.3 Muscles:-

Twenty muscles give the foot its shape, support and the ability to move. The main muscles of the foot are:

- The tibilias posterior, which supports the foot's arch.
- The tibilias anterior, which allows the foot to move upward.
- The tibilias peroneal, which controls movement on the outside of the ankle.
- The extensors, which help raise the toes, making it possible to take a step.
- The flexors, which help stabilize the toes.


### 2.1.4 Tarsal bones:-

The tarsal bones are a group of seven bones that make up the rear section of the foot

## * Tarsal bones include-

- The talus or anklebone: The talus is the bone at the top of the foot. It connects with the tibia and fibula bones of the lower leg.
- The calcaneus or heel bone: The calcaneus is largest of the tarsal bones. It sits below the talus and plays an essential role in supporting body weight.
- The tarsals: These five bones form the arch of the midfoot. They are the medial, intermediate, and lateral cuneiforms, the cuboid, and the navicular.


## * Phalanges:-

The phalanges are the bones in the toes. The second to fifth toes each contain three phalanges, From the back of the foot to the front, doctors call them the proximal, middle, and distal phalanges, the big toe or hallux contains only two phalanges, which are proximal and distal, the metatarsal phalangeal joints are the joints between the metatarsals and the proximal phalanx of each toe. These joints form the ball of the foot, the first metatarsal phalangeal joint sits in line with the big toe. It is a common area for foot pain and other problems.

### 2.1.5 Joints:-

Joints in the feet are form wherever two or more of these bones meet. Except for the big toe, each of the toes has three joints, which include:
Metatarsophalangeal joint (MCP) - the joint at the base of the toe Proximal interphalangeal joint (PIP) - the joint in the middle of the toe Distal phalangeal joint (DP) - the joint closest to the tip of the toe.

### 2.1.6 Tendons and Ligaments:-

Many tendons attach the muscles to the bones and ligaments that hold the bones together to maintain the foot's arch.
The main tendon of the foot is the Achilles tendon, which runs from the calf muscle to the heel. The Achilles tendon makes it possible to run, jump, climb stairs and stand on your toes.
The main ligaments of the foot are:

- Plantar fascia - the longest ligament of the foot. The ligament, which runs along the sole of the foot, from the heel to the toes, forms the arch. By stretching and contracting, the plantar fascia helps us balance and gives the foot strength for walking.
- Plantar calcaneonavicular ligament - a ligament of the sole of the foot that connects the calcaneus and navicular and supports the head of the talus.
- calcaneocuboid ligament - the ligament that connects the calcaneus and the tarsal bones and helps the plantar fascia support the arch of the foot.[1]


## Y. 2 Diabetes: -

### 2.2.1 Introduction:-

Diabetes and diabetes is one of the most common chronic diseases worldwide. The International Federation of Diabetes (IFA) President Petra Wilson confirmed that diabetes rates have risen significantly around the world, which requires urgent intervention to reduce its brutality, adding that the number of diabetics in the world has reached about 415 million people in 2015, as the number of people with diabetes in the statistics of the Ministry of Health for 2017 in the West Bank 6313 distributed by sex on 2792 male rate of incidence of 213 per 100,000 population, and 3521 females at 279.6 per 100,000 population, It is divided into two types: the first type is autoimmune disease The immune system attacks and destroys beta cells responsible for the production of insulin in the pancreas. The second type is the most common type of diabetes among people, accounting for between 90 and 95 percent of all patients with diabetes. Diabetes, usually, by age, obesity, heredity and history of the medical family with the disease, personal medical record (cases of gestational diabetes, for example). [2]

### 2.2.2 Diabetes definition:-

Diabetes is the condition in which the body does not properly process food for use as energy. Most of the food we eat is turned into glucose, or sugar, for our bodies to use for energy. The pancreas, an organ that lies near the stomach, makes a hormone called insulin to help glucose get into the cells of our bodies. When you have diabetes, your body either does not make enough insulin or cannot use its own insulin as well as it should. This causes sugars to build up in your blood. This is why many people refer to diabetes as "sugar." Diabetes can cause serious health complications including heart disease, blindness, kidney failure, and lower-extremity amputations. Diabetes is the seventh leading cause of death in the United States.

## *Type 1 diabetes:-

Previously called insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) or juvenile onset diabetes, may account for 5 percent to 10 percent of all diagnosed cases of diabetes. Risk factors less well defined for Type 1 diabetes than for Type 2 diabetes, but autoimmune, genetic, and environmental factors are involved in the development of this type of diabetes.

## Type 1 Diabetes Symptoms:-

The initial symptoms of type 1 diabetes often occur suddenly and are very serious. As insulin production decreases, glucose accumulates in the bloodstream instead of being transported into the body's cells, which need it for energy. To generate this missing energy, the body breaks down fat tissue and releases fatty acids. These fatty acids are then metabolized into toxic chemicals called ketones, which increase the blood's acidity to dangerous levels (a state called ketoacidosis).
The initial symptoms of diabetic ketoacidosis include excessive thirst and urination, dehydration, weight loss, nausea, vomiting, fatigue, dry or flushed skin, rapid breathing, abdominal pain, and mental confusion.

## *Type 2 diabetes:-

Previously called non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) or adult-onset diabetes. Type 2 diabetes may account for about 90 percent to 95 percent of all diagnosed cases of diabetes. Risk factors for Type 2 diabetes include older age, obesity, and family history of diabetes, prior history of gestational diabetes, impaired glucose tolerance, physical inactivity, and race/ethnicity [3]

## Type 2 Diabetes Symptoms:-

Type 2 diabetes develops gradually over many years and the initial symptoms may be almost unnoticeable. In fact, many people find out that they have type 2 diabetes when a routine laboratory test shows high blood glucose levels. As glucose levels continue to increase, most people develop the classic initial symptoms:
Increased frequency of urination, increased thirst and fluid intake and, in later stages, weight loss despite increased hunger and food intake fortunately, these symptoms go away once blood glucose levels brought under control.
Other common symptoms of type 2 diabetes include blurred vision (due to changing levels of glucose in the eye), weakness and fatigue, recurrent vaginal yeast infections, and infections of the skin and gums. These symptoms are temporary, do not indicate any permanent damage, and can be eliminated by controlling blood glucose levels.
In some people, complications of diabetes such as peripheral neuropathy (nerve damage in the hands or feet) or coronary heart disease are the first indication of diabetes. These complications can controlled but cannot cured once they develop.

Another possible initial symptom of diabetes is hyperosmolar nonketotic syndrome. It occurs when the stress of an injury or major illness, such as a stroke, heart attack, or severe infection, causes extremely high blood glucose levels (above $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ). Although insulin levels are adequate to avert excessive ketone production (as occurs in ketoacidosis), the insulin levels are not high enough to prevent high blood glucose and hyperosmolarity, a condition in which the blood has high concentrations of sodium, glucose, and other molecules that draw water from cells into the bloodstream.[4]

Table 2.1: Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot complications.

$\left.$| Type of complications | lesions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type1 diabetic foot complication |  |
| (infective) |  |$\quad$| We get gangrene abscess necrotizing |
| :---: |
| fasciitis etc. | \right\rvert\,

### 2.3 Foot ulcer:-

Ulceration of the foot in diabetes is common and disabling and frequently leads to amputation of the leg. Mortality is high and healed ulcers often recur. The pathogenesis of foot ulceration is complex, clinical presentation variable, and management requires early expert assessment. Interventions should directed at infection, peripheral ischemia, and abnormal pressure loading caused by peripheral neuropathy and limited joint mobility. Despite treatment, ulcers readily become chronic wounds. Diabetic foot ulcers have neglected in health-care research and planning, and clinical practice based more on opinion than scientific fact. Furthermore, the pathological processes poorly understood and poorly taught and communication between the many specialties involved is disjointed and insensitive to the needs of patients [5]

### 2.3.1 Definition Diabetic Foot Ulcer:-

Is as a foot affected by ulceration that associated with neuropathy and/or peripheral arterial disease of the lower limb in a patient with diabetes the classical triad of DFU is neuropathy, ischemia, and infection. Impaired metabolic mechanisms in DM increased the risk of infection and poor wound healing. It happens due to series of mechanisms which include decreased cell and growth factor response, diminished peripheral blood flow and decreased local angiogenesis. So, the feet influenced by damage to peripheral nerves, the peripheral vascular disease, ulcerations, deformities, and gangrene. [6][7]

### 2.3.2 Foot infection:-

Infection in a diabetic foot is a limb threatening condition because the consequences of deep infection in a diabetic foot are more disastrous than elsewhere mainly because of certain anatomical peculiarities. The foot has several compartments, which are inter-communicating and the infection can spread from one into another, and lack of pain allows the patient to continue ambulation further facilitating the spread. The foot also has soft tissues, which cannot resist infection, like plantar Apo neurosis, tendons, muscle sheaths, and fascia. A combination of neuropathy, ischemia, and hyperglycemia worsens the situation by reducing the defense mechanism.

### 2.3.3 Osteomyelitis:-

Osteomyelitis generally results from a contiguous spread of deep soft tissue infection through the cortex to the bone marrow. A majority of deep, longstanding foot infections are associated with osteomyelitis. Diagnosing osteomyelitis in a patient with diabetic foot is often difficult. Major problems include differentiating soft tissue infection from bone infection and infections from non-infectious disorders (Charcot Foot). Plain radiography usually shows focal osteopenia, cortical erosions or periosteal reaction in the early stage and sequestration in the late stage. A simple clinical test is probing to the bone. A sterile metal probe is inserted into the ulcer if it penetrates to the bone it almost confirms the diagnosis of osteomyelitis. Chronic discharging sinus and sausage-like appearance of the toe are the clinical markers of osteomyelitis. Definitive diagnosis requires obtaining a bone biopsy for microbial culture and histopathology. [8]

### 2.3.4 Classification:-

Diabetic foot is classify into two major types.

- The Neuropathic Foot where neuropathy dominates
- The Neuroischemic Foot, where occlusive vascular disease is the main factor, although neuropathy is present.

Neuropathy leads to fissures, bullae, neuropathic (Charcot) joint, neuropathic edema, and digital necrosis. Ischemia leads to pain at rest, ulceration on foot margins, digital necrosis, and gangrene. Differentiating between these entities is essential because their complications are different and they require different therapeutic strategies.
The University of Texas Wound Classification System is the most acceptable classification.
Table2.2: Wound classification system:-

| Stages | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stage A | No infection present |
| Stage B | Infection present |
| Stage C | Ischemia present |
| Stage D | Infection and ischemia present |
| Grading | ------------------------------- |
| Grade 0 | Epithelialized wound |
| Grade 1 | Superficial wound |
| Grade 2 | Wound penetrates to tendon or capsule |
| Grade 3 | Wound penetrates to bone or joint |

### 2.3.5 The risk of major amputation of diabetic:-

This figures show that (Figure 2.2-2.4):-

- Patient with non-healing ulcer of 5 months over forefoot that got infected showing abscess and gangrene. This is Amit Jain's type 3 diabetic foot complication.


Fig.2.2: The risk of major amputation of diabetic (state1)

- The above patient subjected initially to Tran's metatarsal amputation. As per Amit Jain's scoring, his score was ulcer $2+$ gangrene $2+$ pus $4+$ cellulitis $6+$ gas up to midfoot $2=$ 16 , which renders the patient to high risk for major amputation.


Fig.2.3: The risk of major amputation of diabetic (state2)


Fig.2.4: The risk of major amputation of diabetic (state3)

In Fig (2.2-2.4): patient who actually ended up in major amputation with infected stump postoperatively [9]:

### 2.4 Electromyography (EMG):-

Surface electromyography (SEMG) is the non-invasive recording of electrical muscle activity that used to diagnose neuromuscular disorders, among other applications.
Muscle fibers are activated by motor neurons and the resulting electrical signals produced by the muscle. Most SEMG signals have a frequency content ranging from zero to 500 Hz , with dominant [19].

## 2. ${ }^{\circ}$ Electromyography (EMG) Physiology:-

Muscle contraction and relaxation controlled by the central nervous system. The nervous system sends a signal through a motor neuron to a grouping of muscle cells, called fibers. That grouping of muscle cells, and the motor neuron that innervates them, is a motor unit - A basic building block of the neuromuscular system, the smallest functional part of muscle tissue. The signal of the motor neuron causes a chemical reaction that changes the membrane potential of muscle fibers. If the threshold potential reached a motor unit action potential (MUAP) occurs, causing the electrical activation to spread along the entire surface of the muscle fiber at a rate of approximately $3-5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.


Fig.2.5: is an image of a motor neuron connected to a group of muscle fibers.

## Chapter Three:

## Theoretical Background

### 3.1 Introduction

The development of miniature, lightweight, and energy efficient circuit solutions for healthcare sensor applications is an increasingly important research focus given the rapid technological advances in healthcare monitoring equipment, micro fabrication processes and wireless communication. One area that has attracted considerable attention by researchers in biomedical and sport related applications is the analysis of foot plantar pressure distributions to reveal the interface pressure between the foot plantar surface and the shoe sole. Typical applications are footwear design [10], sports performance analysis and injury prevention [11], improvement in balance control [12], and diagnosing disease [13]. More recently innovative applications have also been made to human identification [14], biometric [15], monitoring posture allocation [16] and rehabilitation support systems [17-19]. Based on this research it is clear that techniques capable of accurately and efficiently measuring foot pressure are crucial to further developments. The plantar pressure systems available on the market or in research laboratories vary in sensor configuration to meet different application requirements. Typically, the configuration is one of three types: pressure distribution platforms, imaging technologies with sophisticated image processing software and in-shoe systems. In designing plantar pressure measurement devices the key requirements are spatial resolution, sampling frequency, accuracy, sensitivity and calibration [20].
In-shoe foot plantar sensors have paved the way to better efficiency, flexibility, mobility and reduced cost measurement systems. For the system to be mobile and wearable for monitoring activities of daily life.
This review will first summarize the existing methods for measuring foot plantar pressure and the advantages and disadvantages of a range of commercial pressure sensors used in published research.

### 3.2 Needs for Plantar Pressure Measurement:-

Feet provide the primary surface of interaction with the environment during locomotion. Thus, it is important to diagnose foot problems at an early stage for injury prevention, risk management and general wellbeing.
With regard to applications involving disease diagnosis, many researchers have focused on foot ulceration problems due to diabetes that can result in excessive foot plantar pressures in specific areas under the foot. Diabetes is now considered an epidemic and, according to some reports, the number of affected patients is expected to increase from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 [21]. Improvement in balance is considered important both in sports and biomedical applications. Notable applications in sport are soccer balance training [22] and forefoot loading during running [23]. With respect to healthcare, pressure distributions can be relate to gait instability in the elderly and other balance impaired individuals and foot plantar pressure information can used for improving balance in the elderly.

### 3.3 Foot Plantar Pressure Measurement Environments

There are varieties of plantar pressure measurement systems but in general, they can be classify into one of two types: platform systems and in-shoe systems.

## 1. Platform Systems:-

Platform systems are construct from a flat, rigid array of pressure sensing elements arranged in a matrix configuration and embedded in the floor to allow normal gait. Platform systems can used for both static and dynamic studies but are generally restricted to research laboratories. One advantage is that a platform is easy to use because it is stationary and flat but has the disadvantage that the patient requires familiarization to ensure natural gait. Furthermore, it is important for the foot to contact the center of the sensing area for an accurate reading [24]. Limitations include space, indoor measurement, and patient's ability to make contact with the platform, Figures 1 and 2 show a platform-based sensor [25, 26].


Figure 3.1. A platform-based foot plantar pressure sensor (static)


Figure 3.2. A platform based foot plantar pressure sensor (dynamic)

## 2. Insole Systems:-

In-shoe sensors are flexible and embedded in the shoe such that measurements reflect the interface between the foot and the shoe. The system is flexible making it portable, which allows a wider variety of studies with different gait tasks, footwear designs, and terrains [24].


Figure 3. 3. An in-shoe based foot plantar pressure sensor (uniform)


Figure 3.4. An in-shoe based foot plantar pressure sensor

They are highly recommended [20,24] for studying orthotics and footwear, design but there is the possibility of the sensor slipping. Sensors should be suitably secure to prevent slippage and ensure reliable results. A further limitation is that the spatial resolution of the data is low compare to platform systems due to fewer sensors [20, 24]. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate in-shoe based systems [25, 27].

### 3.4 Requirement of Foot Plantar Sensors:-

In taking any biomechanical measurements, devices must be optimize for the specific application to ensure that readings are accurate. Detailed analysis must be thoroughly undertaken prior to any measurements and for foot plantar system two main considerations must met the target implementation requirements and the sensor requirements.

## 1. Target Implementation Requirements:-

Real-time measurement of natural gait parameters requires that sensors should be mobile, untethered, can be placed in the shoe sole, and can sample effectively in the target environment. The main requirements of such sensors are as follows:
(1) Very Mobile: To make a sensor mobile, it must be light and of small overall size [28, 29], the suggested shoe mounted device should be 300 g or less.
(2) Limited Cabling: A foot plantar system should have limited wiring, wireless is ideal. This is to ensure comfortable, safe and natural gait [29].
(3) Shoe and Sensor Placement: To be located in the shoe sole the sensor must be thin, flexible [30] and light [28]. It is report that a shoe attachment of mass 300 g or less does not affect gait significantly [28]. Shu et al. [31] mentioned that the sole of foot can be divided into 15 areas: heel (area 1-3), midfoot (area 4-5), metatarsal (area 6-10), and toe (area 11-15), as
illustrated in Figure 5. These areas support most of the body weight and are adjust by the body's balance; therefore, ideally the 15 sensors are necessary to cover most of the body weight changes based on the Figure 5 anatomy.
(4) Low Cost: The sensor must be affordable for general application [29] to benefit from inexpensive, mass-produced electronics components combined with novel sensor solutions.
(5) Low Power Consumption: It should exhibit low power consumption such that energy from a small battery is sufficient for collecting and recording the required data.


Figure 3.5. Foot anatomical areas

## 2. Plantar Pressure Sensor Requirements:-

The key specifications for sensor performance include linearity, hysteresis, sensing size, and pressure range and temperature sensitivity [28, 30, and 32]. Brief discussion of these is important as a basis for the selection of a sensor for specific applications.
(1) Hysteresis: Hysteresis can be determined by observing the output signal when the sensor is loaded and unloaded. When the applied pressure is increase by loading or decreased by unloading, two different, responses are observe (Figures 6 and 7).


Figure 3.6. Hysteresis caused by loading and unloading a pressure sensor usually measured at the $50 \%$ pressure range.


Figure 3.7. Negligible hysteresis of MEMS-based pressure sensor
(2)Linearity: The response of the sensor to the applied pressure, when plotted, will show the linearity figure of merit, i.e., how straight the plotted line is. Linearity indicates how simple or complicated the signal processing circuitry will be, a linear response requires very simple signal processing circuitry and vice versa, a linear pressure sensor is, therefore, preferred.
(3)Temperature Sensitivity: Sensors may produce different pressure readings as the ambient temperature changes. This may be due to the materials that are part of the sensor body as they respond differently to temperature change. A sensor with low temperature sensitivity in the $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range is preferred [30].
(4)Pressure Range: The pressure range is the key specification for a pressure sensor. As different applications require different operating pressures, application-specific sensor development is normally adoptee in the design. Maximum pressure is the upper limit that the pressure sensor can measure and vice versa. It is also important to note that burst pressure is the maximum pressure that the sensor can withstand before breakage as opposed to maximum pressure. Foot plantar pressure values of up to $1,900 \mathrm{kPa}$ are typically report in the literature but an extreme pressure of up to three MPa has been document by Urry [32]. One of the foot plantar pressure sensor designs considers 3 MPa as burst pressure value, for comparison when a healthy person of 75 kg body mass is standing on only one forefoot, if pressure is evenly distributed, the interfacial pressure for every $31.2 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ foot plantar area approximates 2.3 MPa [33].
(5)Sensing Area of the Sensor: Size and placement of the sensor are also critical, as shown in Figure 8. As a large sensor may underestimate the peak pressure and it is suggested that a minimum sensor of $5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ should be used, whereas sensors smaller than this must be designed as array sensors.
(6)Operating Frequency: It recommended [32] that to measure foot plantar pressure precisely for running activities the sensors must be capable of sampling at 200 Hz . This frequency is generally consider sufficient for sampling most everyday gait activities.
(7)Creep and Repeatability: Creep is the deformation of material under elevated temperature and static stress. It directly relates to the time dependent permanent deformation of materials when subjected to a constant load or stress [34], as in Figure 9. Low creep sensors are one of the key requirements in foot pressure measurement. Repeatability refers to the ability to produce reliable result even after long period of time [30]. High cyclic loads may cause deformation or fatigue [34]. Repeatability problems can be eliminate if the sensor exhibits no creep or deformation over repetitive or high cyclic loads.


Figure 3.8. Effect of sensor sizing and placement.


Figure 3.9. Example of erroneous readings due to sensor creep of Insole. The curve is the error reading by the sensor and plotted line is the correct pressure values.

### 3.5 Commercial Foot Plantar Pressure Measurement Sensors:-

There are several pressure sensors available on the market. Such sensor technologies utilize capacitive sensors, resistive sensors, piezoelectric sensors and piezoresistive sensors. These sensors provide electrical signal output (either voltage or current) that is proportional to the measured pressure. The required key specifications for a pressure sensor in terms of sensor performance include linearity, hysteresis, and temperature sensitivity, sensing size and pressure range. The most common pressure sensors are capacitive sensors, resistive sensors, piezoelectric sensor and piezoresistive sensor.

## 1. Capacitive Sensors:-

The sensor consists of two conductive electrically charged plates separated by a dielectric elastic layer. Once a pressure is applied the dielectric elastic layer bends, which shortens the distance between the two plates resulting in a voltage change proportional to the applied pressure [20, 32]. Figure 10 shows the capacitive sensor construction.


Figure 3.10. Capacitive pressure sensor construction

## 2. Resistive Sensors:-

Force-Sensing Resistor (FSR) is a good example of the resistive sensor. When pressure is apply, the sensor measures the resistance of conductive foam between two electrodes. The current through the resistive sensor increases as the conductive layer changes (i.e., decreases resistance) under pressure. FSRs are made of a conductive polymer that changes resistance with force, applying force causes conductive particles to touch increasing the current through the sensors [20, 32]. Figure 11 shows the resistive sensor construction.


Figure 3.11. Resistive pressure sensor construction

## 3. Piezoelectric Sensors:-

The sensor produces an electric field (voltage) in response to pressure. Piezoelectric devices have high impedance and therefore susceptible to excessive electrical interference that leads to an unacceptable signal-to-noise ratio.
The most suitable material for clinically oriented body pressure measurement is polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) because it is flexible, thin and deformable [20, 32]. Figure 12 shows the piezoelectric sensor construction.


Figure 3.12. Piezoelectric pressure sensor construction

## 4. Piezoresistive Sensors:-

This sensor is made of semiconductor material. In Piezoresistive material, the bulk resistivity is influence by the force or pressure applied, when the sensor is unloaded resistivity is high and when force is apply resistance decreases [20]. Figure 13 shows the piezoresistive sensor construction. When there is pressure on the piezoelectric element (quartz crystal), it produces electric charges from its surface. These charges create voltage proportional to the applied force.


