

Enhanced Variants of Crow Search Algorithm Boosted with Cooperative Based Island Model for Global Optimization

Thaer Thaher^d, Alaa Sheta^e, Mohammed Awad^d, Mohammed Aldasht^f

^a *Department of Computer Systems Engineering, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine*

^b *Computer Science Department, Southern Connecticut State University, CT 06515, USA*

^c *Department of Computer Engineering, Palestine Polytechnic University, Hebron, Palestine*

Email addresses: thaer.thaher@aaup.edu (Thaer Thaher), shetaa1@southernct.edu (Alaa Sheta), mohammed.awad@aaup.edu (Mohammed Awad), Mohammed@ppu.edu (Mohammed Aldasht)

Enhanced Variants of Crow Search Algorithm Boosted with Cooperative Based Island Model for Global Optimization

Thaer Thaher^d, Alaa Sheta^e, Mohammed Awad^d, Mohammed Aldasht^f

^d Department of Computer Systems Engineering, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine

^e Computer Science Department, Southern Connecticut State University, CT 06515, USA

^f Department of Computer Engineering, Palestine Polytechnic University, Hebron, Palestine

Abstract

The Crow Search Algorithm (CSA) is a swarm-based metaheuristic algorithm that simulates the intelligent foraging behaviors of crows. While CSA effectively handles global optimization problems, it suffers from certain limitations, such as low search accuracy and a tendency to converge to local optima. To address these shortcomings, researchers have proposed modifications and enhancements to CSA's search mechanism. One widely explored approach is the structured population mechanism, which maintains diversity during the search process to mitigate premature convergence. The island model, a common structured population method, divides the population into smaller independent sub-populations called islands, each running in parallel. Migration, the primary technique for promoting population diversity, facilitates the exchange of relevant and useful information between islands during iterations. This paper introduces an enhanced variant of CSA, called Enhanced CSA (ECSA), which incorporates the cooperative island model (iECSA) to improve its search capabilities and avoid premature convergence. The proposed iECSA incorporates two enhancements to CSA. Firstly, an adaptive tournament-based selection mechanism is employed to choose the guided solution. Secondly, the basic random movement in CSA is replaced with a modified operator to enhance exploration. The performance of iECSA is evaluated on 53 real-valued mathematical problems, including 23 classical benchmark functions and 30 IEEE-CEC2014 benchmark functions. A sensitivity analysis of key iECSA parameters is conducted to understand their impact on convergence and diversity. The efficacy of iECSA is validated by conducting an extensive evaluation against a comprehensive set of well-established and recently introduced meta-heuristic algorithms, encompassing a total of seventeen different algorithms. Significant differences among these comparative algorithms are established utilizing statistical tests like Wilcoxon's rank-sum and Friedman's tests. Experimental results demonstrate that iECSA outperforms the fundamental ECSA algorithm on 82.6% of standard test functions, providing more accurate and reliable outcomes compared to other CSA variants. Furthermore, Extensive experimentation consistently showcases that the iECSA outperforms its comparable algorithms across a diverse set of benchmark functions.

Keywords: Crow search algorithm, Island model, Tournament selection, Population diversity, Metaheuristics

1. Introduction

2 Optimization algorithms encompass a broad range of methods, including deterministic, evolu-
3 tionary, and swarm-based techniques Cao et al. (2019b). These algorithms are designed to address

Email addresses: thaer.thaher@aaup.edu (Thaer Thaher), shetaa1@southernct.edu (Alaa Sheta), mohammed.awad@aaup.edu (Mohammed Awad), Mohammed@ppu.edu (Mohammed Aldasht)