Figure 3.13. Piezoresistive pressure sensor construction
The requirements of the pressure sensor for the specific application are low hysteresis, linearity of output, and pressure range [28, 30, and 32]. A recommended pressure range for gait analysis (walking) is approximately $1,000 \mathrm{kPa}$ [32] but for sports, the pressure range should be larger due to the nature of the movements. There are a number of commercially available foot-pressure sensors on the market but they generally do not fulfil the requirements of many biomechanical applications due to specification and performance limitations. The limitations include but not limited to, the specified hysteresis [30], pressure span and physical sensor dimensions [35].
Comparison with traditional foot plantar pressure sensors such as capacitive sensors, resistive sensors, piezoelectric sensors and piezoresistive sensors the MEMS pressure sensors have many advantages. For example, easy communication with electrical elements in semiconductor chips, small size, lower power consumption, low cost, increased reliability and higher precision. To provide a better alternative developments of a specifically designed miniature foot pressure sensor based on MEMS technology have been explore. In response to the needs of such sensors, successfully designed, fabricated and tested a miniature foot pressure sensor based on MEMS technology that can be insert in the insole of a shoe [36]. As significant performance enhancements have been, achieve, for example, the sensor is small, has high-pressure range measurement capability, and excellent linearity both at low and high pressures and possesses negligible hysteresis.
Currently available in-shoe pressure sensor parameters are compare to Wahab et al. [36] in Table 1. Sensors from Vista Medical, Novel and Tekscan show some performance limitations as they are made of sheets of polymer or elastomer leading to issues such as repeatability, hysteresis, creep and non-linearity of the sensor output [30]. In addition to the above limitations, some sensors (e.g., Parotic) have limited pressure range and relatively large dimensions. Figure 14 demonstrates the linearity of the MEMS based pressure sensor and the fabricated sensor as displayed in Figure 15.

Table 3.1. Commercially available in-shoe pressure sensors compared to Wahab et al. sensor[31].

|  | Vista Medical <br> [44] | Tekscan <br> [26] | Novel <br> [24] | Parotec <br> $[45]$ | Textile Sensor <br> [30] | Wahab et al. <br> [43] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor Size | 2 mm thick | 0.15 mm thick | 1.9 mm thick | $\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ <br> (hydrocell) | Not Specified | 2 mm thick |
| Number of <br> Sensor | 128 (in shoe) | 960 (insole) | 99 (insole) | 24 (insole) | 6 (insole) | 15 (insole) |
| Range (kPa) <br> Frequency <br> (Hz) | 260 | 1,034 | 1,200 | 625 | 800 | 3,000 |
| Hysteresis Specified | 500 | Not Specified | 250 | 100 | 200 |  |



Figure 3.14. Graph demonstrating linear output voltage vs. pressure relationship [33].


Figure 3.15. Picture of fabricated sensors [32].

### 3.6 Recent Trends in Foot Plantar Pressure Measurement:-

Trends in biomedical monitoring are toward using real-time and in-situ measurement of normal daily life parameters to keep pace with a fast-changing and demanding scientific environment. Gait analysis researchers are focusing on designing systems for uninterrupted measurement of real life parameters, which is important in understanding the effect of daily activities on health. The ideal system to achieve this would be mobile, un-tethered, placed in the shoe sole and able to measure effectively in the targeted environment.
As early as the 1990s, Zhu et al. [37] developed a system for measuring the pressure distribution beneath the foot using seven force-sensitive resistors (FSR) and they used it to differentiate pressure between walking and shuffling [38]. In 1995, Hausdorff et al. [39] built a footswitch system capable of detecting temporal gait parameters using two FSR sensors. Later, in 1997, Cleveland Medical Devices Inc. [40] created an in-shoe wireless system, which could measure time of foot contact, the weight on each foot and the Centre of pressure (COP) of each foot. The system used a set of thick-film force sensors and since then there has been further development of in-shoe pressure sensor systems. In this paper, the focus is on the current development of the system.

### 3.6.1 Wired Systems Application:-

Over the past two years, there has been increasing interest in developing in-shoe foot plantar pressure systems and recently there have been applications to plantar pressure using both wired and wireless systems. In 2011, a paper employed dynamic plantar pressure for human
identification using a Flexi Force (Tekscan, USA) in-sole pressure sensor [14]. They compared the pressure at different positions of key points then identified and classified them using a support vector machine (SVM) running on a PC. The system uses wire to transfer data from the sensor to a data acquisition card on a PC (Figure 16) and it is reporter that the system has $96 \%$ identification accuracy.
Yamakawa et al. [15] also proposed their own biometric identification in-shoe system based on both feet pressure change and reported that the system could recognize over $90 \%$ of the test subjects. The system used F-scan (Nitta Corp, Japan) as the pressure sensor (see Figure 17). Another innovative application is an in-shoe system to measure triaxial stress in high-heeled shoes [41]. The paper investigated the distribution of contact pressure and sheer stress simultaneously in high-heeled shoes utilizing five in-shoe triaxial force transducers commercialized by Anhui June Sport, China.


Figure 3.16. Identification based on dynamic plantar pressure in-shoe system


Figure 3.17. Biometric identification based on foot pressure pattern changes
Shear stresses can cause blisters, callosities and trophic ulcers. The size of transducer is $17 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $18 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and has $870-\mathrm{kPa}$ full-scale pressure range. In the system the transducer in mounted under the hallux, the first, second and fourth metatarsal heads as well as the heel as shown in Figure 18. As can be seen from the figure, peak sheer stress occurs at the second metatarsal; this type of information can be useful for future high-heeled shoe design.


Figure 3.18. Mounted transducer in high-heeled shoe
Work undertaken by Healy et al. [42] claimed that their in-shoe system has a better repeatability compared to other commercially available systems. The sensor is practically similar to F-Scan (Tekscan, USA) which uses resistive force sensor.
The system is name "WalkinSense" and consists of a data acquisition and processing unit and eight individual sensors. It appears that only the sensor part is their own development, the rest of the system is similar to F-Scan (Tekscan, USA) hardware and software. The location of the sensors is illustrate in Figure 19, whilst the WalkinSense ${ }^{\circledR}$ System shown in Figure 20.


Figure 3.20. WalkinSense system
Figure 3.19. WalkinSense sensor placement
All of the works described above [14, 15, 41, and 42] have a common feature that is wire to the processing unit or PC. All of them have certain benefits but for a wired system, the major limitation is application in everyday monitoring. The wired system may encumber the test subject causing trip hazards or even a fall, and it can affect the normal gait patterns. Therefore, it is recommend making the system mobile for everyday usage, and the system must adapt to a wireless system. Research presented in [41, 42] developed their own transducers but seems to have limited number of sensor placement. As mentioned in section IV, pressure recording from 15 locations is regard as ideal for gait analysis. Papers [14, 15] on the other hand used off-theshelf sensors.

### 3.6.2 General Wireless Systems Application:-

As mentioned earlier, there is a need for a system that can provide a wireless, real-time and reliable result to measure foot plantar pressure. There have been quite a few works undertaken in both research and commercial platforms that focused on developing a more mobile method of measuring foot pressure. Shoe based systems have been increasing, in terms of the number of
publications and commercial products, due to shrinking in size of sensors, processing unit communication device and data storage. Further, the obvious reason for its usefulness is that such a system could measure the pressure distribution directly beneath the foot.
The work undertaken by Bamberg et al. 2008 [28] from Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), had been mentioned in a large number of the papers that were reviewed. The main reason why
Bamberg et al. have received so much attention in the literature is that they had come up with arguably the most complete wireless in-shoe system for gait analysis to date. They called it Gait Shoe. In their system, the sensors included three orthogonal accelerometers, three orthogonal gyroscopes, four force sensors, two bidirectional bend sensors, two dynamic pressure sensors and electric field height sensors. The devices were capable of detecting heel-strike, estimating foot orientation and position and toe-off. The microcontroller (Silicon Laboratories), RF Monolithic (as the transceiver), antenna and power supply were also attached to the shoes. Figure 21 displays the Gait Shoe with all the hardware mounted on the shoes.
In 2009, Benocci et al. [43] from University of Bologna, Italy developed a wireless system for gait and posture analysis. The wearable system utilized 24 hydrocells (by Paromed) to measure the plantar pressure and inertial measurement unit (IMU) in each shoe insole. The IMU integrated a 3-axes accelerometer and a digital 3-axes gyroscope. To control the system, Texas Instrument MPS430 microcontroller was implement and Bluetooth acted as the transceiver. The collected data from the sensor allowed the user to recognize walking phases such as swing and stance, step and stride duration, double support and single duration.


Figure 3.21. Shoe-integrated wireless sensor system, Gait Shoe, showing all the hardware components.


Figure 3.22. A wireless systems for gait and posture analysis based on pressure insoles and inertial measurement units.

Ming Young Biomedical Corp., Taiwan published a state-of-the-art digital textile sensor for measuring gait analysis [44]. Four dome shaped sensors were kite on each sock. The dome shape sensors were able to record spatio-temporal plantar pressure patterns, which used to calculate the Centre of pressure (COP) excursions. Five-clip type sensors were sew to the pant to record lower
limb movement. The system was report to measure the duration of stride cycles and left/right steps, cadence, walking speed, and COP. The microcontroller (Texas Instrument MPS430) and Bluetooth were attached to the wearer's belt. Figure 23 portrays the digital textile sensors in action.


Figure 3.23. Wireless gait analysis system by digital textile sensors.
Shu et al. [31] developed an in-shoe plantar pressure measurement and analysis system based on fabric pressure sensing array in collaboration with Hong Kong Research Institute of Textiles and Apparel Ltd. The sensors used were te-xtile fabric sensor array, which is soft, light and has highpressure sensitivity. The sensors connected with a soft polymeric board through conductive yarns and integrated into the insole.
Sensors were attach to six locations in the insole, as shown in Figure 24. The microcontroller PIC18F452 and the Bluetooth module attached to the ankle of the patient. The system could Interface with desktop, laptop and smart phone and was able to calculate parameters such as mean pressure, peak pressure, COP and shift speed of COP. The results were present for both static and dynamic measurement conditions. Figure 25 shows the in-shoe plantar pressure measurement and analysis system based on fabric pressure sensing array.


Figure 3.24. Fabric pressure sensing array indicating sensor placement


Figure 3.25. In-shoe plantar pressure measurement and analysis system based on fabric pressure sensing array

The developments of wearable wireless sensor system for measuring foot plantar pressure have been encouraging. There is no doubt about their application potentials, especially the biomechanics communities. Nearly all use off-the-shelf sensors, microprocessors and wireless transmitters, so the product is bulky and not comfortable to wear by the patients. The digital textile sensors by Chang-Ming et al. [44] are small and flexible but it is wire to a Bluetooth based transmitter device at the belt. Both Benocci et al. [43] and Lin Shu et al. [31] used Bluetooth modules to attach to the ankle. Even more uncomfortable would be the system proposed by Bamberg et al. [28] where the whole sensor and wireless communication tools are attach to the top of the shoe. Although Bamberg et al. has developed the in-shoe gait analysis system but the system is not wearable for daily activities monitoring.

### 3.6.3 Major Application Areas:-

## *3.1. Rehabilitation Applications:-

Wireless foot plantar systems have been apply to a number of areas including rehabilitations, sports and daily life gait monitoring. For example, Crosbie and Nicol ;indicated that as part of rehabilitation procedure of patients with spinal cord injury and diabetes, it is quite useful to measure the efforts exerted by lower limbs such as plantar surface pressure/force distributions and the contact sensation with the ground. This information is essential in providing better rehabilitation strategies. Recent publications on wireless systems for rehabilitation applications include work by Neaga et al. [17] for monitoring the progressive loading of lower limb in posttraumatic rehabilitation, by Wada et al. [18] for rehabilitation support system and by Edgar et al. [19] on wearable shoe for rehabilitation of stroke patients. Neaga et al. used F-Scan ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Tekscan, USA) for the sensor, microcontroller based data acquisition and RF transceiver for wireless communication. The system indicates to the user excessive loading of the lower limb through LED indicators. Figure 26 presents the prototype shoe and the prototype hub. Wada et al. developed their system named "Gait Guide". The system used sensors units (gyro sensor, acceleration sensor, ultrasonic sensor and pressure sensor), a wireless module, an electronic tag to collect data from the shoe and display gait information. The "Gait Guide" could collect the gait information in the form of step length, step width and pressure. The gait information can used to design a specific rehabilitation program for a particular patient. The "Gait Guide" prototype is display in Figure 27. Edgar et al. [19] indicated that their system could classify patient's recovery from stroke posture. They claim that the system had $99 \%$ accuracy in the classification. The system applied a microcontroller (Texas Instrument, USA), a Bluetooth
module (Roving Network, USA), accelerometer sensor and in-sole pressure sensor. Figure 28 shows components of the developed prototype.


Figure 3.26. Neaga et al. microcontroller board and shoe prototype


Figure 3.27. "Gait Guide" shoe prototype


Figure 3.28. Edgar et al. shoe prototype.
These published researches [17-19] are all wireless, and all are design to assist patients with mobility problems. Figures 26-28, however, indicate that these bulky electronics may not be suitable for monitoring recovery in post-traumatic patients. Another point worth noting is that
these three systems used commercial foot plantar pressure sensors, and the limitations of commercial sensors have been highlight.

## 4 Sport Applications:-

Another application that relies on a wireless system is sport application. Noteworthy mentions are research by Salpavaara et al. [45] and Holleczek et al. [46]. These two papers employed innovative new sensors for their application using custom made laminated capacitive sensor matrix and textile pressure sensors respectively. Salpavaara et al. system can be utilize to monitor the timing and movement of the legs of the athlete during throwing, jumping and running in various sports events. The obtained data can used for improving sports coaching. They opt for javelin throwing for their case study. In their case study they conclude that the timing of the steps, support and release phase has a great importance in the performance and the pace of steps should increase towards the end of the throw event. In their system, they employ a capacitance-to-digital converter (Analog Device, USA), microcontroller (Atmel, USA) and a Zigbee-compliant radio. Figure 29 shows the five sensors placement. Holleczek et al. developed "SnowPro", a wearable sport trainer, capable of supporting snowboarders in improving their skill. The system is able to analyze the dynamics of the weight distribution inside the boots. This type of information is essential for identifying the wrong weight shifting techniques, which usually lead to painful crashes in snowboarding sport. The system gives feedback to the user in real-time or after the activity about user performance and support user during learning process. This system utilizes three integrated textile pressure sensors, six capacitance-to-digital converters and a Bluetooth module. Figure 30 displays the final design by Holleczek et al. [46].


Figure 3.29. The five sensors placement of Salpavaara et al. designed system

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.30. The three sensors placement of Holleczek et al. sensor sock designed. (a) Three sensors placement; and (b) wearable system

The obvious deficiency in the system is the number of sensors used. Salpavaara et al. [45] used five and Holleczek et al. [46] used only three. For many sport biomechanics applications, this number may not be adequate. Besides that, for sport applications the system should not obstruct the athlete's movement. From Figure 30(b) it is apparent that it is not very practical. This is because both systems utilized off-the-shelf equipment that is usually very bulky.

## *4.1. Other Wireless Systems Application:-

Other wireless in-shoe foot plantar pressure system that can be highlighted are those proposed by Saito et al. [47] and De Rossi et al. [48] which employ unique pressure sensors to measure plantar pressure during daily human activity. Saito et al. device consists of a shoe insole with seven pressure-sensitive conductive rubber (PSCR) sensors (Yokohama Image System, Japan), 10-bit analog-to-digital converter and a RF wireless transmission unit. Each (PSCR) sensor is about $15 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. In addition, can measure pressure in the range $25-250 \mathrm{kPa}$. The seven sensors are place at heel, lateral midfoot, great toe, head of the first metatarsal, Centre midfoot and Centre forefoot as portrayed in Figure 31. Figure 31 also displays the complete shoe with the power source, wireless transmitter and pressure measurement unit.


Figure 3.31. The seven sensors placement and the complete shoe. The box inlet is the power source, wireless transmitter and pressure measurement unit

Saito et al. [47] system has several benefit over the other system, namely they did not adopt a processing/microcontroller unit attached to the shoe ensuring the electronic circuitry is keep small, and their processing unit is at the receiving end of the system. This benefit also makes the power consumption lower compared to other systems, thus the system is capable of monitoring
up to 20 hours with changing power source. On the contrary, the sensor has limited pressure range; the maximum the transducer could sense is 250 kPa . A typical obese person can generate more than 500 kPa average peak pressure for both men and women [49] and for sport application for instance during triple jump it is reported that the maximum pressure can reach around 750 kPa to 1 MPa depends on the athletes [50].
De Rossi et al. [48] employed 64 silicone-covered opto-electronic pressure sensors array, four 16 -channel 14-bit analog-to-digital converters, a microcontroller and a Bluetooth module. The sensors have $12 \mathrm{~mm} \times 12 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ dimension, maximum loading of 500 kPa without damaging the sensor. Figure 32 shows the dimensions of the sensor. The transduction principle of the sensors is demonstrate in Figure 33. When a load is apply to the top face, the cover causes a deformation, and lowers silicone „curtain" which obstructs the light path from the LED to the photodiode. Therefore, lower the light from the LED receiving at the photodiode producing lower voltage at the output of the photodiode. Thus, it is inversely proportional the relationship between input force and output voltage. The sensors also show no significant static hysteresis. Figure 34 plots the force vs. output voltage characterization. Figure 35 depicts the complete insole pressure system and the system fitted inside a shoe.


Figure 3.32. De Rossi et al. sensor dimension.


Figure 3.33. Working principle of De Rossi et al. sensor.
Sensor Output (V)

Figure 3.34. De Rossi et al. sensor characterization: Force vs. Output Voltage.


Figure 3.35. De Rossi et al. insole sensor system and the system fitted inside a shoe.
Based on the information in reference [48] the sensor design by De Rossi et al. has a number of advantages. The advantages are the number of sensor placement nearly covers the whole surface of the foot, the bulky electronics board is well hide in the medial arch of the foot and the sensor has no significant hysteresis. On the flipside, the sensors has a bad linearity at low and high pressure which will require a more complex signal processing to ascertain a more accurate representation of the pressure. Another downside is that the sensor has limited life expectancy, the sensor will damage if a pressure exceeding one MPa is force on it. The housing for the electronic board is make out of thin PCB, which the authors mention that it is comfortable to wear.
From the review, there is one common limitation in most of these systems, which is the wireless transmitter/transceiver. If only the wireless transmitter/transceiver could be integrate and minimized the size, it could be insert inside the insole of the shoe with the entire sensor. This would make the shoe more wearable for daily life activities, and help with diagnosing foot problems.

### 3.7 Proposed Wireless DAQ Foot Plantar Pressure System:-

Based on the reviewed current in-shoe foot plantar systems, it seems there are some limitations that could be improve. One area of the improvement could be development in the wireless data acquisition (DAQ) for the in-shoe foot plantar pressure sensor system. So we propose to design and implement miniaturized insole, low power and wearable wireless system using customized MEMS sensors for measuring foot plantar pressure and interface it with wireless DAQ unit that can be also slotted in the in-sole of the shoe. The research work requires a systematic understanding of different types of wireless systems on chip, the requirement of MEMS pressure sensor for measuring foot plantar pressure and realistic scenarios for their implementation and the application. The MEMS pressure sensors have several advantages compared to others such as small in size, high-pressure range, linear and high reliability. The specific aim of the research is to design a wireless foot plantar pressure measurement system. The transmitter must be compatible with the MEMS sensor, meet the requirement of measuring foot plantar pressure analysis and wearable for in-shoe applications. The receiver should be suitable for interfacing with data logger, desktop or laptop for further data analysis. Figure 36 shows the block diagram of the proposed system.


Figure 3.36. Block diagram of the proposed system

A wireless DAQ-IC that can be insert in the insole of a shoe has been design and simulated [51]. The system architecture shown in Figure 37. In this design, the first task was to transmit data from only a single MEMS sensor. The layout design of the IC is display in Figure 38. The total chip size of the design including pads is about one $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$.


Figure 3.37. Block diagram of system design


Figure 3.38. The layout design with padding

For further improvement of the system, we added more features based on our earlier design. First, including an analog multiplexer (MUX) to ensure the single DAC chip can cater for all 15 sensors based on our initial block diagram. Second, after the inclusion of the MUX a controlling unit add to the design (Figure 39) to control the whole system design making it a smart system and finally, we integrated an on-chip antenna thus creating the whole wireless DAQ system in a single chip with only the addition of the power supply. The new proposed design is depict in Figure 39.


Figure 3.39. The new proposed system design block diagram.

### 3.8 Techniques for testing diabetic foot disease:

## 1. Sphygmomanometer test:-

This is the first test in the diagnosis of diabetic foot ulcer. Sphygmomanometer is the instrument used in the blood pressure measurement. It is composed of an inflatable cuff to restrict blood flow, and a mercury or mechanical manometer to measure the pressure. It is always use in conjunction with a means to determine at what pressure blood flow is just starting, and at what pressure it is unimpeded. Manual sphygmomanometers are uses in conjunction with a stethoscope. The systolic pressure and diastolic pressure can be measure using this device. Here we are taking only the systolic pressure. The systolic pressure of both right and left hand is measured and then the pressure in the foot ankle measured. The variation in the pressure is a prior indicator of abnormalities in foot.


Figure 3.40.Sphygmomanometer

## 2. Monofilament Test:-

In this simple yet sensitive evaluation, the monofilament, which is a piece of plastic fiber resembling fishing line, is touched against various parts of the sole of your foot, and your ability to feel it at varying pressure is assessed. It is sometimes call the 10 -gram monofilament test because the fiber is calibrate to bend to 10 grams of pressure. Your doctor may also use a tuning fork on the bottoms of your feet to see if you can sense the vibration. Nerve conduction studies or velocity tests, which use electrodes to stimulate nerves and then measure the resulting impulses, are a less frequently used, sophisticated method of diagnosing some neuropathies. Electromyography (EMG), which uses thin needles inserted into the muscles to measure electrical impulses, may also be prescribe. These latter two tests can be painful, and may not be order unless there is some question about the diagnosis. Therefore, monofilament test is an effective indicator of diabetic foot ulcer.


Figure 3.41. Monofilament Test

## 3. Doppler test:-

Doppler test is perform to check whether there is a blood blow in foot region. In this technique, a gel is make to spread on the foot area. Then the device is make to move on that area and the blow flow recognize by a sound and the region with no blood flow will not produce that sound.


Figure 3.42. Doppler Test
The above tests done normally to diabetics to check whether they had prone to diabetic foot ulcer. In our project, we are introducing a new technique for the early detection of diabetic foot ulcer using pressure sensors.

## 4. Sensitometer Vibration Pressure Threshold:-

Vibration Pressure Threshold technique is used to measure the sense of vibration in foot. Here in this technique a vibration given to the above-mentioned six areas of foot. The threshold value is the vibration in which the patient can feel. This is an indication of sensational loss in foot.


Figure 3.43. Sensitometer Vibration Pressure Threshold

## Chapter Four:

## Design and Implementation

### 4.1 Design Principles:

This project aims to design a circuit, which can measure forces in a specific area in foot to detect diabetic foot ulcer. This can be achieved by using specific type of force sensor called "Flexi-Force"- A401", and A502. In addition, there is a system to measure EMG signal.
According to foot surface area, 8 sensors needed for acquiring the pressure from foot surface that are responsible for generating it.
The Flexi-Force sensors separate to four sections:
1- Heel sensor: we use Flexi-Force sensor A502.
2- Toe sensor: we use Flexi-Force sensor A401.
3- Pad sensors: that separate to 3 areas: MT1, MT2\&MT3 that all of them we will used Flexi-Force sensor A401.
4- Side foot sensors: that separate to 3 areas: $\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2 \& \mathrm{~T} 3$ that all of them we will used FlexiForce sensor A401.

We will put the 8 sensors in insole (shown in figure 4.1) and the places of the sensors position distribution are shown in the Figure 4.2.


Figure 4.1: insole


Figure 4.2: sensors positions in insole

### 4.2 Force Sensor Specifications:

Flexi-Force act as a force-sensing resistor in electrical circuit that if there is no load on the sensor the resistance it will be very high and the resistance decreases when applied force on the sensor.
Flexi-Force sensor constructed from two layers substrate such as polyester Filmso it is safe. On each layer, a conductive material (silver) applied, followed by a layer of pressure sensitive area. The active sensing areas defined by the silver circle on top of the pressure sensitive area. Silver
extends from the sensing area to the connectors at the other end of the sensor, forming the conductive leads.
"Flexi-Force" - A401: this sensor has chosen because it with a large sensing area: $\mathbf{2 5 . 4} \mathbf{~ m m ~ ( 1 ~}$ in.) and measurement ranges of $0-1 \mathrm{lb}$ and $0-7,000 \mathrm{lb}$ are achievable with the A 401 sensor by utilizing the recommended circuitry. It shown in figure 4.3. The force range can extended by reducing the drive voltage, VT (the input voltage to the sensor), or the resistance value of the feedback resistor, RF. Conversely, the sensitivity can increased for measurement of lower forces by increasing VT or RF. See to appendix $A$.
"Flexi-Force" - A502: this sensor has chosen because it with a large sensing area: $50.8 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 50.8 mm ( $2 \mathrm{in}$.x 2 in .). It shown in figure 4.4. This sensor can measure up to $44,448 \mathrm{~N}(10,000$ lb). See to appendix B.
We can notes the difference between the two sensors in figure 4.3 and 4.4, also the shape of "Flexi-Force" - A502 is more suitable for the heel than the "Flexi-Force" - A401 because it larger and fit with heel.


Figure 4.3: Flexi - Force A401.


Figure 4.4: Flexi - Force A502

### 4.3 Diabetic foot ulcer pressure block diagram:

Form Flexi - Force sensors we will get values which will pass through Procedures to get a real value that can be useful for detect diabitic foot ulcer .

### 4.3.1 Heel pressure:

In the figure $£ .0$ below, it shows the design stages of the tool that takes the result of pressing the heel area:


Figure 4.5 : Heel pressure block digram.

## Heel Trans-impedance circuit:

It is a circuit that convert the change of resistance (according to Heel force) to change in voltage. The following circuit describe how to mesuare Heel force by using Flexi - Force sensor A502 as shown in Figure 4.6
We will use op-Amp from type AD822 because that is a dual precision, low power FET input op amp that can operate from a single supply of +3.0 V to 36 V , or dual supplies of $\pm 1.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to $\pm 18$ V. Furthermore, The AD822 is an Output Swings Rail to Rail, The AD822 Input Impedance $=0.5-10^{13} \Omega$, CMRR $=80 \mathrm{~dB}$.


Figure 4.6: Schematic Diagram Heel Sensor Transimpedance.
According to Appendix B, the recommended value of input value of the sensor is -1 volt because we use an inverting amplifier and the recommended value of the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is 100 K Ohm.
The potentiometer it represents the Flexi force A502 sensor.
There is a changing in the output voltage value so we use a capacitor and its value recommended from the datasheet for the sensor.

## Pressure values:

We insert the Figure 4.7 (from Appendix A) in Getdata program to find values that we insert it in Excel program to get the equation that describe the relation between resistance and force :
Force $=295.13 \mathrm{R}^{-0.85}$
(4.1)

Refer to Appendix B to see the values.


Figure 4.7: Resistance - Force Relation.
Then we convert the relation from force and resistance to force and output voltage as shown:
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} *\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$

$$
=1 *(100 \mathrm{~K} \Omega / 224.576 \mathrm{~K} \Omega)=0.445284 \mathrm{volt} \quad \text { Rs: test value }
$$

See appendix B to more results.
Where Vin $=1 \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}=100 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ and for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ values refer to appendix B
We choose the value of $V_{\text {in }} \& R_{F}$ based on the recommendation of the data sheet. See appendix
B.

After we got the values using Microsoft Excel draw the curve that describe the relation between force (shown in Fig. 4.8) and voltage and represent it by equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=0.0238 \mathrm{~V}^{3}-1.2217 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+20.199 \mathrm{~V}+0.7896 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.8: Relation between Force and Voltage.

## Convert from force and voltage to a pressure and voltage:

Convert from lb to newton
$\mathrm{F}=\left(0.0238 \mathrm{~V}^{3}-1.2217 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+20.199 \mathrm{~V}+0.7896\right) * 4.448$
$\mathrm{F}=\left(0.105 \mathrm{~V}^{3}-5.43 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+89.85 \mathrm{~V}+3.51\right)$
Form datasheet Sensing area $(A)=\left(50.8 * 10^{-3}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2.58 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} 2 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pressure $=$ F/A
where F : force
By divide equation (4.3) on $2.02 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2,}$ we got the equation that represents pressure:
Pressure $=40.7 \mathrm{~V}^{3}-2104.7 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+34825.6 \mathrm{~V}+1360.5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

### 4.3.2 Toe pressure:

In the figure $\varsigma .9$ below, it shows the design stages of the tool that takes the result of pressing the Toe area:


Figure 4.9 : Toe pressure block digram.

## Toe Trans-impedance circuit:

The following circuit describe how to mesuare Toe force by using Flexi - Force sensor A401 as shown in figure 4.10.
We will use Amplifier from type AD822 for the same reasons in Heel Transimpedance circuit and we will use it for all of the sensors circuits.


Figure 4.10: Schematic Diagram Toe Sensor Transimpedance.
According to Appendix A the recommended value of input value of the sensor is -1 volt and the recommended value of the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is 100 K Ohm.
The capacitor and its value recommended from the datasheet for the sensor.

## Pressure values:

We insert the Fig. 4.11 (from Appendix A) in Getdata program to find values that we insert it in Excel program to the equation that describe the relation between voltage and force. Refer to Appendix A to see the values


Figure 4.11: Voltage - Force Relation.
After we take the values using Microsoft Excel draw the curve that describe the relation between force and voltage (shown in Fig. 4.12) then we can reach to the equation that represent the relation between the force and voltage as shown.
Force $=-7.4525 \mathrm{~V}^{5}+32.942 \mathrm{~V}^{4}-51.167 \mathrm{~V}^{3}+42.825 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+1.4868 \mathrm{~V}+0.5156 \ldots$ (4.5)


Figure 4.12: Relation between Force and Voltage.

## Convert from force and voltage to a pressure and voltage:

Convert from lb. to newton
Force $=\left(-7.4525 \mathrm{~V}^{5}+32.942 \mathrm{~V}^{4}-51.167 \mathrm{~V}^{3}+42.825 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+1.4868 \mathrm{~V}+0.5156\right) * 4.448$
Force $=\left(-33.2 \mathrm{~V}^{5}+146.5 \mathrm{~V}^{4}-227.6 \mathrm{~V}^{3}+190.5 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+6.61 \mathrm{~V}+2.3\right)$
Sensing area $(A)=\pi r^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\pi\left(25.4 * 10^{-3}\right)^{2}=2.02 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} 2 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pressure $=$ F/A
where $F$ : force \& $A$ : area of sensor
By divide equation (4.6) on g we got this equation that represents pressure:
Pressure $=-16435.6 \mathrm{~V}^{5}+72524.7 \mathrm{~V}^{4}-112673.3 \mathrm{~V}^{3}+94307 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+3272.3 \mathrm{~V}+1138.6 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

$$
=\left(-16.4356 \mathrm{~V}^{5}+72.5247 \mathrm{~V}^{4}-112.6733 \mathrm{~V}^{3}+94.307 \mathrm{~V}^{2}+3.272 \mathrm{~V}+1.1386\right) \mathrm{K} \mathrm{~Pa} \ldots(4.7)
$$

### 4.3.3 Pad pressure:

In the figure $£ .13$ below, it shows the design stages of the tool that takes the result of pressing the Pad area:


Figure 4.13 : Pad pressure block digram.
The following circuits describe how to mesuare Pad force by using Flexi - Force sensor A401 as shown in figures 4.14 and there is no difernce between the three circuit except the name (MT1,MT2\&MT3).


Figure 4.14: Pad sensors schematic diagram
According to Appendix A, the recommended value of input value of the sensor is 1 volt and the recommended value of the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is 100 K Ohm. The capacitor and its value recommended from the datasheet for the sensor.

## Average circuit:

The averaging Amplifier is another type of operational amplifier circuit configuration that used to combine the voltages present on two or more inputs into a single output voltage. Figure 4.15 shown the average circuit that use to combine voltages that out form Pad sensors Transimpedance circuit.


Figure 4.15: Pad sensors average circuit schematic diagram.
We use a single amplifier because the output is always positive, because the output voltage from (MT1,MT2,\& MT3) circuit negative.
All the resisitance is the same value for take the avarege of the inputs with gain equal $1 / 3$.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {sum }}=\mathrm{V}_{1}\left[-\mathrm{R}_{25} / \mathrm{R} 1\right]+\mathrm{V}_{2}\left[-\mathrm{R}_{25} / \mathrm{R}_{2}\right]+\mathrm{V}_{3}\left[-\mathrm{R}_{25} / \mathrm{R}_{23}\right]$
But $V_{1} \& V_{2} \& V_{3}$ negative so :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {sum }} & =\mathrm{V}_{1}\left[\mathrm{R}_{25} / \mathrm{R} 1\right]+\mathrm{V}_{2}\left[\mathrm{R}_{25} / \mathrm{R}_{2}\right]+\mathrm{V}_{3}\left[\mathrm{R}_{25} / \mathrm{R}_{3}\right]  \tag{4.8}\\
& =\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{2}+\mathrm{V}_{3}\right) / 3 \\
& =(1 / 3) *\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{2}+\mathrm{V}_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.3.4 Side foot pressure:

In the figure $£ .16$ below, it shows the design stages of the tool that takes the result of pressing the side foot area:


Figure 4.16: block diagram Side foot pressure.

The following circuits describe how to mesuare Side foot force by using Flexi - Force sensor A401 as shown in figures 4.17.


Figure 4.17: Side foot sensors schematic diagram

## Average circuit:

The figure 4.18 shown the average circuit that use to combine voltages that out form Side foot sensors transimpedance circuit and it is the same of Pad average circuit.


Figure 4.18: Side foot sensors average circuit schematic diagram

### 4.4 Electromyography (EMG):-

This section aims to design EMG circuit that can be captured EMG signal from foot muscles. According to what has been studies in previous studies and research, the diabetes foot disease leads to weakness of the signal resulting from the foot and hence we started the idea of taking the foot signal and used in the detection of the disease.
The following block diagram related to EMG foot muscle, that describe the basic component needed to detective of EMG signal.


Figure 4.19: Block Diagram of EMG
This section provides a description of the existing electrode; it depicts the composition of each functional block in the circuit. The electrode-amplifier and its signal conditioning circuit are comprised of five main blocks: electrode, Instrumentation amplifier, high pass filter, low pass filter and selectable gain amplifier.

### 4.4.1 Surface Electrode:-

Surface electrodes will be use $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{AgCl}$ type; a type of $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrodes based on a hydrophobic ionic liquid has been propose. The electrode consists of an $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode immersed in or coated with an AgCl -saturated ionic liquid.


Figure 4.20: $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{AgCl}$ Electrode
In the figure 4.21 below, the equivalent circuit of electrode $(\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{AgCl})$ as shown:


Figure 4.21: equivalent circuit of electrode for $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{AgCl}$

### 4.4.2 Instrumentation Amplifier:-

However, because of a large DC offset potential of as EMG signal (200-300 mV), the instrumentation amplifier of the electrode-amplifier cannot have a large DC gain.


Figure 4.22: Instrumentation Amplifier circuit (AD620)
The AD620 will be use because it has a lower cost and because when the instrumentation amplifier circuit is build it takes more space and less accuracy when built manually.

The AD620 is a low cost, high accuracy instrumentation amplifier that requires only one external resistor to set gains of 1 to 10,000 . Furthermore, the low noise, low input bias current and low power of the AD620 make it well suited for medical applications, such as ECG and noninvasive blood pressure monitors. Furthermore, The AD620 is an Input Impedance $=2$ to 10 $\mathrm{G} \Omega$, Common-Mode Rejection Ratio DC to 60 Hz with $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ Source Imbalance $\mathrm{VCM}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ to $\pm$ 10 V , Gain=10 $\rightarrow$ CMRR $=110 \mathrm{~dB}$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
R G=\frac{49.9 K \Omega}{\text { Gain }-1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots  \tag{4.10}\\
R G=\frac{49.9 K \Omega}{10-1} \\
R G=5.6 K \Omega \\
\text { Vout }=(\text { Velectrode } \# 1-\text { Velectrode } \# 2) * \text { Gain } .
\end{array}
$$

### 4.4.3 Band Pass Filter (BPF):

The surface electrode put in foot muscle, they captured different signals, but we need the EMG foot signal, so we need Band Pass Filter that pass the frequency range from ( 7 Hz to 542 Hz )[19] only.
We used op-amp from type AD822 is chosen because that is a dual precision, low power FET input op amp that can operate from a single supply of +3.0 V to 36 V , or dual supplies of $\pm 1.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to $\pm 18 \mathrm{~V}$. Furthermore, The AD822 is an Output Swings Rail to Rail, The AD822 is an Input Impedance $=0.5-10^{13} \Omega$, CMRR $=80 \mathrm{~dB}$.

## 1) High Pass Filter:

A high-pass filter -sallen key- (HPF) is an electronic filter that passes signals with a frequency higher than a certain cutoff frequency and attenuates signals with frequencies lower than the cutoff frequency. The amount of attenuation for each frequency depends on the filter design.
The Gain of HPF is equal 1.56 and the critical frequency ( Fc ) equal 7 Hz [19].


Figure 4.23: HPF circuit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Gain } H P F=1+\frac{R F}{R A} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R A=1 k \Omega$ from standerd resistance table

$$
0.56=\frac{R F}{1 k \Omega}
$$

$R F=0.56 k \Omega$ from standerd resistance table
To calculate the critical frequency ( 7 Hz ) for HPF as follow:-
Consider that $\mathrm{C} 1=\mathrm{C} 2=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{R}$ so let
$C=100 \mu F$ from standerd capacitance table
$F c=\frac{1}{2 \pi R C}$.
$7 * R * 2 * 3.14 * 100 *\left(10^{-6}\right)=1$
$R=0.227 k \Omega \approx 0.24 k \Omega$ from standerd resistance table

After we design the HPF circuit, we simulate it by using mulitisim software and the table (4.1) shows the characteristics of HPF at critical frequency equal $(7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and gain (1.56) where the input signal equal(1Vp-p).

Table (4.1): characteristic of HPF

| Freq (H) | Vout (Vp-p) | Gain |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 k}$ | 1.57 | 1.57 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | 1.57 | 1.57 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ | 1.56 | 1.56 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | 1.56 | 1.56 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | 1.56 | 1.56 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 1.56 | 1.56 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 1.54 | 1.54 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1.45 | 1.45 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.777 | 0.777 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0353 | 0.0353 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Vout }(\mathrm{Fc} \operatorname{HPF})=\operatorname{Vin} * \operatorname{Gain} * 0.707 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Vout}\left(7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) & =1 \mathrm{Vp}-\mathrm{p} * 1.56 * 0.707 \\
& =1.1 \mathrm{Vp}-\mathrm{p}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4.24: Curve of HPF result from simulate

## 2) Low Pass Filter:-

A low-pass filter -sallen key- (LPF) is a filter that passes signals with a frequency lower than a selected cutoff frequency and attenuates signals with frequencies higher than the cutoff frequency.
The Gain of LPF is equal 1.56 and the critical frequency $(\mathrm{Fc})$ equal $542 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$ [19].


Figure 4.25: LPF circuit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Gain } L P F=1+\frac{R F}{R A} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R A=1 k \Omega$ from standerd resistance table

$$
0.56=\frac{R F}{1 k \Omega}
$$

$R F=0.56 k \Omega$ from standerd resistance table To calculate the critical frequency ( 542 Hz ) for LPF as follow:-

Consider that $\mathrm{C} 1=\mathrm{C} 2=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{R}$ so let $C=0.1 \mu F$ from standerd capacitance table

$$
F c=\frac{1}{2 \pi R C}
$$

$$
542 * 2 * 3.14 * 0.1 *\left(10^{-6}\right) * R=1
$$

$$
R=2.94 \mathrm{k} \Omega \approx 3 \mathrm{k} \Omega \text { from standerd resistance table }
$$

After we design the LPF circuit, we simulate it by using mulitisim software and the table (4.2) shows the characteristics of LPF at critical frequency equal ( 542 Hz ), and gain (1.56) where the input signal equal( $1 \mathrm{Vp}-\mathrm{p}$ ).

Table (4.2): characteristic of LPF

| Freq (Hz) | Vout (Vp-p) | Gain |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.56 | 1.56 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1.56 | 1.56 |
| 50 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| 100 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| 400 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 500 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| 542 | 1.05 | 1.05 |
| 600 | 0.932 | 0.932 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ | 0.749 | 0.749 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | 0.606 | 0.606 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | 0.483 | 0.483 |
| $\mathbf{1 K}$ | 0.4 | 0.4 |



Figure 4.26: Curve of LPF result from simulate

### 4.4.4 Amplification:

The amount of amplification provided by an amplifier measured by its gain: the ratio of output voltage, current, or power to input. The signal generated by process HPF will be amplification of The Gain Amplification is approximately equal 44.
Total gain equal 1000.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Gain Amplification }=1+\frac{R 2}{R 1} \ldots \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let R1 $=10 k \Omega$ from standerd resistance table

$$
\begin{gathered}
44-1=\frac{R 2}{10 k \Omega} \\
R 2=430 K \Omega \text { from standerd resistance table }
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 4.27: Amplification circuit.

### 4.5 Microcontroller:-

We use Arduino (Mega), in entering the values of the resulting voltages from each region, whether they are (Heel, Pad, Side foot, big toe), and then compensating them in the equations for each region and then obtaining the result (pressure in units Kilo Pascal) from Through (LCD).


Figure 4.28: Arduino Mega.

### 4.6 Keypad:-

Keypad was use until the area was choose to show its result on the (LCD), Number 1 has programmed to show the result of pressing the area of the big toe. Number 2 to show the result pressing the area Pad. Number 3 to show the result of the pressure on the side foot area. Number 4 to show pressure from the heel area.


Figure 4.29: Keypad.

### 4.7 Liquid Crystal Display (LCD):-

The display will use to show the results according to the desired region selection.


Figure 4.30: Liquid Crystal Display.

### 4.8 Power supply:

The EMG and the foot pressure circuit supplying through power supply and the following figure shows the value of the voltage that was use to feed the designed electrical circuits:


Figure 4. ${ }^{r 1}$ : Block Diagram of power supply

We will use battery (9V), after that we will get different voltages ( $+5 \mathrm{~V},-5 \mathrm{~V}, 1 \mathrm{~V} \&-1 \mathrm{~V}$ ) By using (LM7805), (LM7905) \& (voltage divider) respectively.

## First $+\mathbf{5}$ volt power supply:

We will use LM7805 to get output equal +5 V and figure 4.30 shown the circuit to convert form 9 -volt battery to 5 volt.


Figure 4. ${ }^{2}$ 2: 5 volt power supply circuit.
According the datasheet in appendix D we choose the capacitor values.

## Second $\mathbf{- 5}$ volt power supply:

We will use LM7905 to get output equal +5 V and figure 4.31 shown the circuit to convert form 9 volt battery to -5 volt.


Figure 4. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{r}} \mathbf{3 :}-5$ volt power supply circuit.
According the datasheet in appendix D we choose the capacitor values.

## Third +1 volt power supply:

To get a 1 volt form 9 volt we will use the voltage divider as shown in figure 4.32


Figure 4.34: +1 volt power supply circuit.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} * \mathrm{R} 2 /(\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R})$
if $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$
let $\mathrm{R} 2=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ (standard value)
$1=9 * 2 \mathrm{~K} /(2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{R})$
$\mathrm{R}=16 \mathrm{k} \Omega$
However, R not a standard value so we use two resistance with a standard value:
$\mathrm{R} 1=15 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$ and $\mathrm{R} 3=1 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$

## Fourth -1 volt power supply:

To get a -1 volt form 9 volt we will use the voltage divider as shown in figure 4.33


Figure 4.35: -1 volt power supply circuit.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} * \mathrm{R} 2 /(\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R})$
if $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$
Let R2 $=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ (standard value)
$-1=-9 * 2 \mathrm{~K} /(2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{R})$
$\mathrm{R}=16 \mathrm{k} \Omega$
However, R not a standard value so we use two resistance with a standard value: $\mathrm{R} 1=15 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$ and $\mathrm{R} 3=1$

## Chapter Five:

## Result Simulation

## Heel pressure:

The following circuit describe how to measure pressure in the Heel area as shown in figure 5.1


Figure 5.1: General Schematic Diagram of Heel pressure.

The output of the circuit it represent the Heel pressure that equal $(3.411 * 10) \mathrm{KPa}$ (because the gain is 0.1 of the summation circuit)

## Toe pressure:

The following circuit describe how to measure pressure in the Toe area as shown in figure 5.2


Figure 5.2: General Schematic Diagram of Toe pressure.
The output of the circuit it represent the Heel pressure that equal $(4.21 * 10) \mathrm{KPa}$ (because the gain is 0.1 of the summation circuit)

## Chapter Six:

## Result and Analyses

### 6.1 Introduction:

The project was design and the values and signals obtained from 30 cases were taken, whether they had diabetic ulcer disease, diabetes, or healthy people from both diseases.
In the attached pictures, the stages of implementing the project in terms of installing electronic parts on the PCB board, preparing the insole, and


Figure 6.1: PCB of EMG.


Figure 6.3: insole


Figure 6.2: PCB of pressure circuit


Figure 6.4: power supply

Here are some pictures of some patients with diabetic ulcer disease:


Figure 6.0: Patient with diabetic foot ulcers in the Big Toe.


Figure 6.7: Patient with diabetic foot ulcers in the pad

### 6.2 Result for EMG signal:

In the following table, the special data that was taken from 30 cases in which EMG signal was taken from them whether they have diabetic ulcer disease or have diabetes or healthy people from both diseases are included
Whereas, the cases of (P1-P10) have diabetes ulcers, the cases (P11-P20) have diabetes, and the cases of (P21-P30) are healthy people from both diseases, with ages ranging from (40-85 years).

Table 6.1: result of EMG signal, (---): he does not have.

| Patient | M/F | Wight $(\mathrm{Kg})$ | Age (year) | Length (cm) | Size <br> shoe <br> (cm) | Genetics cause disease | Duration of the disease | foot <br> Infected with the disease | Place of injury | EMG signal- <br> Voltage $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ | Caused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | F | 84 | 64 | 163 | 39 | NO | 15 year | Left | Big toe | $10 \leq m V$ | Neuropathy |
| P2 | M | 85 | 69 | 167 | 42 | YES | 18 year | right | heel | 114 mV | Neuropathy |
| P3 | M | 97 | 52 | 178 | 42 | YES | 17 year | Left | pad | 162 mV | Amputation in the little finger |
| P4 | F | 95 | 70 | 163 | 40 | NO | 19 year | right | Pad | 97 mV | Neuropathy (very week) |
| P5 | F | 75 | 69 | 175 | 41 | NO | 20 year | Two | All foot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } 270 \mathrm{mV} \\ & \text { R } 260 \mathrm{mV} \end{aligned}$ | Neuropathy |
| P6 | F | 90 | 71 | 150 | 39 | NO | 21 year | right | Heel | 39 mV | Neuropathy (very week) |
| P7 | M | 79 | 50 | 150 | 45 | NO | 29 year | right | Big toe | 40 mV | Neuropathy (very week) |
| P8 | F | 85 | 55 | 158 | 39 | NO | 22 year | Left | Pad | 236 mV | Neuropathy |
| P9 | F | 100 | 60 | 165 | 41 | NO | 20 year | Left | Pad Big toe | 270 mV | Neuropathy |
| $\mathbf{P 1 0}$ | F | 10 | $7 \varepsilon$ | 170 | rq | YES | 15 year | right | Big toe | 230 mV | Neuropathy |
| P11 | M | 95 | 51 | 190 | 45 | YES | 10 year | --- | --- | 16 mV | Neuropathy (very week) |
| P12 | M | 80 | 57 | 160 | 42 | YES | 2 year | --- | --- | 0.82 V | --- |
| P13 | F | 100 | 84 | 167 | 42 | NO | 15 year | --- | --- | 260 mV | Neuropathy (very week) |
| P14 | F | 88 | 44 | 156 | 40 | YES | 1 year | --- | --- | 1.2 V | --- |
| P15 | F | 102 | 72 | 160 | 39 | YES | 12 year | --- | --- | 672 mV | --- |
| P16 | F | 100 | 60 | 170 | 41 | YES | 1 year | --- | -- | 0.92V | --- |
| P17 | F | 92 | 56 | 160 | 40 | YES | 6 year | --- | --- | 534 mV | --- |
| P18 | F | 70 | 60 | 160 | 39 | YES | 1 year | --- | --- | 280 mV | Neuropathy |
| P19 | F | 80 | 47 | 157 | 40 | YES | 3 year | --- | --- | 597 mV | --- |
| P20 | F | 93 | 51 | 150 | 39 | YES | 1 year | --- | --- | 130 mV | Neuropathy <br> (very week) |
| P21 | M | 110 | 56 | 180 | 46 | YES | - | --- | --- | 1.31 V | - |
| $\mathbf{P} 22$ | F | 76 | 52 | 160 | 38 | YES | --- | --- | --- | 1.57 V | --- |
| $\mathbf{P} 23$ | F | 105 | 59 | 160 | 38 | YES | --- | --- | --- | 1.11 V | --- |
| P24 | M | 73 | 40 | 156 | 40 | YES | --- | --- | --- | 1.45 V | --- |
| P25 | M | 97 | 60 | 180 | 43 | YES | --- | --- | --- | 600 mV | Neuropathy |


| $\mathbf{P 2 6}$ | M | 100 | 40 | 178 | 45 | NO | --- | --- | --- | 1.6 V | --- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P 2 7}$ | F | 79 | 50 | 173 | 40 | NO | --- | --- | --- | 1.36 V | --- |
| $\mathbf{P 2 8}$ | F | 65 | 45 | 160 | 37 | NO | --- | --- | --- | 1.27 V | --- |
| $\mathbf{P 2 9}$ | M | 85 | 55 | 165 | 42 | YES | --- | --- | --- | 1.34 V | --- |
| $\mathbf{P 3 0}$ | M | 100 | 47 | 176 | 41 | NO | --- | --- | --- | 1.53 V | --- |

### 6.3 Analyses for EMG:

1) The electrical signal of the muscle is affected with age, as it is affected by weight and the duration of diabetes. There are some abnormal conditions, such as the presence of muscle or nerve weakness due to diseases of the nerves or because of other conditions such as accidents with traffic accidents.
2) In the case of diabetic foot ulcers, the value of the resulting voltage ranges between $(10 \mathrm{mV}-300 \mathrm{mV})$ as shown in the table above.
3) In the case of diabetes, the value of the resulting voltage ranges between $(310 \mathrm{mV}-1 \mathrm{~V})$ as shown in the table above.
4) In the case of healthy people from both diseases, the value of the resulting voltage ranges between ( $1.01 \mathrm{~V}-1.56 \mathrm{~V}$ ) as shown in the table above.

Based on previous studies [ ${ }^{\circ r}$ ] and compared to the results obtained, the results that were found in that study in the form of the resulting EMG signal:


Figure 6. ${ }^{\vee}$ : Gait classification based on EMG bio signal. The gait is classified into two: stance phase (I) and swing phase (II).


Figure 6.^: EMG for normal person


Figure 6. ${ }^{\text { }}$ : EMG for diabetic patient


Figure 6. ${ }^{1}$ : EMG for ulcer patient

### 6.4 Result for pressure sensors:

In the following table, the special data that was taken from 30 cases in which work was taken to take the pressure values resulting from them whether they had diabetes ulcers or diabetic or healthy people from both diseases were included.
As the cases from ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 8$ ) suffer from diabetic foot ulcers, the cases from ( $\mathrm{P} 9-\mathrm{P} 15$ ) have diabetes, and the cases from ( $\mathrm{P} 16-\mathrm{P} 25$ ) are healthy people from both diseases, with ages ranging from (40-72 years old).

Table 6.2: result for pressure sensor for age (40-72 year).

| Patient | M/F | Wight <br> (Kg) | Age <br> (year) | Length <br> (cm) | Size <br> shoe <br> (cm) |  | Pressure (KPa) |  |  | Caused |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Big |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pad | Side |
| :--- |
| Foot | Heel | Toe |
| :--- |

In the following table, special data were taken from 10 cases in which work was perform to take the resulting pressure values for healthy people from both diseases, with ages ranging from (19-24 years).

Table 6. ${ }^{\text {r: }}$ : result for pressure sensor for age (19-24 year).

| Patient | M/F | Wight |  | Length |  | Pressure ( KPa ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Big <br> Toe | Pad | Side Foot | Heel |
| P ${ }^{1}$ | M | 66 | 19 | 173 | 42 | 79.35 | 65.43 | 32.39 | 127.96 |
| $\mathbf{P}^{\text {r }}$ | M | 56 | 19 | 184 | 43 | 76.05 | 52.42 | 33.35 | 125.71 |
| $\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{r}}$ | M | 113 | 19 | 184 | 45 | 98.67 | 87.12 | 48.91 | 127.96 |
| P¢ | M | 60 | 19 | 185 | 44 | 72.41 | 55.53 | 33.27 | 126.8 |
| Po | M | 80 | 20 | 170 | 42 | 96.00 | 71.39 | 68.25 | 118.32 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{7}$ | M | 90 | 20 | 178 | 43 | 79.55 | 76.94 | 57.75 | 126.49 |
| P ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | M | 78 | 23 | 180 | 42 | 84.47 | 66.94 | 47.59 | 127.96 |
| $\mathbf{P}^{\wedge}$ | M | 105 | 24 | 169 | 41 | 94.55 | 76.91 | 55.36 | 127.96 |
| P9 | M | 75 | 24 | 178 | 43 | 74.09 | 57.26 | 32.39 | 127.27 |
| P 1. | M | 74 | 23 | 178 | 42 | 70.04 | 58.77 | 32.39 | 127.96 |

### 6.5 Analyses for pressure sensors:

1) If we take the average of the Heel pressure values of normal cases equal 126.78 KPa and its approximately equal the most read repeated in the pressure reading ( 127.96 Kpa ).
2) The highest pressure read in the heel area and the minimum pressure in the side foot area.
3) If the pressure of side foot area higher than the pressure in any area in foot the mean there abnormal condition.
4) A person may be consider uninfected in the heel area if the result of pressure is between ( $120 \mathrm{KPa}-128 \mathrm{KPa}$ ).
5) The lowest area prone to developing diabetic ulcer disease is the side foot because the pressure on it is less.
6) The most susceptible areas for diabetic foot ulcers are (Big Toe \& Pad)[53].
7) The resulting pressure value increases in the affected areas further, so that people with diabetic foot ulcers have a higher-pressure result than diabetes patients and healthy people, and diabetes patients have a higher-pressure result than healthy people do.
8) Pressures can be arrange in the four regions as follows:

* The pressure in the heel area is higher than the rest of the areas.
* The pressure in the big toe area is higher than the pressure in the Pad area and the pressure in the side foot.
* The pressure in the Pad area is higher than the pressure in the side foot.
* The pressure in the side foot area is the lowest.

Based on previous studies [53] and compared to the results obtained, the results that were found in that study in the value of the resulting pressure sensor:

Table $7 . \leqslant$ : Comparison of dynamic foot pressure in various study [53], DM: Diabetics mellitus, P0: big toe, P1: pad, P2: side foot.

| Study groups | Dynamic foot pressure points | Number of participants | Dynamic foot pressures (K pascal) Mean $\pm$ SD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | P0 | 30 | $87.82 \pm 26.29$ |
|  | P1 | 30 | $76.63 \pm 25.83$ |
|  | P2 | 29 | $66.55 \pm 26.51$ |
| DM | P0 | 30 | $105.32 \pm 23.56$ |
|  | P1 | 30 | $91.52 \pm 25.13$ |
|  | P2 | 30 | $76.98 \pm 25.73$ |
| DM with neuropathy | P0 | 19 | $105.32 \pm 23.56$ |
|  | P1 | 19 | $91.52 \pm 25.13$ |
|  | P2 | 19 | $76.98 \pm 25.73$ |
| Foot ulcer | P0 | 31 | $92.7 \pm 33.253$ |
|  | P1 | 31 | $76.31 \pm 35.363$ |
|  | P2 | 31 | $80.25 \pm 34.124$ |
| Total | P0 | 110 | $95.42 \pm 28.919$ |
|  | P1 | 110 | $81.07 \pm 29.823$ |
|  | P2 | 109 | $75.45 \pm 29.57$ |

### 6.6 Calibration:

Work was done on calibrating sensors and filters before starting to work on them and extracting results. The work was done through four parts:

## 1) Calibration for ( $\mathbf{A 4 0 1 )}$ ) sensor:

The A401 was calibrated by placing different weights on the sensor and calculating the resulting resistance, Then the weight was converted from (g) to (pound) and then to (Force). Through the resistance value, the resulting voltages were calculated.

Table 6. ${ }^{\circ}$ : For big toe \& Side foot \& pad sensors (A401):

| Wight(g) | Wight(Pound) | Force(N) | Resister( $\mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{)}$ | Volt(v) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.220 | 0.981 | 3.46 M | rı. <br> m |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 0.331 | 1.471 | 2.34 M | 42.74 m |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | 0.375 | 1.667 | 1.98 M | 50.5 m |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | 0.397 | 1.765 | 1.83 M | 54.64 m |
| $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ | 0.408 | 1.814 | 1.8 M | 5.6 m |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | 0.441 | 1.961 | 1.86 M | 53.76 m |
| $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | 0.485 | 2.157 | 1.61 M | 62.11 m |
| $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | 0.529 | 2.354 | 1.6 M | 62.5 m |
| $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ | 0.573 | 2.549 | 1.42 M | 70.42 m |
| $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | 0.617 | 2.746 | 1.31 M | 76.34 m |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | 0.661 | 2.942 | 1.28 M | 78.13 m |
| $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ | 0.705 | 3.138 | 1.21 M | 82.64 m |
| $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ | 0.749 | 3.334 | 1.09 M | 91.74 m |
| $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | 0.794 | 3.530 | 1.06 M | 94.34 m |
| $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ | 0.838 | 3.727 | 1.03 M | 97.1 m |
| $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | 0.882 | 3.923 | 0.96 M | 104.2 m |
| $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ | 0.926 | 4.119 | 0.92 M | 108.7 m |
| $\mathbf{4 4 0}$ | 0.970 | 4.315 | 0.9 M | 111.11 m |
| $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ | 1.014 | 4.511 | 0.88 M | 113.64 m |
| $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ | 1.058 | 4.707 | 0.86 M | 116.3 m |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | 1.102 | 4.903 | 0.86 M | 116.3 m |
| $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ | 1.146 | 5.099 | 0.81 M | 123.46 m |
| $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ | 1.191 | 5.296 | 0.77 M | 129.87 m |
| $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | 1.235 | 5.492 | 0.76 M | 131.58 m |
| $\mathbf{5 8 0}$ | 1.279 | 5.688 | 0.73 M | 136.9 m |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | 1.323 | 5.884 | 0.74 M | 135.14 m |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Where the, Vref $=-1$ volt, $\mathrm{Rf}=100 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$
However, we need to display the value as a force according to the following equation:
$\mathrm{F}=3828.2 * \mathrm{~V} 4-881.59^{*} \mathrm{~V} 3+167.24^{*} \mathrm{~V} 2+25.55^{*} \mathrm{~V}+0.1059$ $\qquad$ (6.2)

Area (A) $=\square \mathrm{r} 2$
$\mathrm{A}=2.02 * 10-3 \mathrm{~m} 2$
Pressure $=\frac{F}{A}$
Where F: force \& A: area of sensor
Pressure $=($ \^90) $£ \wedge .0 * \mathrm{~V} 4-436430.67 * \mathrm{~V} 3+82792.08 * \mathrm{~V} 2+12648.52 * \mathrm{~V}+52.426) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Pressure $=\left(1895.1485 * \mathrm{~V} 4-436.43067 * \mathrm{~V} 3+82.79208^{*} \mathrm{~V} 2+12.64852 * \mathrm{~V}+0.052426\right) \mathrm{KPa}$
2) Calibration for (A502) sensor:

The A502 was calibrated by placing different weights on the sensor and calculating the resulting resistance, Then the weight was converted from (g) to (pound) and then to (Force). Through the resistance value, the resulting voltages were calculated.

Table 6.7: For Heel sensor (A502):

| Wight(g) | Wight(Pound) | Force(N) | Resister( $\mathbf{\Omega}$ ) | Volt(v) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.220 | 0.981 | ¢. $¢$ ¢ M | Yr.0m |
| 150 | 0.331 | 1.471 | 3.1M | 32.3 m |
| 170 | 0.375 | 1.667 | 2.4 M | 41.7 m |
| 180 | 0.397 | 1.765 | 2.26M | 44.3 m |
| 185 | 0.408 | 1.814 | 2.12 M | 47.16 m |
| 200 | 0.441 | 1.961 | 2.1 M | 47.2 m |
| 220 | 0.485 | 2.157 | 1.99 M | 50.3 m |
| 240 | 0.529 | 2.354 | 1.65 M | 60.6m |
| 260 | 0.573 | 2.549 | 1.62 M | 61.7 m |
| 280 | 0.617 | 2.746 | 1.52 M | 65.8 m |
| 300 | 0.661 | 2.942 | 1.39 M | 71.9 m |
| 320 | 0.705 | 3.138 | 1.32 M | 75.8 m |
| 340 | 0.749 | 3.334 | 1.28 M | 78.1 m |
| 360 | 0.794 | 3.530 | 1.23 M | 81.3 m |
| 380 | 0.838 | 3.727 | 1.11 M | 90.1 m |
| 400 | 0.882 | 3.923 | 1.1 M | 90.9 m |


| $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ | 0.926 | 4.119 | 0.99 M | 101.1 m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 4 0}$ | 0.970 | 4.315 | 0.96 M | 104.2 m |
| $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ | 1.014 | 4.511 | 0.94 M | 106.4 m |
| $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ | 1.058 | 4.707 | 0.93 M | 107.5 m |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | 1.102 | 4.903 | 0.87 M | 114.9 m |
| $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ | 1.146 | 5.099 | 0.85 M | 117.6 m |
| $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ | 1.191 | 5.296 | 0.82 M | 121.9 m |
| $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | 1.235 | 5.492 | 0.78 M | 128.2 m |
| $\mathbf{5 8 0}$ | 1.279 | 5.688 | 0.77 M | 129.9 m |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | 1.323 | 5.884 | 0.62 M | 161.3 m |

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Vout }=\operatorname{Vref} * \frac{-R f}{R s} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ref }}=-1$ volt, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=100 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$
However, we need to display the value as a force according to the following equation:
$\mathrm{F}=-0.000003 * \mathrm{~V}^{3}+0.0006 * \mathrm{~V}^{2}-0.0016 * \mathrm{~V}+0.8615$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{A}=\left(50.8 * 10^{-3}\right)^{2}$
$=2.58 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Pressure $=\frac{F}{A}$
Where F: force \& A: area of sensor
Pressure $=\left(-0.00116 * \mathrm{~V}^{3}+0.233 * \mathrm{~V}^{2}+0.6202 * \mathrm{~V}+333.9\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Pressure $=\left(-0.00000116^{*} \mathrm{~V}^{3}+0.000233 * \mathrm{~V}^{2}+0.0006202 * \mathrm{~V}+0.3339\right) \mathrm{KPa}$

## 3) calibration of High Pass Filter (HPF):

The High Pass Filter was calibrate by installing the pieces on the breadboard and then taking the resulting voltage value.
Table 6. ${ }^{\vee}$ : calibration of HPF

| Freq $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z})}\right.$ | Vout (Vp-p) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 k | 1.53 |
| 900 | 1.53 |
| 700 | 1.5 |
| 500 | 1.5 |
| 300 | 1.49 |
| 100 | 1.47 |
| 70 | 1.43 |
| 50 | 1.4 |
| 30 | 1.39 |
| 10 | 1.36 |
| 8 | 1.3 |
| 7 | 1.21 |
| 5 | 0.8 |
| 3 | 0.2 |
| 1 | 0.04 |



Figure 6.1 ${ }^{\prime}$ : calibration of HPF

## 4) calibration of Low Pass Filter (LPF):

The Low Pass Filter was calibrate by installing the pieces on the breadboard and then taking the resulting voltage value.

Table 6. $\wedge$ : calibration of LPF

| Freq $\left(\mathrm{H}_{z}\right)$ | Vout (Vp-p) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.54 |
| 5 | 1.54 |
| 10 | 1.54 |
| 50 | 1.52 |
| 100 | 1.5 |
| 400 | 1.32 |
| 500 | 1.2 |
| 542 | 1.07 |
| 600 | 0.82 |
| 700 | 0.73 |
| 800 | 0.65 |
| 900 | 0.52 |
| 1 K | 0.4 |



Figure 6.1 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ : calibration of LPF

## Chapter Seven:

Future Work and Challenges

### 7.1 Future Work:

The project was implemented using wires, while new technology technologies can be used to send information via Wi Fi or via Bluetooth, and a greater number of cases can be taken in order to establish a relationship between variables such as the effect of weight and age and length on the amount of pressure. and the impact of the duration of a disease Diabetes in the value of the resulting effort in order to find a stable relationship that can be adopted in the impact of these variables.as well as building gender-specific relationships, whether male or female, and the extent of that impact on pressure, as well as in building relationships for uninfected persons flat foot.

### 7.2 Challenges:

During the project implementation the system, some challenge was faced, such as:

- Some of the system components are expensive like flexi-force sensors.
- Not all of the required component for the project are available in the Palestinian market.

Appendix A

Appendix B

Appendix C

## Appendix D

Appendix E

Appendix F

Appendix G

## FlexiForce Standard Model A401

The FlexiForce A401 is our standard piezoresistive force sensor with the largest sensing area. It is available off-the-shelf for easy proof of concept and is also available in large volumes for design-in applications. The A401 can be used with our test \& measurement, prototyping, and embedding electronics, including the OEM Development Kit, FlexiForce Quickstart Board, and the ELFTM System*. You can also use your own electronics, or multimeter.


Physical Properties

Thickness
Length
Width
Sensing Area
Connector 2-pin Male Square Pin
Substrate
Pin Spacing
25.4 mm (1 in.) diameter
0.203 mm (0.008 in.)
56.9 mm (2.24 in.) **
31.8 mm (1.25 in.)

Polyester
2.54 mm (0.1 in.)

## Benefits

- Thin and flexible
- Easy to use
- Convenient and affordable

[^0]
## Standard Force Ranges as Tested with Circuit Shown

## $111 \mathrm{~N}(0-25 \mathrm{lb})^{+}$

${ }^{\dagger}$ This sensor can measure up to $31,138 \mathrm{~N}(7,000 \mathrm{lb})$. The force range can be extended by reducing the drive voltage, VT, or the resistance value of the feedback resistor, RF. Conversely, the sensitivity can be increased for measurement of lower forces by increasing VT or RF.

Sensor output is a function of many variables, including interface materials. Therefore, Tekscan recommends the user calibrate each sensor for the application. The graph below-right is an illustration of how a sensor can be used to measure varying force ranges by changing the feedback resistor (the graph below-right should not be used as a calibration chart).

## Recommended Circuit



- Supply Voltages should be constant
- Polarity of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {REF }}$ must be opposite the polarity of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {SUPPLY }}$
- Sensor Resistance RS at no load is $>1 \mathrm{M} \Omega$
- Max recommended current is 2.5 mA


|  | Typical Performance | Evaluation Conditions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Linearity (Error) | $< \pm 3 \%$ of full scale | Line drawn from 0 to $50 \%$ load |
| Repeatability | $< \pm 2.5 \%$ | Conditioned sensor, $80 \%$ of full force applied |
| Hysteresis | $<4.5 \%$ of full scale | Conditioned sensor, $80 \%$ of full force applied |
| Drift | $<5 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ | Constant load of $111 \mathrm{~N}(25 \mathrm{lb})$ |
| Response Time | Impact load, output recorded on oscilloscope |  |
| Operating Temperature | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | Convection and conduction heat sources |
| Acceptance Criteria | $\pm 40 \%$ sensor-to-sensor variation |  |

*All data above was collected utilizing an Op Amp Circuit. If your application cannot allow an Op Amp Circuit, visit www.tekscan.com/flexiforce-integration-guides, or contact a FlexiForce Applications Engineer. Force reading change per degree of temperature change $=0.36 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left( \pm 0.2 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

> Purchase Today Online at www.tekscan.com/store

Relation between force and voltage:

| Voltage | Force |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.054878 | 0.640569 |
| 0.068598 | 0.800712 |
| 0.082317 | 0.907473 |
| 0.096037 | 1.06762 |
| 0.109756 | 1.22776 |
| 0.137195 | 1.44128 |
| 0.164634 | 1.70819 |
| 0.178354 | 1.97509 |
| 0.205793 | 2.29537 |
| 0.233232 | 2.61566 |
| 0.260671 | 2.93594 |
| 0.28811 | 3.25623 |
| 0.301829 | 3.52313 |
| 0.315549 | 3.79004 |
| 0.329268 | 4.05694 |
| 0.342988 | 4.37722 |
| 0.356707 | 4.69751 |
| 0.370427 | 5.01779 |
| 0.397866 | 5.39146 |
| 0.411585 | 5.76512 |
| 0.425305 | 6.03203 |
| 0.439024 | 6.29893 |
| 0.466463 | 6.61922 |
| 0.480183 | 6.9395 |
| 0.480183 | 7.20641 |
| 0.493902 | 7.47331 |
| 0.507622 | 7.79359 |
| 0.521341 | 8.0605 |
| 0.54878 | 8.38078 |
| 0.5625 | 8.75445 |
| 0.57622 | 9.07473 |
| 0.589939 | 9.39502 |
| 0.603659 | 9.7153 |
| 0.631098 | 10.0356 |
| 0.644817 | 10.3559 |
| 0.672256 | 10.7295 |
| 0.685976 | 11.1566 |


| 0.699695 | 11.5302 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.713415 | 11.7972 |
| 0.727134 | 12.1174 |
| 0.754573 | 12.4377 |
| 0.768293 | 12.8114 |
| 0.768293 | 13.1851 |
| 0.782012 | 13.5053 |
| 0.795732 | 13.879 |
| 0.809451 | 14.2527 |
| 0.83689 | 14.7331 |
| 0.85061 | 15.2135 |
| 0.864329 | 15.6406 |
| 0.878049 | 16.0676 |
| 0.891768 | 16.4947 |
| 0.905488 | 16.9217 |
| 0.932927 | 17.2954 |
| 0.932927 | 17.669 |
| 0.960366 | 18.0427 |
| 0.974085 | 18.4164 |
| 0.987805 | 18.79 |
| 1.00152 | 19.1103 |
| 1.01524 | 19.5374 |
| 1.02896 | 20.0712 |
| 1.04268 | 20.4982 |
| 1.0564 | 20.8185 |
| 1.07012 | 21.2456 |
| 1.08384 | 21.6726 |
| 1.09756 | 22.0996 |
| 1.11128 | 22.5267 |
| 1.125 | 22.9537 |
| 1.13872 | 23.4342 |
| 1.15244 | 23.8612 |
| 1.17988 | 24.395 |
| 1.1936 | 24.8754 |
| 1.20732 | 25.3025 |
| 1.22104 | 25.7829 |
| 1.23476 | 26.2633 |
| 1.24848 | 26.7972 |
| 1.2622 | 27.2242 |
| 1.27591 | 27.758 |
| 1.28963 | 28.3452 |
| 1.30335 | 28.7722 |
| 1.30335 | 29.2527 |
| 1.31707 | 29.573 |
| 1.33079 | 30 |
| 1.34451 | 30.4804 |
| 1.34451 | 30.9609 |
| 1.35823 | 31.3879 |
| 1.37195 | 31.7616 |
| 1.38567 | 32.1886 |
| 1.39939 | 32.669 |
| 1.41311 | 33.0961 |
| 1.42683 | 33.5765 |


| 1.44055 | 34.0569 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.45427 | 34.5374 |
| 1.46799 | 34.9644 |
| 1.46799 | 35.4448 |
| 1.48171 | 36.032 |
| 1.50915 | 36.6726 |
| 1.52287 | 37.2598 |
| 1.53659 | 37.7936 |
| 1.53659 | 38.3808 |
| 1.5503 | 38.8612 |
| 1.56402 | 39.2883 |
| 1.57774 | 39.7687 |
| 1.59146 | 40.3559 |
| 1.60518 | 40.9431 |
| 1.6189 | 41.5302 |
| 1.63262 | 42.0641 |
| 1.64634 | 42.5979 |
| 1.66006 | 43.0783 |
| 1.67378 | 43.6655 |

Actual size of sensor


## Physical Properties

| Thickness | $0.203 \mathrm{~mm}(0.008 \mathrm{in})$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Length | $81.3 \mathrm{~mm}(3.20 \mathrm{in} .)^{\star \star}$ |
| Width | $55.9 \mathrm{~mm}(2.20 \mathrm{in})$. |
| Sensing Area | $50.8 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50.8 \mathrm{~mm}(2 \mathrm{in} . \times 2 \mathrm{in})$. |
| Connector | 2-pin Male Square Pin |
| Substrate | Polyester |
| Pin Spacing | $2.54 \mathrm{~mm}(0.1 \mathrm{in})$. |

## Benefits

- Thin and flexible
- Low-power
- Ideal for prototyping and integration
- Easy to use
* Sensor will require an adapter/extender to connect to the ELF System. Contact your Tekscan representative for assistance.
** Length does not include pins. Please add approximately 6 mm ( 0.25 in .) for pin length for a total length of approximately 87 mm (3.4 in).


## Typical Performance



| Voltage <br> $(\mathrm{V})$ | Force <br> $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | Resistance <br> $(\mathrm{k} \Omega)$ | Conductance <br> $(\mu \mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 20 | 34.36 | 29.11 |
| 0.5 | 40 | 17.14 | 58.33 |
| 0.5 | 60 | 11.57 | 86.41 |
| 0.5 | 80 | 8.71 | 114.76 |
| 0.5 | 100 | 6.97 | 143.54 |

- Sensor acceptance criteria $\pm 40 \%$ of nominal
- Sensor resistance measured 20 seconds after applied load
- Sensor loaded through a polycarbonate puck equal to $68 \%$ (2.72 $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ ) of total active area
- Sensor was not attached to any drive circuitry


## Standard Force Ranges as Tested with Circuit Shown

## Recommended Circuit

## $222 \mathrm{~N}(0-50 \mathrm{lb})^{\dagger}$

${ }^{+}$This sensor can measure up to $44,448 \mathrm{~N}$ ( $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ ). In order to measure higher forces, apply a lower drive voltage ( $-0.5 \mathrm{~V},-0.25 \mathrm{~V}$, etc.) and reduce the resistance of the feedback resistor ( $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega \mathrm{min}$.) To measure lower forces, apply a higher drive voltage and increase the resistance of the feedback resistor.

Sensor output is a function of many variables, including interface materials. Therefore, Tekscan recommends the user calibrate each sensor for
 the application.

|  | Typical Performance | Evaluation Conditions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Linearity (Error) | $< \pm 3 \%$ of full scale | Line drawn from 0 to $50 \%$ load |
| Repeatability | $< \pm 2.5 \%$ | Conditioned sensor, $80 \%$ of full force applied |
| Hysteresis | $<4.5 \%$ of full scale | Conditioned sensor, $80 \%$ of full force applied |
| Drift | $<5 \%$ per logarithmic time scale | Constant load of $111 \mathrm{~N}(25 \mathrm{lb})$ |
| Response Time | $<5 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ | Impact load, output recorded on oscilloscope |
| Operating Temperature | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | Convection and conduction heat sources |

All data above was collected utilizing an Op Amp Circuit. If your application cannot allow an Op Amp Circuit, visit www.tekscan.com/flexiforce-integration-guides, or contact a FlexiForce Applications Engineer.

Force reading change per degree of temperature change $=0.36 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left( \pm 0.2 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.
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Relation of voltage and force table

| Rs | Voltage | Force |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 224.576 | 0.445284 | 3.12419 |
| 216.102 | 0.462744 | 3.1123 |
| 207.627 | 0.481633 | 3.10041 |
| 196.328 | 0.509352 | 3.43542 |
| 186.441 | 0.536363 | 3.42155 |
| 176.554 | 0.566399 | 3.75855 |
| 166.667 | 0.599999 | 3.74467 |
| 158.192 | 0.632143 | 4.08366 |
| 148.305 | 0.674286 | 4.06978 |
| 137.006 | 0.729895 | 4.4048 |
| 129.944 | 0.769562 | 4.39489 |
| 120.056 | 0.832945 | 4.73189 |
| 110.169 | 0.907696 | 5.06889 |
| 97.4576 | 1.026087 | 5.7528 |
| 88.9831 | 1.123809 | 6.09178 |
| 80.5085 | 1.242105 | 6.78164 |
| 72.0339 | 1.388235 | 7.4715 |
| 64.9718 | 1.539129 | 8.16335 |
| 57.9096 | 1.726829 | 9.20607 |
| 49.435 | 2.022858 | 10.9486 |
| 40.9605 | 2.441376 | 13.0419 |
| 36.7232 | 2.723074 | 14.4395 |
| 31.0734 | 3.218187 | 16.1859 |
| 25.4237 | 3.933338 | 19.3359 |
| 22.5989 | 4.424994 | 22.139 |
| 19.774 | 5.057146 | 24.942 |
| 16.9492 | 5.899983 | 27.7451 |
| 15.5367 | 6.436373 | 30.1992 |
| 14.1243 | 7.079997 | 33.3551 |
| 12.7119 | 7.866645 | 36.1602 |
| 11.2994 | 8.850027 | 39.3161 |
| 9.88701 | 10.11428 | 42.8229 |
| 9.88701 | 10.11428 | 46.3316 |
| 8.47458 | 11.79999 | 52.2946 |
| 7.06215 | 14.15999 | 57.5558 |
| 5.64972 | 17.69999 | 62.8169 |
| 5.64972 | 17.69999 | 67.0275 |
| 5.64972 | 17.69999 | 70.5362 |

$4.23729 \quad 23.59999 \quad 78.2535$
$4.2372923 .59999 \quad 80.3588$
$4.2372923 .59999 \quad 84.2185$
$4.23729 \quad 23.59999 \quad 89.4816$
$2.82486 \quad 35.39998 \quad 100.006$
wnywarobotshop.com
La robotique à votre service! - Robotics at your service】

## $\infty$

ARDUINO

## Arduino Mega 2560 Datasheet




## Overview

The Arduino Mega 2560 is a microcontroller board based on the ATmega2560 (datasheet). It has 54 digital input/output pins (of which 14 can be used as PWM outputs), 16 analog inputs, 4 UARTs (hardware serial ports), a 16 MHz crystal oscillator, a USB connection, a power jack, an ICSP header, and a reset button. It contains everything needed to support the microcontroller; simply connect it to a computer with a USB cable or power it with a AC-to-DC adapter or battery to get started. The Mega is compatible with most shields designed for the Arduino Duemilanove or Diecimila.

## Schematic \& Reference Design

EAGLE files: arduino-mega2560-reference-design.zip

Schematic: arduino-mega2560-schematic.pdf

## Summary

| Microcontroller | ATmega2560 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operating Voltage | 5 V |
| Input Voltage (recommended) | $7-12 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Input Voltage (limits) | $6-20 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Digital I/O Pins | 54 (of which 14 provide PWM output) |
| Analog Input Pins | 16 |
| DC Current per I/O Pin | 40 mA |
| DC Current for 3.3V Pin | 50 mA |
| Flash Memory | 256 KB of which 8 KB used by bootloader |
| SRAM | 8 KB |
| EEPROM | 4 KB |
| Clock Speed | 16 MHz |

## Power

The Arduino Mega can be powered via the USB connection or with an external power supply. The power source is selected automatically.

External (non-USB) power can come either from an AC-to-DC adapter (wall-wart) or battery. The adapter can be connected by plugging a 2.1 mm center-positive plug into the board's power jack. Leads from a battery can be inserted in the Gnd and Vin pin headers of the POWER connector.

The board can operate on an external supply of 6 to 20 volts. If supplied with less than 7 V , however, the 5 V pin may supply less than five volts and the board may be unstable. If using more than 12 V , the voltage regulator may overheat and damage the board. The recommended range is 7 to 12 volts.

The Mega2560 differs from all preceding boards in that it does not use the FTDI USB-toserial driver chip. Instead, it features the Atmega8U2 programmed as a USB-to-serial converter.


The power pins are as follows:

- VIN. The input voltage to the Arduino board when it's using an external power source (as opposed to 5 volts from the USB connection or other regulated power source). You can supply voltage through this pin, or, if supplying voltage via the power jack, access it through this pin.
- 5V. The regulated power supply used to power the microcontroller and other components on the board. This can come either from VIN via an on-board regulator, or be supplied by USB or another regulated 5 V supply.
- 3V3. A 3.3 volt supply generated by the on-board regulator. Maximum current draw is 50 mA .
- GND. Ground pins.


## Memory

The ATmega2560 has 256 KB of flash memory for storing code (of which 8 KB is used for the bootloader), 8 KB of SRAM and 4 KB of EEPROM (which can be read and written with the EEPROM library).

## Input and Output

Each of the 54 digital pins on the Mega can be used as an input or output, using pinMode() , digitalWrite(), and digitalRead() functions. They operate at 5 volts. Each pin can provide or receive a maximum of 40 mA and has an internal pull-up resistor (disconnected by default) of 20-50 kOhms. In addition, some pins have specialized functions:

- Serial: 0 (RX) and 1 (TX); Serial 1: 19 (RX) and 18 (TX); Serial 2: 17 (RX) and 16 (TX); Serial 3: 15 (RX) and 14 (TX). Used to receive (RX) and transmit (TX) TTL serial data. Pins 0 and 1 are also connected to the corresponding pins of the ATmega8U2 USB-to-TTL Serial chip.
- External Interrupts: 2 (interrupt 0), 3 (interrupt 1), 18 (interrupt 5), 19 (interrupt 4), 20 (interrupt 3), and 21 (interrupt 2). These pins can be configured to trigger an interrupt on a low value, a rising or falling edge, or a change in value. See the attachInterrupt() function for details.
- PWM: 0 to 13. Provide 8-bit PWM output with the analogWrite() function.
- SPI: 50 (MISO), 51 (MOSI), 52 (SCK), 53 (SS). These pins support SPI communication using the SPI library. The SPI pins are also broken out on the ICSP header, which is physically compatible with the Uno, Duemilanove and Diecimila.
- LED: 13. There is a built-in LED connected to digital pin 13. When the pin is HIGH

value, the LED is on, when the pin is LOW, it's off.
- I2C: 20 (SDA) and 21 (SCL). Support I2C (TWI) communication using the Wire library (documentation on the Wiring website). Note that these pins are not in the same location as the I2C pins on the Duemilanove or Diecimila.

The Mega2560 has 16 analog inputs, each of which provide 10 bits of resolution (i.e. 1024 different values). By default they measure from ground to 5 volts, though is it possible to change the upper end of their range using the AREF pin and analogReference() function.

There are a couple of other pins on the board:

- AREF. Reference voltage for the analog inputs. Used with analogReference().
- Reset. Bring this line LOW to reset the microcontroller. Typically used to add a reset button to shields which block the one on the board.


## Communication

The Arduino Mega2560 has a number of facilities for communicating with a computer, another Arduino, or other microcontrollers. The ATmega2560 provides four hardware UARTs for TTL (5V) serial communication. An ATmega8U2 on the board channels one of these over USB and provides a virtual com port to software on the computer (Windows machines will need a .inf file, but OSX and Linux machines will recognize the board as a COM port automatically. The Arduino software includes a serial monitor which allows simple textual data to be sent to and from the board. The RX and TX LEDs on the board will flash when data is being transmitted via the ATmega8U2 chip and USB connection to the computer (but not for serial communication on pins 0 and 1).
A SoftwareSerial library allows for serial communication on any of the Mega2560's digital pins.
The ATmega2560 also supports I2C (TWI) and SPI communication. The Arduino software includes a Wire library to simplify use of the I2C bus; see the documentation on the Wiring website for details. For SPI communication, use the SPI library.

## Programming

The Arduino Mega can be programmed with the Arduino software (download). For details, see the reference and tutorials.
The ATmega2560 on the Arduino Mega comes preburned with a bootloader that allows you to upload new code to it without the use of an external hardware programmer. It

communicates using the original STK500 protocol (reference, $\underline{C}$ header files). You can also bypass the bootloader and program the microcontroller through the ICSP (InCircuit Serial Programming) header; see these instructions for details.

## Automatic (Software) Reset

Rather then requiring a physical press of the reset button before an upload, the Arduino Mega2560 is designed in a way that allows it to be reset by software running on a connected computer. One of the hardware flow control lines (DTR) of the ATmega8U2 is connected to the reset line of the ATmega2560 via a 100 nanofarad capacitor. When this line is asserted (taken low), the reset line drops long enough to reset the chip. The Arduino software uses this capability to allow you to upload code by simply pressing the upload button in the Arduino environment. This means that the bootloader can have a shorter timeout, as the lowering of DTR can be well-coordinated with the start of the upload. This setup has other implications. When the Mega2560 is connected to either a computer running Mac OS X or Linux, it resets each time a connection is made to it from software (via USB). For the following half-second or so, the bootloader is running on the Mega2560. While it is programmed to ignore malformed data (i.e. anything besides an upload of new code), it will intercept the first few bytes of data sent to the board after a connection is opened. If a sketch running on the board receives one-time configuration or other data when it first starts, make sure that the software with which it communicates waits a second after opening the connection and before sending this data.
The Mega2560 contains a trace that can be cut to disable the auto-reset. The pads on either side of the trace can be soldered together to re-enable it. It's labeled "RESET-EN". You may also be able to disable the auto-reset by connecting a 110 ohm resistor from 5 V to the reset line; see this forum thread for details.

## USB Overcurrent Protection

The Arduino Mega2560 has a resettable polyfuse that protects your computer's USB ports from shorts and overcurrent. Although most computers provide their own internal protection, the fuse provides an extra layer of protection. If more than 500 mA is applied to the USB port, the fuse will automatically break the connection until the short or overload is removed.

## Physical Characteristics and Shield Compatibility



The maximum length and width of the Mega2560 PCB are 4 and 2.1 inches respectively, with the USB connector and power jack extending beyond the former dimension. Three screw holes allow the board to be attached to a surface or case. Note that the distance between digital pins 7 and 8 is 160 mil ( $0.16{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ), not an even multiple of the 100 mil spacing of the other pins.

The Mega2560 is designed to be compatible with most shields designed for the Uno, Diecimila or Duemilanove. Digital pins 0 to 13 (and the adjacent AREF and GND pins), analog inputs 0 to 5 , the power header, and ICSP header are all in equivalent locations. Further the main UART (serial port) is located on the same pins ( 0 and 1 ), as are external interrupts 0 and 1 (pins 2 and 3 respectively). SPI is available through the ICSP header on both the Mega2560 and Duemilanove / Diecimila. Please note that $I_{2} C$ is not located on the same pins on the Mega (20 and 21) as the Duemilanove / Diecimila (analog inputs 4 and 5).

- 3-Terminal Regulators
- Output Current up to 1.5 A
- Internal Thermal-Overload Protection

KC (TO-220) PACKAGE
(TOP VIEW)


## - High Power-Dissipation Capability

- Internal Short-Circuit Current Limiting
- Output Transistor Safe-Area Compensation

KTE PACKAGE
(TOP VIEW)


## description/ordering information

This series of fixed-voltage integrated-circuit voltage regulators is designed for a wide range of applications. These applications include on-card regulation for elimination of noise and distribution problems associated with single-point regulation. Each of these regulators can deliver up to 1.5 A of output current. The internal current-limiting and thermal-shutdown features of these regulators essentially make them immune to overload. In addition to use as fixed-voltage regulators, these devices can be used with external components to obtain adjustable output voltages and currents, and also can be used as the power-pass element in precision regulators.

ORDERING INFORMATION

| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NOM})}^{(\mathrm{V})} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | PACKAGE $\dagger$ |  | ORDERABLE PART NUMBER | TOP-SIDE MARKING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 | POWER-FLEX (KTE) | Reel of 2000 | $\mu$ A7805CKTER | $\mu$ A7805C |
|  |  | TO-220 (KC) | Tube of 50 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7805 \mathrm{CKC}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7805 \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | TO-220, short shoulder (KCS) | Tube of 20 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7805 \mathrm{CKCS}$ |  |
|  | 8 | POWER-FLEX (KTE) | Reel of 2000 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7808 \mathrm{CKTER}$ | $\mu$ A7808C |
|  |  | TO-220 (KC) | Tube of 50 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7808 \mathrm{CKC}$ | $\mu A 7808 \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | TO-220, short shoulder (KCS) | Tube of 20 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7808 \mathrm{CKCS}$ |  |
|  | 10 | POWER-FLEX (KTE) | Reel of 2000 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7810 \mathrm{CKTER}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7810 \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | TO-220 (KC) | Tube of 50 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7810 \mathrm{CKC}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7810 \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | 12 | POWER-FLEX (KTE) | Reel of 2000 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7812 \mathrm{CKTER}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7812 \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | TO-220 (KC) | Tube of 50 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7812 \mathrm{CKC}$ | $\mu$ A7812C |
|  |  | TO-220, short shoulder (KCS) | Tube of 20 | $\mu$ A7812CKCS |  |
|  | 15 | POWER-FLEX (KTE) | Reel of 2000 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7815 \mathrm{CKTER}$ | $\mu$ A7815C |
|  |  | TO-220 (KC) | Tube of 50 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7815 \mathrm{CKC}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7815 \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | TO-220, short shoulder (KCS) | Tube of 20 | $\mu$ A7815CKCS |  |
|  | 24 | POWER-FLEX (KTE) | Reel of 2000 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7824 \mathrm{CKTER}$ | $\mu$ A7824C |
|  |  | TO-220 (KC) | Tube of 50 | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7824 \mathrm{CKC}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7824 \mathrm{C}$ |

†Package drawings, standard packing quantities, thermal data, symbolization, and PCB design guidelines are available at www.ti.com/sc/package.

Please be aware that an important notice concerning availability, standard warranty, and use in critical applications of Texas Instruments semiconductor products and disclaimers thereto appears at the end of this data sheet.

## schematic


absolute maximum ratings over virtual junction temperature range (unless otherwise noted) $\dagger$
Input voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}: \mu \mathrm{A} 7824 \mathrm{C}$ ..... 40 V
All others ..... 35 V
Operating virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}$ ..... $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Lead temperature $1,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $1 / 16$ inch) from case for 10 seconds ..... $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Storage temperature range, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {stg }}$ ..... $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\dagger$ Stresses beyond those listed under "absolute maximum ratings" may cause permanent damage to the device. These are stress ratings only, and functional operation of the device at these or any other conditions beyond those indicated under "recommended operating conditions" is not implied. Exposure to absolute-maximum-rated conditions for extended periods may affect device reliability.

## package thermal data (see Note 1)

| PACKAGE | BOARD | $\theta \mathbf{J C}$ | $\theta$ JA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POWER-FLEX (KTE) | High K, JESD $51-5$ | $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ |
| TO-220 (KC/KCS) | High K, JESD $51-5$ | $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ | $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ |

NOTE 1: Maximum power dissipation is a function of $T_{J}(\max ), \theta_{J A}$, and $T_{A}$. The maximum allowable power dissipation at any allowable ambient temperature is $P_{D}=\left(T_{J}(\max )-T_{A}\right) / \theta_{J A}$. Operating at the absolute maximum $T_{J}$ of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can affect reliability.

## recommended operating conditions

|  |  | MIN | MAX | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad$ Input voltage | $\mu$ A7805C | 7 | 25 | V |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7808 \mathrm{C}$ | 10.5 | 25 |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{A} 810 \mathrm{C}$ | 12.5 | 28 |  |
|  | $\mu$ A7812C | 14.5 | 30 |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{A} 8815 \mathrm{C}$ | 17.5 | 30 |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7824 \mathrm{C}$ | 27 | 38 |  |
| IO Output current |  |  | 1.5 | A |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad$ Operating virtual junction temperature | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7800 \mathrm{C}$ series | 0 | 125 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

electrical characteristics at specified virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{J}} \dagger$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7805 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MIN | TYP | MAX |  |
| Output voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{l}=5 \mathrm{~mA} \text { to } 1 \mathrm{~A}, \\ & \mathrm{PD}_{\mathrm{D}} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=7 \mathrm{~V}$ to 20 V , |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.8 | 5 | 5.2 | V |
|  |  |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.75 |  | 5.25 |  |  |
| Input voltage regulation | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=7 \mathrm{~V}$ to 25 V |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 3 | 100 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=8 \mathrm{~V}$ to 12 V |  |  |  | 1 | 50 |  |  |
| Ripple rejection | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=8 \mathrm{~V}$ to 18 V , | $\mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 62 | 78 |  | dB |  |
| Output voltage regulation | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1.5 A |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 15 | 100 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}=250 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 75 |  |  |  | 5 | 50 |  |  |
| Output resistance | $\mathrm{f}=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 0.017 |  | $\Omega$ |  |
| Temperature coefficient of output voltage | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | -1.1 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Output noise voltage | $\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to 100 k |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 40 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |  |
| Dropout voltage | $\mathrm{O}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2 |  | V |  |
| Bias current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 4.2 | 8 | mA |  |
| Bias current change | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=7 \mathrm{~V}$ to 25 V |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 1.3 | mA |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1 A |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Short-circuit output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 750 |  | mA |  |
| Peak output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2.2 |  | A |  |

$\dagger$ Pulse-testing techniques maintain the junction temperature as close to the ambient temperature as possible. Thermal effects must be taken into account separately. All characteristics are measured with a $0.33-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the input and a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the output.
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electrical characteristics at specified virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=14 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS | TJ $\dagger$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7808 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MIN | TYP | MAX |  |
| Output voltage | $\mathrm{l} \mathrm{l}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to $1 \mathrm{~A}, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{I}}=10.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 23 V,$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W}$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 7.7 | 8 | 8.3 | V |
|  |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 7.6 |  | 8.4 |  |
| Input voltage regulation | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=10.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 25 V | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 6 | 160 | mV |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=11 \mathrm{~V}$ to 17 V |  |  | 2 | 80 |  |
| Ripple rejection | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=11.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to $21.5 \mathrm{~V}, \quad \mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 55 | 72 |  | dB |
| Output voltage regulation | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1.5 A | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 12 | 160 | mV |
|  | $\mathrm{O}=250 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 750 mA |  |  | 4 | 80 |  |
| Output resistance | $\mathrm{f}=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 0.016 |  | $\Omega$ |
| Temperature coefficient of output voltage | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | -0.8 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Output noise voltage | $\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to 100 kHz | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 52 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
| Dropout voltage | $\mathrm{l}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2 |  | V |
| Bias current |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 4.3 | 8 | mA |
| Bias current change | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{l}}=10.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 25 V | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 1 | mA |
|  | $\mathrm{l} \mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1 A |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| Short-circuit output current |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 450 |  | mA |
| Peak output current |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2.2 |  | A |

$\dagger$ Pulse-testing techniques maintain the junction temperature as close to the ambient temperature as possible. Thermal effects must be taken into account separately. All characteristics are measured with a $0.33-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the input and a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the output.
electrical characteristics at specified virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=17 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS |  | TJ $\dagger$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7810 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MIN | TYP | MAX |  |
| Output voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{l}=5 \mathrm{~mA} \text { to } 1 \mathrm{~A}, \\ & \mathrm{PD}_{\mathrm{D}} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{V}=12.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 25 V , |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 9.6 | 10 | 10.4 | V |
|  |  |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 9.5 | 10 | 10.5 |  |  |
| Input voltage regulation | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=12.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 28 V |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 7 | 200 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=14 \mathrm{~V}$ to 20 V |  |  |  | 2 | 100 |  |  |
| Ripple rejection | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=13 \mathrm{~V}$ to 23 V , | $\mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 55 | 71 |  | dB |  |
| Output voltage regulation | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1.5 A |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 12 | 200 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}=250 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 750 mA |  |  |  | 4 | 100 |  |  |
| Output resistance | $\mathrm{f}=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 0.018 |  | $\Omega$ |  |
| Temperature coefficient of output voltage | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | -1 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Output noise voltage | $\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to 100 kHz |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 70 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |  |
| Dropout voltage | $\mathrm{I}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 |  |  | V |  |
| Bias current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 4.3 | 8 | mA |  |
| Bias current change | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=12.5 \mathrm{~V} \text { to } 28 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA} \text { to } 1 \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.5 |  |  | mA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-circuit output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 400 |  | mA |  |
| Peak output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2.2 |  | A |  |

$\dagger$ Pulse-testing techniques maintain the junction temperature as close to the ambient temperature as possible. Thermal effects must be taken into account separately. All characteristics are measured with a $0.33-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the input and a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the output.
electrical characteristics at specified virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=19 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS |  | TJ $\dagger$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7812 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MIN | TYP | MAX |  |
| Output voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{l} \mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA} \text { to } 1 \mathrm{~A}, \\ & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{V}=14.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 27 V , |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 11.5 | 12 | 12.5 | V |
|  |  |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 11.4 |  | 12.6 |  |  |
| Input voltage regulation | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=14.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 30 V |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 10 | 240 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=16 \mathrm{~V}$ to 22 V |  |  |  | 3 | 120 |  |  |
| Ripple rejection | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{l}}=15 \mathrm{~V}$ to 25 V , | $\mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 55 | 71 |  | dB |  |
| Output voltage regulation | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1.5 A |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 12 | 240 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}=250 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 750 mA |  |  |  | 4 | 120 |  |  |
| Output resistance | $\mathrm{f}=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 0.018 |  | $\Omega$ |  |
| Temperature coefficient of output voltage | $\mathrm{I}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | -1 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Output noise voltage | $\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to 100 k |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 75 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |  |
| Dropout voltage | $\mathrm{O}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2 |  | V |  |
| Bias current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 4.3 | 8 | mA |  |
| Bias current change | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=14.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 30 V |  |  |  |  | 1 | mA |  |
| Bias current change | $\mathrm{I}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1 A |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 125 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Short-circuit output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 350 |  | mA |  |
| Peak output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2.2 |  | A |  |

$\dagger$ Pulse-testing techniques maintain the junction temperature as close to the ambient temperature as possible. Thermal effects must be taken into account separately. All characteristics are measured with a $0.33-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the input and a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the output.
electrical characteristics at specified virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=23 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{J}}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7815 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MIN | TYP | MAX |  |
| Output voltage | $\mathrm{l} \mathrm{l}^{\prime}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1 A,$\mathrm{PD} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W}$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 14.4 | 15 | 15.6 | V |
|  |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 14.25 |  | 15.75 |  |
| Input voltage regulation | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=17.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 30 V | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 11 | 300 | mV |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=20 \mathrm{~V}$ to 26 V |  |  | 3 | 150 |  |
| Ripple rejection | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=18.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to $28.5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 54 | 70 |  | dB |
| Output voltage regulation | $\mathrm{I}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1.5 A | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 12 | 300 | mV |
|  | l = 250 mA to 750 mA |  |  | 4 | 150 |  |
| Output resistance | $\mathrm{f}=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 0.019 |  | $\Omega$ |
| Temperature coefficient of output voltage | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | -1 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Output noise voltage | $\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to 100 kHz | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 90 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
| Dropout voltage | $\mathrm{O}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2 |  | V |
| Bias current |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 4.4 | 8 | mA |
| Bias current change | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=17.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to 30 V | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 1 | mA |
|  | $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1 A |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| Short-circuit output current |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 230 |  | mA |
| Peak output current |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2.1 |  | A |

$\dagger$ Pulse-testing techniques maintain the junction temperature as close to the ambient temperature as possible. Thermal effects must be taken into account separately. All characteristics are measured with a $0.33-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the input and a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the output.

## electrical characteristics at specified virtual junction temperature, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=33 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS |  | TJ $\dagger$ | $\mu \mathrm{A} 7824 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MIN | TYP | MAX |  |
| Output voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IO}=5 \mathrm{~mA} \text { to } 1 \mathrm{~A}, \\ & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=27 \mathrm{~V}$ to 38 V , |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23 | 24 | 25 | V |
|  |  |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 22.8 |  | 25.2 |  |  |
| Input voltage regulation | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=27 \mathrm{~V}$ to 38 V |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 18 | 480 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~V}$ to 36 V |  |  |  | 6 | 240 |  |  |
| Ripple rejection | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=28 \mathrm{~V}$ to 38 V , | $\mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 50 | 66 |  | dB |  |
| Output voltage regulation | $1 \mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1.5 A |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 12 | 480 | mV |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}=250 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 750 mA |  |  |  | 4 | 240 |  |  |
| Output resistance | $\mathrm{f}=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 0.028 |  | $\Omega$ |  |
| Temperature coefficient of output voltage | $\mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | -1.5 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Output noise voltage | $\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to 100 kHz |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 170 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |  |
| Dropout voltage | $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2 |  | V |  |
| Bias current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 4.6 | 8 | mA |  |
| Bias current change | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=27 \mathrm{~V}$ to 38 V |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 1 | mA |  |
| Bias curent change | $\mathrm{l} \mathrm{O}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ to 1 A |  | 0 to 125 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Short-circuit output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 150 |  | mA |  |
| Peak output current |  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2.1 |  | A |  |

$\dagger$ Pulse-testing techniques maintain the junction temperature as close to the ambient temperature as possible. Thermal effects must be taken into account separately. All characteristics are measured with a $0.33-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the input and a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor across the output.

## APPLICATION INFORMATION



Figure 1. Fixed-Output Regulator


Figure 2. Positive Regulator in Negative Configuration (V| Must Float)


NOTE A: The following formula is used when $V_{X x}$ is the nominal output voltage (output to common) of the fixed regulator:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{xx}}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{xx}}}{\mathrm{R} 1}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) \mathrm{R} 2
$$

Figure 3. Adjustable-Output Regulator


Figure 4. Current Regulator

APPLICATION INFORMATION


Figure 5. Regulated Dual Supply

## operation with a load common to a voltage of opposite polarity

In many cases, a regulator powers a load that is not connected to ground but, instead, is connected to a voltage source of opposite polarity (e.g., operational amplifiers, level-shifting circuits, etc.). In these cases, a clamp diode should be connected to the regulator output as shown in Figure 6. This protects the regulator from output polarity reversals during startup and short-circuit operation.


Figure 6. Output Polarity-Reversal-Protection Circuit

## reverse-bias protection

Occasionally, the input voltage to the regulator can collapse faster than the output voltage. This can occur, for example, when the input supply is crowbarred during an output overvoltage condition. If the output voltage is greater than approximately 7 V , the emitter-base junction of the series-pass element (internal or external) could break down and be damaged. To prevent this, a diode shunt can be used as shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7. Reverse-Bias-Protection Circuit


NOTES: A. All linear dimensions are in inches (millimeters).
B. This drawing is subject to change without notice.
C. The center lead is in electrical contact with the thermal tab.
D. Dimensions do not include mold protrusions, not to exceed $0.006(0,15)$.
E. Falls within JEDEC MO-169

PowerFLEX is a trademark of Texas Instruments.

KCS (R-PSFM-T3)

## PLASTIC FLANGE-MOUNT PACKAGE



NOTES: A. All linear dimensions are in inches (millimeters).
B. This drawing is subject to change without notice.
(C) Lead dimensions are not controlled within this area.
D. All lead dimensions apply before solder dip.
E. The center lead is in electrical contact with the mounting tab.

The chamfer is optional.
G Thermal pad contour optional within these dimensions.
(H) Falls within JEDEC TO-220 variation AB, except minimum lead thickness.

KC (R-PSFM-T3)
PLASTIC FLANGE-MOUNT PACKAGE


NOTES: A. All linear dimensions are in inches (millimeters).
B. This drawing is subject to change without notice.
C) Lead dimensions are not controlled within this area.
D. All lead dimensions apply before solder dip.
E. The center lead is in electrical contact with the mounting tab.

The chamfer is optional.
G Thermal pad contour optional within these dimensions.
(H) Falls within JEDEC TO-220 variation AB, except minimum lead thickness.
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## Absolute Maximum Ratings (Note 1)

If Military/Aerospace specified devices are required, please contact the National Semiconductor Sales Office/Distributors for availability and specifications. Input Voltage

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}=-5 \mathrm{~V}\right) & -25 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{O}}=-12 \mathrm{~V} \text { and }-15 \mathrm{~V}\right) & -35 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

| Input-Output Differential |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}=-5 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ | 25 V |
| $\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{O}}=-12 \mathrm{~V}\right.$ and $\left.-15 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ | 30 V |
| Power Dissipation (Note 2) | Internally Limited |
| Operating Junction Temperature Range | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Storage Temperature Range | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Lead Temperature (Soldering, 10 sec.) | $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Electrical Characteristics Conditions unless otherwise noted: $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{OUT}}=500 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{IN}}=2.2 \mu \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{OUT}}=1 \mu \mathrm{~F}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}} \leq+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Power Dissipation $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{~W}$.

| Part Number |  |  | LM7905C |  |  | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output Voltage |  |  | -5V |  |  |  |
| Input Voltage (unless otherwise specified) |  |  | -10V |  |  |  |
| Symbol | Parameter | Conditions | Min | Typ | Max |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | Output Voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{J}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 5 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\text {OUT }} \leq 1 \mathrm{~A}, \\ & \mathrm{P} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.8 \\ -4.75 \end{gathered}$ | -5.0 $\leq V_{\text {IN }}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.2 \\ -5.25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | Line Regulation | $\mathrm{T}_{J}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},($ Note 3) | $\begin{array}{cc} 8 & 50 \\ \left(-25 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-7\right) & \\ 2 & 15 \\ \left(-12 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-8\right) & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} m V \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{mV} \\ \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | Load Regulation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},(\text { Note } 3) \\ & 5 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\text {OUT }} \leq 1.5 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 250 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\text {OUT }} \leq 750 \mathrm{~mA} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mV} \\ & \mathrm{mV} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ | Quiescent Current | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 1 | 2 | mA |
| $\Delta_{\text {Q }}$ | Quiescent Current Change | With Line <br> With Load, $5 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{OUT}} \leq 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\left(-25 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-7\right) & 0.5 \\ 0.5\end{array}$ |  |  | mA <br> V <br> mA |
| $V_{n}$ | Output Noise Voltage | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz} \leq \mathrm{f} \leq 100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 125 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
|  | Ripple Rejection | $\mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { dB } \\ \mathrm{V} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Dropout Voltage | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{l}_{\text {OUT }}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.1 |  |  | V |
| IOMAX | Peak Output Current | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2.2 |  |  | A |
|  | Average Temperature Coefficient of Output Voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lout }=5 \mathrm{~mA}, \\ & 0 \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}} \leq 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 |  |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Typical Applications (Continued)

*Improves transient response and ripple rejection. Do not increase beyond $50 \mu \mathrm{~F}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {SET }}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2}{\mathrm{R} 2}\right)$
Select R2 as follows:

| LM7905CT | $300 \Omega$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| LM7912CT | $750 \Omega$ |
| LM7915CT | 1 k |

Electrical Characteristics (Continued) Conditions unless otherwise noted: IOUT $=500 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{IN}}=2.2 \mu \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {OUT }}=1 \mu \mathrm{~F}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}} \leq+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Power Dissipation $=1.5 \mathrm{~W}$.

| Part Number |  |  | LM7912C |  | LM7915C |  | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output Voltage |  |  | -12V |  | -15V |  |  |
| Input Voltage (unless otherwise specified) |  |  | -19V |  | -23V |  |  |
| Symbol | Parameter | Conditions | Min | Typ ${ }^{\text {Max }}$ | Min | Typ Max |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | Output Voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{J}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 5 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{l}_{\text {OUT }} \leq 1 \mathrm{~A}, \\ & \mathrm{P} \leq 15 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -11.5 \\ -11.4 \\ (-27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline-12.0 & -12.5 \\ & -12.6 \\ \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq & -14.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -14.4 \\ -14.25 \\ (-30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -15.0 & -15.6 \\ & -15.75 \\ \left.\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-17.5\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V } \\ & \text { V } \\ & \text { V } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | Line Regulation | $\mathrm{T}_{J}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},($ Note 3) | $\begin{array}{cc} 5 & 80 \\ \left(-30 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\text {IN }} \leq\right. & -14.5) \\ 3 & 30 \\ \left(-22 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\text {IN }} \leq\right. & -16) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cr} 5 & 100 \\ \left(-30 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\text {IN }} \leq\right. & -17.5) \\ 3 & 50 \\ \left(-26 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\text {IN }} \leq-20\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mV} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{mV} \\ \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | Load Regulation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{J}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},(\text { Note } 3) \\ & 5 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\text {OUT }} \leq 1.5 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 250 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\text {OUT }} \leq 750 \mathrm{~mA} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 15 & 200 \\ 5 & 75 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 15 & 200 \\ 5 & 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mV} \\ & \mathrm{mV} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ | Quiescent Current | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 1.53 |  | 1.53 | mA |
| $\Delta l_{Q}$ | Quiescent Current Change | With Line <br> With Load, $5 \mathrm{~mA} \leq \mathrm{IOUT} \leq 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \\ \left(-30 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-14.5\right) \\ 0.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \\ \left(-30 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-17.5\right) \\ 0.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mA} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{~mA} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Output Noise Voltage | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz} \leq \mathrm{f} \leq 100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 300 |  | 375 |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
|  | Ripple Rejection | $\mathrm{f}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \quad 70 \\ & \left(-25 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-15\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \quad 70 \\ & \left(-30 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} \leq-17.5\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{dB} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Dropout Voltage | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {OUT }}=1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.1 |  | 1.1 |  | V |
| Iomax | Peak Output Current | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2.2 |  | 2.2 |  | A |
|  | Average Temperature <br> Coefficient of Output Voltage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lout }=5 \mathrm{~mA}, \\ & 0 \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}} \leq 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | -0.8 |  | -1.0 |  | $\mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Note 1: Absolute Maximum Ratings indicate limits beyond which damage to the device may occur. Operating Ratings indicate conditions for which the device is intended to be functional, but do not guarantee Specific Performance limits. For guaranteed specifications and test conditions, see the Electrical Characteristics.
Note 2: Refer to Typical Performance Characteristics and Design Considerations for details.
Note 3: Regulation is measured at a constant junction temperature by pulse testing with a low duty cycle. Changes in output voltage due to heating effects must be taken into account.

Typical Applications (Continued)


TL/H/7340-4

## Design Considerations

The LM79XX fixed voltage regulator series has thermal overload protection from excessive power dissipation, internal short circuit protection which limits the circuit's maximum current, and output transistor safe-area compensation for reducing the output current as the voltage across the pass transistor is increased.
Although the internal power dissipation is limited, the junction temperature must be kept below the maximum specified temperature $\left(125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in order to meet data sheet specifications. To calculate the maximum junction temperature or heat sink required, the following thermal resistance values should be used:

| Package | Typ <br> $\theta_{\text {JC }}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{W}$ | Max <br> $\theta_{\text {JC }}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{T y p}$ <br> $\theta_{\text {JA }}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} / W$ | Max <br> $\theta_{\text {JA }}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{W}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO-220 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 60 | 40 |

$P_{D M A X}=\frac{T_{J \text { Max }}-T_{A}}{\theta_{J C}+\theta_{\mathrm{CA}}}$ or $\frac{T_{J \text { Max }} T_{A}}{\theta_{J A}}$
$\theta_{\mathrm{CA}}=\theta_{\mathrm{CS}}+\theta_{\mathrm{SA}}$ (without heat sink)
Solving for $T_{J}$ :
$T_{J}=T_{A}+P_{D}\left(\theta_{J C}+\theta_{C A}\right)$ or
$=T_{A}+P_{D} \theta_{J A}$ (without heat sink)

Where:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=$ Junction Temperature
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Ambient Temperature
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}=$ Power Dissipation
$\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=$ Junction-to-Ambient Thermal Resistance
$\theta_{\mathrm{JC}}=$ Junction-to-Case Thermal Resistance
$\theta_{\mathrm{CA}}=$ Case-to-Ambient Thermal Resistance
$\theta_{\mathrm{CS}}=$ Case-to-Heat Sink Thermal Resistance
$\theta_{\text {SA }}=$ Heat Sink-to-Ambient Thermal Resistance
Typical Applications (Continued)
Bypass capacitors are necessary for stable operation of the LM79XX series of regulators over the input voltage and output current ranges. Output bypass capacitors will improve the transient response by the regulator.
The bypass capacitors, ( $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ on the input, $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ on the output) should be ceramic or solid tantalum which have good high frequency characteristics. If aluminum electrolytics are used, their values should be $10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ or larger. The bypass capacitors should be mounted with the shortest leads, and if possible, directly across the regulator terminals.


Load and line regulation $<0.01 \%$ temperature stability $\leq 0.2 \%$
$\dagger$ Determine Zener current
$\dagger$ †Solid tantalum
*Select resistors to set output voltage. $2 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ tracking suggested

## Typical Applications (Continued)



TL/H/7340-8
*Lamp brightness increase until $\mathrm{i}_{1}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\approx 1 \mathrm{~mA})+5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{R} 1$.
$\dagger$ Necessary only if raw supply filter capacitor is more than 2" from LM7905CT

## Typical Applications (Continued)


*Lamp brightness increases until $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}=5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{R} 1$ ( $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be set as low as $1 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ )
$\dagger$ Necessary only if raw supply filter capacitor is more than $2^{\prime \prime}$ from LM7905



Schematic Diagrams (Continued)



## Physical Dimensions inches (millimeters)



## LIFE SUPPORT POLICY

NATIONAL'S PRODUCTS ARE NOT AUTHORIZED FOR USE AS CRITICAL COMPONENTS IN LIFE SUPPORT DEVICES OR SYSTEMS WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE PRESIDENT OF NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION. As used herein:

1. Life support devices or systems are devices or systems which, (a) are intended for surgical implant into the body, or (b) support or sustain life, and whose failure to perform, when properly used in accordance with instructions for use provided in the labeling, can be reasonably expected to result in a significant injury to the user.
2. A critical component is any component of a life support device or system whose failure to perform can be reasonably expected to cause the failure of the life support device or system, or to affect its safety or effectiveness.

| National Semiconductor Corporation <br> 1111 West Bardin Road Arlington, TX 76017 <br> Tel: 1(800) 272-9959 <br> Fax: 1(800) 737-7018 | National Semiconductor Europe <br> Fax: (+49) 0-180-530 8586 <br> Email: cnjwge@tevm2.nsc.com <br> Deutsch Tel: (+49) 0-180-530 8585 <br> English Tel: (+49) 0-180-532 7832 <br> Français Tel: $(+49)$ 0-180-532 9358 <br> Italiano Tel: $(+49)$ 0-180-534 1680 | National Semiconductor Hong Kong Ltd. <br> 13th Floor, Straight Block, Ocean Centre, 5 Canton Rd. Tsimshatsui, Kowloon Hong Kong <br> Tel: (852) 2737-1600 <br> Fax: (852) 2736-9960 | National Semiconductor Japan Ltd. <br> Tel: 81-043-299-2309 <br> Fax: 81-043-299-2408 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Single Supply, Rail-to-Rail Low Power FET-Input Op Amp

FEATURES
TRUE SINGLE SUPPLY OPERATION Output Swings Rail to Rail
Input Voltage Range Extends Below Ground Single Supply Capability from +3 V to +36 V
Dual Supply Capability from $\pm 1.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to $\pm 18 \mathrm{~V}$
HIGH LOAD DRIVE
Capacitive Load Drive of 350 pF, G = 1
Minimum Output Current of 15 mA
EXCELLENT AC PERFORMANCE FOR LOW POWER
$800 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ Max Quiescent Current per Amplifier
Unity Gain Bandwidth: 1.8 MHz
Slew Rate of $3.0 \mathrm{~V} / \mu \mathrm{s}$
GOOD DC PERFORMANCE
$800 \mu$ V Max Input Offset Voltage
$2 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Typ Offset Voltage Drift
25 pA Max Input Bias Current
LOW NOISE
13 nV/ $\sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ @ 10 kHz
NO PHASE INVERSION
APPLICATIONS
Battery Powered Precision Instrumentation
Photodiode Preamps
Active Filters
12- to 14-Bit Data Acquisition Systems
Medical Instrumentation
Low Power References and Regulators

## PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

The AD 822 is a dual precision, low power FET input op amp that can operate from a single supply of +3.0 V to 36 V , or dual supplies of $\pm 1.5 \mathrm{~V}$ to $\pm 18 \mathrm{~V}$. It has true single supply


REV. A

[^1]
## CONNECTION DIAGRAM 8-Pin Plastic DIP, Cerdip and SOIC


capability with an input voltage range extending below the negative rail, allowing the AD 822 to accommodate input signals below ground in the single supply mode. Output voltage swing extends to within 10 mV of each rail providing the maximum output dynamic range.
Offset voltage of $800 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ max, offset voltage drift of $2 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, input bias currents below 25 pA and low input voltage noise provide dc precision with source impedances up to a Gigaohm. 1.8 M Hz unity gain bandwidth, -93 dB THD at 10 kHz and $3 \mathrm{~V} / \mu \mathrm{s}$ slew rate are provided with a low supply current of $800 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ per amplifier. The AD 822 drives up to 350 pF of direct capacitive load as a follower, and provides a minimum output current of 15 mA . This allows the amplifier to handle a wide range of load conditions. This combination of ac and dc performance, plus the outstanding load drive capability, results in an exceptionally versatile amplifier for the single supply user.
The AD822 is available in four performance grades. The A and $B$ grades are rated over the industrial temperature range of $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. There is also a 3 volt grade-the AD 822A-3V, rated over the industrial temperature range. T he mil grade is rated over the military temperature range of $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is available processed on standard military drawing.
The AD 822 is offered in three varieties of 8-pin package: plastic DIP, hermetic cerdip and surface mount (SOIC) as well as die form.


Gain of +2 Amplifier; $V_{S}=+5,0, V_{I N}=2.5 V$ Sine Centered at 1.25 Volts, $R_{L}=100 \mathrm{k} \Omega$

One Technology Way, P.O. Box 9106, Norwood, MA 02062-9106, U.S.A. Tel: 617/329-4700

Fax: 617/326-8703

AD822- SPECIFICATIONS $\left(V_{S}=0,5\right.$ volts @ $T_{A}=+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CM}}=0 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{v}_{\text {OUT }}=0.2$ vunless otherwise noted)

( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5$ volts $@ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}=+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CM}}=0 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {out }}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ unless otherwise noted)



$\left(V_{S}=0,3\right.$ volts @ $T_{A}=+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CM}}=0 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {out }}=0.2 \mathrm{~V}$ unless otherwise noted)

| Parameter | Conditions | Min | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AD822A-3V } \\ & \text { Typ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Max | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DC PERFORMANCE <br> Initial Offset <br> M ax Offset over T emperature <br> Offset Drift <br> Input Bias Current at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{MAX}}$ <br> Input Offset C urrent at $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Max }}$ <br> Open-Loop Gain <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CM}}=0 \mathrm{~V} \text { to }+2 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \mathrm{~V}_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{~V} \text { to } 2 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=100 \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=10 \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=1 \mathrm{k} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 300 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 10 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 2 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 1000 \\ & \\ & 150 \\ & \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 25 \\ & 5 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | mV <br> mV <br> $\mu \mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> pA <br> nA <br> pA <br> nA <br> $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mV}$ <br> $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mV}$ <br> $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mV}$ <br> $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mV}$ <br> $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mV}$ <br> $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mV}$ |
| ```NOISE/HARMONIC PERFORM ANCE Input Voltage N oise 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz f=10 Hz f = 100 Hz f=1 kHz f=10 kHz Input Current N oise 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz f=1 kHz Harmonic D istortion f = 10 kHz``` | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=10 \mathrm{k} \text { to } 1.5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{O}}= \pm 1.25 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 25 \\ & 21 \\ & 16 \\ & 13 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \\ & 0.8 \\ & \\ & \hline-92 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ p-p <br> $\mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ <br> $\mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ <br> $\mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ <br> $\mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ <br> fA p-p <br> $\mathrm{fA} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ <br> dB |
| DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE <br> U nity Gain Frequency <br> Full Power Response <br> Slew Rate <br> Settling Time <br> to 0.1\% <br> to 0.01\% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}=2.5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \mathrm{~V}_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{~V} \text { to } 2.5 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 240 \\ & 3 \\ & \\ & 1 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ |  | M Hz <br> kHz <br> V/ $\mu \mathrm{s}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{S}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{S}$ |
| ```MATCHING CHARACTERISTICS Initial Offset M ax Offset Over T emperature Offset Drift Input Bias Current C rosstalk @ f= 1 kHz f=100 kHz``` | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=5 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & -130 \\ & -93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | mV <br> mV <br> $\mu \mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> pA <br> dB <br> dB |
| INPUT CHARACTERISTICS <br> Common-M ode Voltage Range ${ }^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ <br> CMRR <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ <br> Input Impedance <br> Differential <br> Common M ode | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CM}}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ to +1 V | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2 \\ & -0.2 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $74$ $\begin{aligned} & 10^{13}\| \| 0.5 \\ & 10^{33}\| \| 2.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | V <br> V <br> dB <br> dB <br> $\Omega \\| \mathrm{pF}$ <br> $\Omega \\| \mathrm{pF}$ |
| OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS <br> Output Saturation Voltage ${ }^{3}$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OL}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{EE}}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OL}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{EE}}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ $V_{O L}-V_{E E}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ <br> Operating Output Current <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ <br> C apacitive Load D rive | $\begin{aligned} & I_{\text {SINK }}=20 \mu \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\text {SOURCE }}=20 \mu \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\text {SINK }}=2 \mathrm{~mA} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\text {SOURCE }}=2 \mathrm{~mA} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\text {SINK }}=10 \mathrm{~mA} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\text {SOURCE }}=10 \mathrm{~mA} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 5 <br> 10 <br> 40 <br> 80 <br> 200 <br> 500 <br> 350 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 10 \\ & 14 \\ & 20 \\ & 55 \\ & 80 \\ & 110 \\ & 160 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mV <br> mA <br> mA <br> pF |
| POWER SUPPLY <br> Quiescent Current $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ <br> Power Supply Rejection <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+=3 \mathrm{~V}$ to 15 V | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mA} \\ & \mathrm{~dB} \\ & \mathrm{~dB} \end{aligned}$ |

## AD822- SPECIFICATIONS

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ See standard military drawing for 883B specifications.
${ }^{2} T$ his is a functional specification. Amplifier bandwidth decreases when the input common-mode voltage is driven in the range $\left(+V_{S}-1 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ to $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$
Common-mode error voltage is typically less than 5 mV with the common-mode voltage set at 1 volt below the positive supply.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{OL}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{EE}}$ is defined as the difference between the lowest possible output voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OL}}$ ) and the minus voltage supply rail ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{EE}}$ ).
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ is defined as the difference between the highest possible output voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ ) and the positive supply voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$ ).
Specifications subject to change without notice.

## CAUTION

ESD (electrostatic discharge) sensitive device. Electrostatic charges as high as 4000 V readily accumulate on the human body and test equipment and can discharge without detection. Although the AD 822 features proprietary ESD protection circuitry, permanent damage may occur on devices subjected to high energy electrostatic discharges. Therefore, proper ESD precautions are recommended to avoid performance degradation or loss of functionality.


## ABSOLUTE MAXIMUM RATINGS ${ }^{1}$

| Supply Voltage . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\pm 18 \mathrm{I}$ V |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Internal Power D issipation |  |
| Plastic DIP (N) | O bserve D erating Curves |
| Cerdip (Q) | O bserve D erating Curves |
| SOIC (R) | O bserve D erating Curves |
| Input Voltage | $\left.+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+0.2 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ to -( $20 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) |
| O utput Short C ircuit D uration | Indefinite |
| D ifferential Input V oltage | $\pm 30 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Storage T emperature Range (N) | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Storage T emperature Range (Q) | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Storage T emperature Range (R) | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| O perating T emperature R ange |  |

O perating T emperature Range

| AD 822A/B | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| AD 822 S | $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ Stresses above those listed under "Absolute M aximum Ratings" may cause permanent damage to the device. This is a stress rating only and functional operation of the device at these or any other conditions above those indicated in the operational section of this specification is not implied. Exposure to absolute maximum rating conditions for extended periods may affect device reliability.
${ }^{2} 8$-Pin Plastic DIP Package: $\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ att
8 -Pin Cerdip Package: $\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ att
8 -Pin SOIC Package: $\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ att

## MAXIMUM POWER DISSIPATION

The maximum power that can be safely dissipated by the AD 822 is limited by the associated rise in junction temperature. F or plastic packages, the maximum safe junction temperature is $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. F or the cerdip packages, the maximum junction temperature is $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. If these maximums are exceeded momentarily, proper circuit
operation will be restored as soon as the die temperature is reduced. Leaving the device in the "overheated" condition for an extended period can result in device burnout. To ensure proper operation, it is important to observe the derating curves shown in Figure 24.
W hile the AD 822 is internally short circuit protected, this may not be sufficient to guarantee that the maximum junction temperature is not exceeded under all conditions. With power supplies $\pm 12$ volts (or less) at an ambient temperature of $+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or less, if the output node is shorted to a supply rail, then the amplifier will not be destroyed, even if this condition persists for an extended period.

## ORDERING GUIDE

| Model ${ }^{1}$ | Temperature Range | Package Description | Package Option |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD 822AN | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin Plastic M ini-DIP | N-8 |
| AD 822BN | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin Plastic M ini-DIP | N-8 |
| AD 822AR | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin SOIC | R-8 |
| AD 822BR | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin SOIC | R-8 |
| AD 822AR-3V | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin SOIC | R-8 |
| AD 822AN-3V | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin Plastic M ini-DIP | N-8 |
| AD 822A Chips | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Die |  |
| Standard M ilitary Drawing ${ }^{2}$ | $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8-Pin Cerdip | Q-8 |

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ Spice model is available on ADI M odel Disc.
${ }^{2}$ C ontact factory for availability.

## METALIZATION PHOTOGRAPH

C ontact factory for latest dimensions.
Dimensions shown in inches and (mm).


NOTE: BACK OF DIE IS AT + $V_{S}$ POTENTIAL.

## Typical Characteristics- AD822



Figure 1. Typical Distribution of Offset Voltage (390 Units)


Figure 2. Typical Distribution of Offset Voltage Drift (100 Units)


Figure 3. Typical Distribution of Input Bias Current (213 Units)


Figure 4. Input Bias Current vs. Common-Mode Voltage; $V_{S}=+5 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V}$ and $V_{S}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$


Figure 5. Input Bias Current vs. Common-Mode Voltage; $V_{S}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$


Figure 6. Input Bias Current vs. Temperature; $V_{S}=5 \mathrm{~V}$, $V_{C M}=0$

## AD822- Typical Characteristics



Figure 7. Open-Loop Gain vs. Load Resistance


Figure 8. Open-Loop Gain vs. Temperature


Figure 9. Input Error Voltage vs. Output Voltage for Resistive Loads


Figure 10. Input Error Voltage with Output Voltage within 300 mV of Either Supply Rail for Various Resistive Loads; $V_{S}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$


Figure 11. Input Voltage Noise vs. Frequency


Figure 12. Total Harmonic Distortion vs. Frequency


Figure 13. Open-Loop Gain and Phase Margin vs. Frequency


Figure 14. Output Impedance vs. Frequency


Figure 15. Output Swing and Error vs. Settling Time


Figure 16. Common-Mode Rejection vs. Frequency


Figure 17. Absolute Common-Mode Error vs. CommonMode Voltage from Supply Rails $\left(V_{S}-V_{C M}\right)$


Figure 18. Output Saturation Voltage vs. Load Current

## AD822- Typical Characteristics



Figure 19. Output Saturation Voltage vs. Temperature


Figure 20. Short Circuit Current Limit vs. Temperature


Figure 21. Quiescent Current vs. Supply Voltage vs. Temperature


Figure 22. Power Supply Rejection vs. Frequency


Figure 23. Large Signal Frequency Response


Figure 24. Maximum Power Dissipation vs. Temperature for Plastic and Hermetic Packages


Figure 25. Crosstalk vs. Frequency


Figure 26. Unity-Gain Follower


Figure 27. 20 V p-p, 25 kHz Sine Wave Input; Unity Gain Follower; $R_{L}=600 \Omega, V_{S}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$


Figure 28. Crosstalk Test Circuit


Figure 29. Large Signal Response Unity Gain Follower; $V_{S}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}, R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$


Figure 30. Small Signal Response Unity Gain Follower; $V_{S}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}, R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$

AD822


Figure 31. $V_{S}=+5$ V, 0 V; Unity Gain Follower Response to 0 V to 4 V Step


Figure 32. Unity Gain Follower


Figure 33. Gain of Two Inverter


Figure 34. $V_{S}=+5 \mathrm{~V}, 0$ V; Unity Gain Follower Response to $0 V$ to 5 V Step


Figure 35. $V_{S}=+5 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V}$; Unity Gain Follower Response, to 40 mV Step Centered 40 mV Above Ground, $R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$


Figure 36. $V_{S}=+5 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V}$; Gain of Two Inverter Response to 20 mV Step, Centered 20 mV Below Ground, $R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$


Figure 37. $V_{S}=+5 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V}$; Gain of Two Inverter Response to 2.5 V Step Centered -1.25 V Below Ground, $R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$


Figure 38. $V_{S}=3 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V}$; Gain of Two Inverter, $V_{I N}=1.25 \mathrm{~V}$, 25 kHz , Sine Wave Centered at $-0.75 \mathrm{~V}, R_{L}=600 \Omega$

## APPLICATION NOTES INPUT CHARACTERISTICS

In the AD 822, n-channel JFET s are used to provide a low offset, low noise, high impedance input stage. M inimum input common-mode voltage extends from 0.2 V below $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ to 1 V less than $+V_{s}$. D riving the input voltage closer to the positive rail will cause a loss of amplifier bandwidth (as can be seen by comparing the large signal responses shown in Figures 31 and 34) and increased common-mode voltage error as illustrated in Figure 17.
The AD 822 does not exhibit phase reversal for input voltages up to and including $+V_{s}$. Figure 39a shows the response of an AD 822 voltage follower to a 0 V to $+5 \mathrm{~V}\left(+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ square wave input. T he input and output arc superimposed. The output tracks the input up to $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ without phase reversal. T he reduced bandwidth above a 4 V input causes the rounding of the output wave form. F or input voltages greater than $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$, a resistor in series with the AD 822's noninverting input will prevent phase reversal, at the expense of greater input voltage noise. $T$ his is illustrated in Figure 39b.


Figure 39. (a) Response with $R_{P}=0 ; V_{I N}$ from 0 to $+V_{S}$ (b) $V_{I N}=0$ to $+V_{S}+200 \mathrm{mV}$ $V_{\text {OUT }}=0$ to $+V_{S}$ $R_{P}=49.9 \mathrm{k} \Omega$

Since the input stage uses n-channel JFETs, input current during normal operation is negative; the current flows out from the input terminals. If the input voltage is driven more positive than $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}-0.4 \mathrm{~V}$, the input current will reverse direction as internal device junctions become forward biased. This is illustrated in Figure 4.
A current limiting resistor should be used in series with the input of the AD 822 if there is a possibility of the input voltage exceeding the positive supply by more than 300 mV , or if an input voltage will be applied to the AD 822 when $\pm \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$. The amplifier will be damaged if left in that condition for more than 10 seconds. A $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ resistor allows the amplifier to withstand up to 10 volts of continuous overvoltage, and increases the input voltage noise by a negligible amount.
Input voltages less than $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are a completely different story. The amplifier can safely withstand input voltages 20 volts below the minus supply voltage as long as the total voltage from the positive supply to the input terminal is less than 36 volts. In addition, the input stage typically maintains picoamp level input currents across that input voltage range.

The AD 822 is designed for $13 \mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ wideband input voltage noise and maintains low noise performance to low frequencies (refer to Figure 11). This noise performance, along with the AD 822's low input current and current noise means that the AD 822 contributes negligible noise for applications with source resistances greater than $10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ and signal bandwidths greater than 1 kHz . This is illustrated in Figure 40.


Figure 40. Total Noise vs. Source Impedance

## OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS

The AD 822 s unique bipolar rail-to-rail output stage swings within 5 mV of the minus supply and 10 mV of the positive supply with no external resistive load. The AD 822's approximate output saturation resistance is $40 \Omega$ sourcing and $20 \Omega$ sinking. This can be used to estimate output saturation voltage when driving heavier current loads. F or instance, when sourcing 5 mA , the saturation voltage to the positive supply rail will be 200 mV , when sinking 5 mA , the saturation voltage to the minus rail will be 100 mV .

The amplifier's open-loop gain characteristic will change as a function of resistive load, as shown in Figures 7 through 10. For load resistances over $20 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, the AD 822's input error voltage is virtually unchanged until the output voltage is driven to 180 mV of either supply.
If the AD 822's output is overdriven so as to saturate either of the output devices, the amplifier will recover within $2 \mu$ of its input returning to the amplifier's linear operating region.
Direct capacitive loads will interact with the amplifier's effective output impedance to form an additional pole in the amplifier's feedback loop, which can cause excessive peaking on the pulse response or loss of stability. W orst case is when the amplifier is used as a unity gain follower. Figure 41 shows the AD 822's pulse response as a unity gain follower driving 350 pF . This amount of overshoot indicates approximately 20 degrees of phase margin-the system is stable, but is nearing the edge. Configurations with less loop gain, and as a result less loop bandwidth, will be much less sensitive to capacitance load effects. Figure 42 is a plot of capacitive load that will result in a 20 degree phase margin versus noise gain for the AD 822. N oise gain is the inverse of the feedback attenuation factor provided by the feedback network in use.


Figure 41. Small Signal Response of AD822 as Unity Gain Follower Driving 350 pF Capacitive Load



Figure 42. Capacitive Load Tolerance vs. Noise Gain
Figure 43 shows a method for extending capacitance load drive capability for a unity gain follower. With these component values, the circuit will drive $5,000 \mathrm{pF}$ with a $10 \%$ overshoot.


Figure 43. Extending Unity Gain Follower Capacitive Load Capability Beyond 350 pF

## APPLICATIONS

## Single Supply Voltage-to-Frequency Converter

The circuit shown in Figure 44 uses the AD 822 to drive a low power timer, which produces a stable pulse of width $t_{1}$. The positive going output pulse is integrated by R 1-C 1 and used as one input to the AD 822, which is connected as a differential integrator. The other input (nonloading) is the unknown voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}}$. The AD 822 output drives the timer trigger input, closing the overall feedback loop.


Figure 44. Single Supply Voltage-to-Frequency Converter
Typical AD 822 bias currents of 2 pA allow megaohm-range source impedances with negligible dc errors. Linearity errors on the order of $0.01 \%$ full scale can be achieved with this circuit. This performance is obtained with a 5 volt single supply which delivers less than 1 mA to the entire circuit.
Single Supply Programmable Gain Instrumentation Amplifier The AD 822 can be configured as a single supply instrumentation amplifier that is able to operate from single supplies down to 3 V , or dual supplies up to $\pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$. U sing only one AD 822 rather than three separate op amps, this circuit is cost and power efficient. AD 822 FET inputs' 2 pA bias currents minimize offset errors caused by high unbalanced source impedances.
An array of precision thin-film resistors sets the in amp gain to be either 10 or 100. These resistors are laser-trimmed to ratio match to $0.01 \%$, and have a maximum differential TC of $5 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table I. AD822 In Amp Performance

| Parameters | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}=3 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMRR | 74 dB | 80 dB |
| Common-M ode |  |  |
| Voltage Range | -0.2 V to +2 V | -5.2 V to +4 V |
| 3 dB BW, G $=10$ | 180 kHz | 180 kHz |
| $G=100$ | 18 kHz | 18 kHz |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\text {SETtling }}$ |  |  |
| 2 V Step $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \mathrm{~V}, 3 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |  |
| $5 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| N oise @ f = 1 kHz, G = 10 | $270 \mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\text { Hz }}$ | $270 \mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ |
| $\mathrm{G}=100$ | $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~V} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ | $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~V} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {SUPPLY }}$ (T otal) | 1.10 mA | 1.15 mA |



Figure 45a. Pulse Response of In Amp to a 500 mV p-p Input Signal; $V_{S}=+5 \mathrm{~V}, 0 \mathrm{~V} ;$ Gain $=10$


Figure 45b. A Single Supply Programmable Instrumentation Amplifier


Figure 46. 3 Volt Single Supply Stereo Headphone Driver

## 3 Volt, Single Supply Stereo Headphone Driver

The AD 822 exhibits good current drive and THD +N performance, even at 3 V single supplies. At 1 kHz , total harmonic distortion plus noise (THD +N ) equals -62 dB (0.079\%) for a 300 mV p-p output signal. T his is comparable to other single supply op amps which consume more power and cannot run on 3 V power supplies.
In Figure 46, each channel s input signal is coupled via a $1 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ $M$ ylar capacitor. Resistor dividers set the dc voltage at the noninverting inputs so that the output voltage is midway between the power supplies $(+1.5 \mathrm{~V})$. The gain is 1.5. Each half of the AD 822 can then be used to drive a headphone channel. A 5 Hz high-pass filter is realized by the $500 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ capacitors and the headphones, which can be modeled as 32 ohm load resistors to ground. T his ensures that all signals in the audio frequency range ( $20 \mathrm{~Hz}-20 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) are delivered to the headphones.

## Low Dropout Bipolar Bridge Driver

The AD 822 can be used for driving a 350 ohm W heatstone bridge. Figure 47 shows one half of the AD 822 being used to buffer the AD 589—a 1.235 V low power reference. The output of +4.5 V can be used to drive an A/D converter front end. The other half of the AD 822 is configured as a unity-gain inverter, and generates the other bridge input of -4.5 V . Resistors R1 and R2 provide a constant current for bridge excitation. The AD 620 low power instrumentation amplifier is used to condition the differential output voltage of the bridge. The gain of the AD 620 is programmed using an external resistor $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$, and determined by:

$$
G=\frac{49.4 \mathrm{k} \Omega}{R_{G}}+1
$$



Figure 47. Low Dropout Bipolar Bridge Driver

OUTLINE DIMENSIONS
Dimensions shown in inches and (mm).


Cerdip (Q) Package


## FEATURES

## Easy to use

Gain set with one external resistor
(Gain range 1 to $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0 )}$
Wide power supply range ( $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{2 . 3} \mathbf{~ V}$ to $\pm \mathbf{1 8} \mathrm{V}$ )
Higher performance than 3 op amp IA designs
Available in 8-lead DIP and SOIC packaging
Low power, 1.3 mA max supply current
Excellent dc performance (B grade)
$50 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ max, input offset voltage
$0.6 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ max, input offset drift
1.0 nA max, input bias current

100 dB min common-mode rejection ratio ( $\mathrm{G}=10$ )
Low noise
$9 \mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ @ 1 kHz , input voltage noise
$0.28 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ p-p noise ( 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz )

## Excellent ac specifications

120 kHz bandwidth $(\mathrm{G}=100)$
$15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ settling time to $0.01 \%$

## APPLICATIONS

Weigh scales
ECG and medical instrumentation
Transducer interface
Data acquisition systems
Industrial process controls
Battery-powered and portable equipment


Figure 2. Three Op Amp IA Designs vs. AD620
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## CONNECTION DIAGRAM



Figure 1. 8-Lead PDIP (N), CERDIP (Q), and SOIC (R) Packages

## PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

The AD620 is a low cost, high accuracy instrumentation amplifier that requires only one external resistor to set gains of 1 to 10,000 . Furthermore, the AD620 features 8-lead SOIC and DIP packaging that is smaller than discrete designs and offers lower power (only 1.3 mA max supply current), making it a good fit for battery-powered, portable (or remote) applications.

The AD620, with its high accuracy of 40 ppm maximum nonlinearity, low offset voltage of $50 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ max, and offset drift of $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ max, is ideal for use in precision data acquisition systems, such as weigh scales and transducer interfaces.
Furthermore, the low noise, low input bias current, and low power of the AD620 make it well suited for medical applications, such as ECG and noninvasive blood pressure monitors.

The low input bias current of 1.0 nA max is made possible with the use of Super6eta processing in the input stage. The AD620 works well as a preamplifier due to its low input voltage noise of $9 \mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{Hz}$ at $1 \mathrm{kHz}, 0.28 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ p-p in the 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz band, and $0.1 \mathrm{pA} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{Hz}$ input current noise. Also, the AD620 is well suited for multiplexed applications with its settling time of $15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ to $0.01 \%$, and its cost is low enough to enable designs with one in-amp per channel.


Figure 3. Total Voltage Noise vs. Source Resistance
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## SPECIFICATIONS

Typical @ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, unless otherwise noted.
Table 1.

| Parameter | Conditions | AD620A |  |  | AD620B |  |  | AD620S ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Min | Typ | Max | Min | Typ | Max | Min | Typ | Max |  |
| GAIN | $\mathrm{G}=1+\left(49.4 \mathrm{k} \Omega / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain Range |  | 1 |  | 10,000 | 1 |  | 10,000 | 1 |  | 10,000 |  |
| Gain Error ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }}= \pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $G=1$ |  |  | 0.03 | 0.10 |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.03 | 0.10 | \% |
| $G=10$ |  |  | 0.15 | 0.30 |  | 0.10 | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.30 | \% |
| $G=100$ |  |  | 0.15 | 0.30 |  | 0.10 | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.30 | \% |
| $G=1000$ |  |  | 0.40 | 0.70 |  | 0.35 | 0.50 |  | 0.40 | 0.70 | \% |
| Nonlinearity | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {OUt }}=-10 \mathrm{~V}$ to +10 V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{G}=1-1000$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |  | 10 | 40 |  | 10 | 40 |  | 10 | 40 | ppm |
| $G=1-100$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |  | 10 | 95 |  | 10 | 95 |  | 10 | 95 | ppm |
| Gain vs. Temperature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{G}=1$ |  |  | 10 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 10 | ppm $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | Gain $>1{ }^{2}$ |  |  | -50 |  |  | -50 |  |  | -50 | ppm $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| VOLTAGE OFFSET | (Total RTI Error $=$ Vosı $+\mathrm{V}_{\text {oso }} / \mathrm{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input Offset, Vosı | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 15 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  | 30 | $125$ |  | 15 | $50$ |  | 30 | $125$ | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
| Overtemperature | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 15 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 185 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 225 | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
| Average TC | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 15 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.3 | 1.0 |  | 0.1 | 0.6 |  | 0.3 | 1.0 | $\mu \mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Output Offset, Voso | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$ |  | 400 | 1000 |  | 200 | 500 |  | 400 | 1000 | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  | 1500 |  |  | 750 |  |  | 1500 | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
| Overtemperature | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 15 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 2000 | $\mu \mathrm{V}$ |
| Average TC | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 15 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  | 5.0 | 15 |  | 2.5 | 7.0 |  | 5.0 | 15 | $\mu \mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Offset Referred to the Input vs. Supply (PSR) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 2.3 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 18 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{G}=1$ |  | 80 | 100 |  | 80 | 100 |  | 80 | 100 |  | dB |
| $\mathrm{G}=10$ |  | 95 | 120 |  | 100 | 120 |  | 95 | 120 |  | dB |
| $G=100$ |  | 110 | 140 |  | 120 | 140 |  | 110 | 140 |  | dB |
| $\mathrm{G}=1000$ |  | 110 | 140 |  | 120 | 140 |  | 110 | 140 |  | dB |
| INPUT CURRENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input Bias Current |  |  | 0.5 | 2.0 |  | 0.5 | 1.0 |  | 0.5 | 2 | nA |
| Overtemperature |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 4 | nA |
| Average TC |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | $\mathrm{pA} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Input Offset Current |  |  | 0.3 | 1.0 |  | 0.3 | 0.5 |  | 0.3 | 1.0 | nA |
| Overtemperature |  |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 2.0 | nA |
| Average TC |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 8.0 |  | $\mathrm{pA} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| INPUT | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 2.3 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input Impedance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Differential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G} \Omega \_\mathrm{pF}$ |
| Common-Mode |  |  | $10\|\mid 2$ |  |  | $10\|\mid 2$ |  |  | $10\|\mid 2$ |  | G _ pF |
| Input Voltage Range ${ }^{3}$ |  | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+1.9$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}-1.2$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}+1.9$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}-1.2$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}+1.9$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}-1.2$ | V |
| Overtemperature |  | $-\mathrm{V}_{5}+2.1$ |  | $+V_{s}-1.3$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{s}+2.1$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}-1.3$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{s}+2.1$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}-1.3$ | V |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 5 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \text { to } \pm 18 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $-V_{S}+1.9$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}-1.4$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}+1.9$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}-1.4$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+1.9$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}-1.4$ | V |
| Overtemperature |  | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+2.1$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\text {S }}-1.4$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+2.1$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}+2.1$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}+2.3$ |  | $+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}-1.4$ | V |


|  |  | AD620A |  | AD620B |  | AD620S ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Conditions | Min | Typ Max | Min | Typ $\quad$ Max | Min | Typ | Max | Unit |
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## ABSOLUTE MAXIMUM RATINGS

Table 2.

| Parameter | Rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| Supply Voltage | $\pm 18 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Internal Power Dissipation ${ }^{1}$ | 650 mW |
| Input Voltage (Common-Mode) | $\pm \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ |
| Differential Input Voltage | 25 V |
| Output Short-Circuit Duration | Indefinite |
| Storage Temperature Range (Q) | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Storage Temperature Range (N, R) | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Operating Temperature Range |  |
| $\quad$ AD620 (A, B) | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\quad$ AD620 (S) | $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Lead Temperature Range |  |
| $\quad$ (Soldering 10 seconds) | $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Specification is for device in free air:
8-Lead Plastic Package: $\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
8 -Lead CERDIP Package: $\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
8-Lead SOIC Package: $\theta_{\mathrm{JA}}=155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Stresses above those listed under Absolute Maximum Ratings may cause permanent damage to the device. This is a stress rating only; functional operation of the device at these or any other condition $s$ above those indicated in the operational section of this specification is not implied. Exposure to absolute maximum rating conditions for extended periods may affect device reliability.

## ESD CAUTION

ESD (electrostatic discharge) sensitive device. Electrostatic charges as high as 4000 V readily accumulate on the human body and test equipment and can discharge without detection. Although this product features proprietary ESD protection circuitry, permanent damage may occur on devices subjected to high energy electrostatic discharges. Therefore, proper ESD precautions are recommended to avoid performance degradation or loss of functionality.

*FOR CHIP APPLICATIONS: THE PADS $1 R_{G}$ AND $8 R_{G}$ MUST BE CONNECTED IN PARALLEL TO THE EXTERNAL GAIN REGISTER $R_{G}$. DO NOT CONNECT THEM IN SERIES TO $R_{G}$. FOR UNITY GAIN APPLICATIONS WHERE $R_{G}$ IS NOT REQUIRED, THE PADS $1 R_{G}$ MAY SIMPLY BE BONDED TOGETHER, AS WELL AS THE PADS $8 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$.

Figure 4. Metallization Photograph.
Dimensions shown in inches and (mm).
Contact sales for latest dimensions.

## TYPICAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

(@ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, unless otherwise noted.)


Figure 5. Typical Distribution of Input Offset Voltage


Figure 6. Typical Distribution of Input Bias Current


Figure 7. Typical Distribution of Input Offset Current


Figure 8. Input Bias Current vs. Temperature


Figure 9. Change in Input Offset Voltage vs. Warm-Up Time


Figure 10. Voltage Noise Spectral Density vs. Frequency ( $G=1-1000$ )


Figure 11. Current Noise Spectral Density vs. Frequency


Figure 12. 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz RTI Voltage Noise ( $\mathrm{G}=1$ )


Figure 13. 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz RTI Voltage Noise $(G=1000)$


Figure 14. 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz Current Noise, $5 \mathrm{pA} / \mathrm{Div}$


Figure 15. Total Drift vs. Source Resistance


Figure 16. Typical CMR vs. Frequency, RTI, Zero to $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ Source Imbalance


Figure 17. Positive PSR vs. Frequency, $R T I(G=1-1000)$


Figure 18. Negative PSR vs. Frequency, $\operatorname{RTI}(G=1-1000)$


Figure 19. Gain vs. Frequency


Figure 20. Large Signal Frequency Response


Figure 21. Input Voltage Range vs. Supply Voltage, $G=1$


Figure 22. Output Voltage Swing vs. Supply Voltage, $G=10$


Figure 23. Output Voltage Swing vs. Load Resistance


Figure 24. Large Signal Pulse Response and Settling Time $G=1(0.5 \mathrm{mV}=0.01 \%)$


Figure 25. Small Signal Response, $G=1, R_{L}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega, C_{L}=100 \mathrm{pF}$


Figure 26. Large Signal Response and Settling Time, $G=10(0.5 \mathrm{mV}=0.01 \%)$


Figure 27. Small Signal Response, $G=10, R_{L}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega, C_{L}=100 \mathrm{pF}$


Figure 28. Large Signal Response and Settling Time, $G=100(0.5 \mathrm{mV}=0.01 \%)$


Figure 29. Small Signal Pulse Response, $G=100, R_{L}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega, C_{L}=100 \mathrm{pF}$


Figure 30. Large Signal Response and Settling Time, $G=1000(0.5 \mathrm{mV}=0.01 \%)$


Figure 31. Small Signal Pulse Response, $G=1000, R_{L}=2 \mathrm{k} \Omega, C_{L}=100 \mathrm{pF}$


Figure 32. Settling Time vs. Step Size $(G=1)$


Figure 33. Settling Time to $0.01 \%$ vs. Gain, for a 10 V Step


Figure 34. Gain Nonlinearity, $G=1, R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega(10 \mu V=1 \mathrm{ppm})$


Figure 35. Gain Nonlinearity, $G=100, R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~V}=10 \mathrm{ppm}$ )


Figure 37. Settling Time Test Circuit

Figure 36. Gain Nonlinearity, $G=1000, R_{L}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ ( $1 \mathrm{mV}=100 \mathrm{ppm}$ )

## THEORY OF OPERATION



Figure 38. Simplified Schematic of AD620
The AD620 is a monolithic instrumentation amplifier based on a modification of the classic three op amp approach. Absolute value trimming allows the user to program gain accurately (to $0.15 \%$ at $\mathrm{G}=100$ ) with only one resistor. Monolithic construction and laser wafer trimming allow the tight matching and tracking of circuit components, thus ensuring the high level of performance inherent in this circuit.

The input transistors Q1 and Q2 provide a single differentialpair bipolar input for high precision (Figure 38), yet offer 10× lower input bias current thanks to SuperBeta processing. Feedback through the Q1-A1-R1 loop and the Q2-A2-R2 loop maintains constant collector current of the input devices Q1 and Q2, thereby impressing the input voltage across the external gain setting resistor $R_{G}$. This creates a differential gain from the inputs to the A1/A2 outputs given by $G=(R 1+R 2) / R_{G}+1$. The unity-gain subtractor, A3, removes any common-mode signal, yielding a single-ended output referred to the REF pin potential.

The value of $R_{G}$ also determines the transconductance of the preamp stage. As $R_{G}$ is reduced for larger gains, the transconductance increases asymptotically to that of the input transistors. This has three important advantages: (a) Open-loop gain is boosted for increasing programmed gain, thus reducing gain related errors. (b) The gain-bandwidth product (determined by C1 and C2 and the preamp transconductance) increases with programmed gain, thus optimizing frequency response. (c) The input voltage noise is reduced to a value of $9 \mathrm{nV} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{Hz}$, determined mainly by the collector current and base resistance of the input devices.

The internal gain resistors, R1 and R2, are trimmed to an absolute value of $24.7 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, allowing the gain to be programmed accurately with a single external resistor.

The gain equation is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=\frac{49.4 k \Omega}{R_{G}}+1 \\
& R_{G}=\frac{49.4 k \Omega}{G-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Make vs. Buy: a Typical Bridge Application Error Budget

The AD620 offers improved performance over "homebrew" three op amp IA designs, along with smaller size, fewer components, and $10 \times$ lower supply current. In the typical application, shown in Figure 39, a gain of 100 is required to amplify a bridge output of 20 mV full-scale over the industrial temperature range of $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Table 3 shows how to calculate the effect various error sources have on circuit accuracy.

## AD620

Regardless of the system in which it is being used, the AD620 provides greater accuracy at low power and price. In simple systems, absolute accuracy and drift errors are by far the most significant contributors to error. In more complex systems with an intelligent processor, an autogain/autozero cycle will remove all absolute accuracy and drift errors, leaving only the resolution errors of gain, nonlinearity, and noise, thus allowing full 14-bit accuracy.


Note that for the homebrew circuit, the OP07 specifications for input voltage offset and noise have been multiplied by $\sqrt{ } 2$. This is because a three op amp type in-amp has two op amps at its inputs, both contributing to the overall input error.

Figure 39. Make vs. Buy

Table 3. Make vs. Buy Error Budget

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Error Source} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{AD620 Circuit Calculation} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{"Homebrew" Circuit Calculation} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Error, ppm of Full Scale} \\
\hline \& \& \& AD620 \& Homebrew \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ABSOLUTE ACCURACY at \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) \\
Input Offset Voltage, \(\mu \mathrm{V}\) \\
Output Offset Voltage, \(\mu \mathrm{V}\) \\
Input Offset Current, nA \\
CMR, dB
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 125 \mu \mathrm{~V} / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
\& 1000 \mu \mathrm{~V} / 100 \mathrm{mV} / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
\& 2 \mathrm{nA} \times 350 \Omega / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
\& 110 \mathrm{~dB}(3.16 \mathrm{ppm}) \times 5 \mathrm{~V} / 20 \mathrm{mV}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& (150 \mu \mathrm{~V} \times \sqrt{ } 2) / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
\& ((150 \mu \mathrm{~V} \times 2) / 100) / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
\& (6 \mathrm{nA} \times 350 \Omega) / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
\& (0.02 \% \text { Match } \times 5 \mathrm{~V}) / 20 \mathrm{mV} / 100
\end{aligned}
\] \\
Total Absolute Error
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,250 \\
500 \\
18 \\
791 \\
\\
7,559
\end{array}
\] \& 10,607
150
53
500

11,310 <br>

\hline | DRIFT TO $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- |
| Gain Drift, ppm/ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Input Offset Voltage Drift, $\mu \mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Output Offset Voltage Drift, $\mu \mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (50 \mathrm{ppm}+10 \mathrm{ppm}) \times 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& 1 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 20 \mathrm{mV} \\
& 15 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 100 \mathrm{mV} / 20 \mathrm{mV}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | $100 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Track $\times 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- |
| $\left(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times \sqrt{ } 2 \times 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 20 \mathrm{mV}$ |
| $\left(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 2 \times 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 100 \mathrm{mV} / 20 \mathrm{mV}$ |
| Total Drift Error | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3,600 \\
3,000 \\
450 \\
7,050
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6,000 \\
10,607 \\
150 \\
\\
16,757
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline | RESOLUTION |
| :--- |
| Gain Nonlinearity, ppm of Full Scale Typ 0.1 Hz to 10 Hz Voltage Noise, $\mu \mathrm{V}$ p-p | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40 \mathrm{ppm} \\
& 0.28 \mu \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{p} / 20 \mathrm{mV}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 40 ppm |
| :--- |
| $(0.38 \mu \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p} \times \sqrt{ } 2$ )/20 mV |
| Total Resolution Error | \& | 40 |
| :--- |
| 14 |
| 54 | \& | 40 |
| :--- |
| 27 |
| 67 | <br>

\hline \& \& Grand Total Error \& 14,663 \& 28,134 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

$\mathrm{G}=100, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$.
(All errors are min/max and referred to input.)


Figure 40. A Pressure Monitor Circuit that Operates on a 5 V Single Supply

## Pressure Measurement

Although useful in many bridge applications, such as weigh scales, the AD620 is especially suitable for higher resistance pressure sensors powered at lower voltages where small size and low power become more significant.

Figure 40 shows a $3 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ pressure transducer bridge powered from 5 V . In such a circuit, the bridge consumes only 1.7 mA . Adding the AD620 and a buffered voltage divider allows the signal to be conditioned for only 3.8 mA of total supply current.

Small size and low cost make the AD620 especially attractive for voltage output pressure transducers. Since it delivers low noise and drift, it will also serve applications such as diagnostic noninvasive blood pressure measurement.

## Medical ECG

The low current noise of the AD620 allows its use in ECG monitors (Figure 41) where high source resistances of $1 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ or higher are not uncommon. The AD620's low power, low supply voltage requirements, and space-saving 8-lead mini-DIP and SOIC package offerings make it an excellent choice for batterypowered data recorders.

Furthermore, the low bias currents and low current noise, coupled with the low voltage noise of the AD620, improve the dynamic range for better performance.

The value of capacitor C 1 is chosen to maintain stability of the right leg drive loop. Proper safeguards, such as isolation, must be added to this circuit to protect the patient from possible harm.


Figure 41. A Medical ECG Monitor Circuit

## Precision V-I Converter

The AD620, along with another op amp and two resistors, makes a precision current source (Figure 42). The op amp buffers the reference terminal to maintain good CMR. The output voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$, of the AD620 appears across R1, which converts it to a current. This current, less only the input bias current of the op amp, then flows out to the load.


Figure 42. Precision Voltage-to-Current Converter (Operates on $1.8 \mathrm{~mA}, \pm 3 \mathrm{~V}$ )

## GAIN SELECTION

The AD620's gain is resistor-programmed by $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$, or more precisely, by whatever impedance appears between Pins 1 and 8 . The AD620 is designed to offer accurate gains using $0.1 \%$ to $1 \%$ resistors. Table 4 shows required values of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$ for various gains. Note that for $G=1$, the $R_{G}$ pins are unconnected $\left(R_{G}=\infty\right)$. For any arbitrary gain, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$ can be calculated by using the formula:

$$
R_{G}=\frac{49.4 k \Omega}{G-1}
$$

To minimize gain error, avoid high parasitic resistance in series with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$; to minimize gain drift, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$ should have a low TC-less than $10 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-for the best performance.

Table 4. Required Values of Gain Resistors

| 1\% Std Table <br> Value of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{\Omega})$ | Calculated <br> Gain | $\mathbf{0 . 1 \% \text { Std Table }}$ <br> Value of $\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | Calculated <br> Gain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49.9 k | 1.990 | 49.3 k | 2.002 |
| 12.4 k | 4.984 | 12.4 k | 4.984 |
| 5.49 k | 9.998 | 5.49 k | 9.998 |
| 2.61 k | 19.93 | 2.61 k | 19.93 |
| 1.00 k | 50.40 | 1.01 k | 49.91 |
| 499 | 100.0 | 499 | 100.0 |
| 249 | 199.4 | 249 | 199.4 |
| 100 | 495.0 | 98.8 | 501.0 |
| 49.9 | 991.0 | 49.3 | $1,003.0$ |

## INPUT AND OUTPUT OFFSET VOLTAGE

The low errors of the AD620 are attributed to two sources, input and output errors. The output error is divided by $G$ when referred to the input. In practice, the input errors dominate at high gains, and the output errors dominate at low gains. The total Vos for a given gain is calculated as

Total Error RTI = input error + (output error/G)
Total Error RTO = (input error $\times G)+$ output error

## REFERENCE TERMINAL

The reference terminal potential defines the zero output voltage and is especially useful when the load does not share a precise ground with the rest of the system. It provides a direct means of injecting a precise offset to the output, with an allowable range of 2 V within the supply voltages. Parasitic resistance should be kept to a minimum for optimum CMR.

## INPUT PROTECTION

The AD620 features $400 \Omega$ of series thin film resistance at its inputs and will safely withstand input overloads of up to $\pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$ or $\pm 60 \mathrm{~mA}$ for several hours. This is true for all gains and power on and off, which is particularly important since the signal source and amplifier may be powered separately. For longer time periods, the current should not exceed 6 mA ( $\mathrm{I}_{\text {IN }} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{IN}} / 400 \Omega$ ). For input overloads beyond the supplies, clamping the inputs to the supplies (using a low leakage diode such as an FD333) will reduce the required resistance, yielding lower noise.

## RF INTERFERENCE

All instrumentation amplifiers rectify small out of band signals. The disturbance may appear as a small dc voltage offset. High frequency signals can be filtered with a low pass R-C network placed at the input of the instrumentation amplifier. Figure 43 demonstrates such a configuration. The filter limits the input signal according to the following relationship:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FilterFreq }_{\text {DIFF }}=\frac{1}{2 \pi R\left(2 C_{D}+C_{C}\right)} \\
& \text { FilterFreq }_{C M}=\frac{1}{2 \pi R C_{C}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{D} \geq 10 C_{C}$.
$C_{D}$ affects the difference signal. $C_{C}$ affects the common-mode signal. Any mismatch in $R \times C_{C}$ will degrade the AD620's CMRR. To avoid inadvertently reducing CMRR-bandwidth performance, make sure that $C_{C}$ is at least one magnitude smaller than $C_{D}$. The effect of mismatched $C_{C S}$ is reduced with a larger $C_{D}: C_{C}$ ratio.


Figure 43. Circuit to Attenuate RF Interference

## COMMON-MODE REJECTION

Instrumentation amplifiers, such as the AD620, offer high CMR, which is a measure of the change in output voltage when both inputs are changed by equal amounts. These specifications are usually given for a full-range input voltage change and a specified source imbalance.

For optimal CMR, the reference terminal should be tied to a low impedance point, and differences in capacitance and resistance should be kept to a minimum between the two inputs. In many applications, shielded cables are used to minimize noise; for best CMR over frequency, the shield should be properly driven. Figure 44 and Figure 45 show active data guards that are configured to improve ac common-mode rejections by "bootstrapping" the capacitances of input cable shields, thus minimizing the capacitance mismatch between the inputs.


Figure 44. Differential Shield Driver


Figure 45. Common-Mode Shield Driver

## GROUNDING

Since the AD620 output voltage is developed with respect to the potential on the reference terminal, it can solve many grounding problems by simply tying the REF pin to the appropriate "local ground."

To isolate low level analog signals from a noisy digital environment, many data-acquisition components have separate analog and digital ground pins (Figure 46). It would be convenient to use a single ground line; however, current through ground wires and PC runs of the circuit card can cause hundreds of millivolts of error. Therefore, separate ground returns should be provided to minimize the current flow from the sensitive points to the system ground. These ground returns must be tied together at some point, usually best at the ADC package shown in Figure 46.


Figure 46. Basic Grounding Practice

## AD620

## GROUND RETURNS FOR INPUT BIAS CURRENTS

Input bias currents are those currents necessary to bias the input transistors of an amplifier. There must be a direct return path for these currents. Therefore, when amplifying "floating" input sources, such as transformers or ac-coupled sources, there must be a dc path from each input to ground, as shown in Figure 47, Figure 48, and Figure 49. Refer to $A$ Designer's Guide to Instrumentation Amplifiers (free from Analog Devices) for more information regarding in-amp applications.


Figure 47. Ground Returns for Bias Currents with Transformer-Coupled Inputs


Figure 48. Ground Returns for Bias Currents with Thermocouple Inputs


Figure 49. Ground Returns for Bias Currents with AC-Coupled Inputs

## OUTLINE DIMENSIONS



COMPLIANT TO JEDEC STANDARDS MS-001-BA CONTROLLING DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES; MILLIMETER DIMENSIONS (IN PARENTHESES) ARE ROUNDED-OFF INCH EQUIVALENTS FOR REFERENCE ONLY AND ARE NOT APPROPRIATE FOR USE IN DESIGN. CORNER LEADS MAY BE CONFIGURED AS WHOLE OR HALF LEADS.

Figure 50. 8-Lead Plastic Dual In-Line Package [PDIP]
Narrow Body ( $\mathrm{N}-8$ ).
Dimensions shown in inches and (millimeters)


CONTROLLING DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES; MILLIMETER DIMENSIONS (IN PARENTHESES) ARE ROUNDED-OFF INCH EQUIVALENTS FOR reference only and are not appropriate for use in design

Figure 51. 8-Lead Ceramic Dual In-Line Package [CERDIP] (Q-8) Dimensions shown in inches and (millimeters)


COMPLIANT TO JEDEC STANDARDS MS-012AA
CONTROLLING DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS; INCH DIMENSIONS (IN PARENTHESES) ARE ROUNDED-OFF MILLIMETER EQUIVALENTS FOR REFERENCE ONLY AND ARE NOT APPROPRIATE FOR USE IN DESIGN

Figure 52. 8-Lead Standard Small Outline Package [SOIC]
Narrow Body (R-8)
Dimensions shown in millimeters and (inches)

## AD620

ORDERING GUIDE

| Model | Temperature Range | Package Option ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD620AN | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | N-8 |
| AD620ANZ ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | N-8 |
| AD620BN | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{N}-8$ |
| AD620BNZ ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | N-8 |
| AD620AR | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R-8 |
| AD620ARZ ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R-8 |
| AD620AR-REEL | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 13" REEL |
| AD620ARZ-REEL2 | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 13" REEL |
| AD620AR-REEL7 | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 7" REEL |
| AD620ARZ-REEL7 ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 7" REEL |
| AD620BR | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R-8 |
| AD620BRZ ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R-8 |
| AD620BR-REEL | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 13" REEL |
| AD620BRZ-RL ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 13" REEL |
| AD620BR-REEL7 | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 7" REEL |
| AD620BRZ-R7 ${ }^{2}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 7" REEL |
| AD620ACHIPS | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Die Form |
| AD620SQ/883B | $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Q-8 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}=$ Plastic DIP; Q = CERDIP; R = SOIC.
${ }^{2} Z=$ Pb-free part.

## Result of EMG:

From diabetic foot ulcer patient:
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From diabetic patient:
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## From normal patient:
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[^0]:    $\sqrt{\text { ROHS COMPLIANT }}$

    * Sensor will require an adapter/extender to connect to the ELF System. Contact your Tekscan representative for assistance.
    **Length does not include pins. Please add approximately 6 mm ( 0.25 in .) for pin length for a total length of approximately 32 mm ( 1.25 in ).
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