4 objective functions, which can be categorized as single-objective or multi-objective Lu et al. (2023b)
5 or many-objective Cao et al. (2020b). Additionally, various forms of optimization, such as robust
6 optimization and distributed optimization Li et al. (2022), have been developed to cater to specific
7 requirements and challenges in the field of optimization. Combinatorial optimization problems, in-
8 cluding scheduling, routing, and decision problems, are predominantly classified as NP-complete or
9 NP-hard problems Cao et al. (2020a); Duan et al. (2023); Lv et al. (2022). Traditional mathematical
10 programming techniques are inadequate for solving these problems Wu (2016); Lu et al. (2023a).
11 Conventional mathematical programming methodologies find themselves inadequately prepared to
12 effectively handle the intricacy and non-linearity inherent in present-day optimization cases Luan
13 et al. (2022); Xiao and Konak (2016). The complex inter-dependencies and high-dimensional spaces
14 encountered in real-world situations frequently result in sub-optimal solutions when employing tra-
15 ditional methods Cao et al. (2019a). In order to surpass these constraints, innovative optimization
16 methodologies, including evolutionary algorithms and metaheuristic methods, have gained promi-
17 nence due to their capability to traverse intricate solution landscapes and uncover solutions that
18 are nearly optimal Heidari et al. (2022). These advanced techniques utilize stochastic processes and
19 strategies based on population-based search principles, thus solidifying their status as indispensable
20 tools for addressing the diverse and intricate challenges posed by contemporary optimization problems
21 Zhang et al. (2022a); Xu et al. (2023). Stochastic optimization techniques, such as metaheuristics
22 (MHs), have emerged as powerful alternatives Valdez et al. (2017a). MHs are approximation algo-
23 rithms that find near-optimal solutions within a reasonable computational time Zhang et al. (2022b).
24 They are problem-independent and adaptable to various domains, including image segmentation,
25 feature selection, machine learning optimization, fault diagnosis, economic local dispatch problems,
26 and global optimization, which these works proposed by Zhao et al. (2023); Thaher et al. (2022a,b);
27 ling Chen et al. (2014); Yu et al. (2021); Al-Betar et al. (2022); Wang et al. (2021)

28 MHs follow a fundamental principle: they generate random solutions or populations and itera-
29 tively improve them using stochastic mathematical operators until a termination condition is met
30 Heidari et al. (2017); Zheng et al. (2022); Wang et al. (2023). Among MHs, population-based ap-
31 proaches have gained popularity due to their effective performance Heidari et al. (2019a). These
32 approaches strike a balance between global search (exploration) and local search (exploitation), re-
33 ducing the effective search space by efficiently exploring promising regions Zhang et al. (2022c).
34 Well-regarded MHs should possess this balance to avoid getting stuck in local optima Boussaïd et al.
35 (2013); Valdez et al. (2017b).

36 Population-based MHs can be categorized into four major groups based on their inspiration:
37 evolutionary-based, physics-based, human-based, and swarm intelligence (SI) algorithms Mirjalili
38 and Lewis (2016). Examples of these categories include Genetic Algorithms (GA) Holland (1992),
39 Particle Swarm Optimizer (PSO) Tian et al. (2022), Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) Rashedi
40 et al. (2009), Teaching Learning Based Optimization (TLBO) Rao et al. (2011), and Whale Optimiza-
41 tion Algorithm (WOA) Mirjalili and Lewis (2016). Recently, innovative MHs inspired by nature have
42 emerged, incorporating well-known natural processes into numerical simulations. These algorithms
43 effectively address problems by avoiding local minima, accelerating convergence, and providing accu-
44 rate solutions Muazu et al. (2022). The Crow Search Algorithm (CSA) is a nature-inspired algorithm
45 that has gained significant attention. It has been successfully applied in various engineering appli-
46 cations, including DNA fragment assembly, image processing, feature selection, power distribution
47 network optimization, and economic load dispatch Hussien et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2022).

48 The CSA is a nature-inspired, SI-based algorithm that mimics the intelligent foraging behaviors
49 of crows. It was designed by Askarzadeh (2016) after observing the remarkable memory capacity of
50 crows during food search and hiding Askarzadeh (2016). Compared to its competitors, the CSA offers
51 several advantages. It is relatively simple to implement, has a shorter run-time, involves fewer math-

52 ematical equations and control settings, and exhibits strong space exploration capabilities Zhao et al.
53 (2023); Qu and Fu (2019). Moreover, it incorporates a built-in control strategy that automatically
54 switches between exploration (diversification) and exploitation (intensification) phases. However, the
55 fundamental CSA algorithm has three major flaws. Firstly, it employs fixed awareness probability
56 and flight length values, limiting its adaptability Necira et al. (2022). Secondly, it adopts a single-
57 mode searching mechanism, where each individual conducts a random search within a sector area
58 defined by its current position, the historical ideal position (memory location) of other individuals,
59 and their value differences. This approach restricts the crow’s flying activity, reducing flexibility and
60 mobility Qu and Fu (2019). Thirdly, while the CSA performs well in exploration, it struggles in
61 exploitation. The primary CSA relies on random individuals and probabilities to guide the search
62 process, overlooking the significance of optimal solutions in population evolution. Consequently, the
63 CSA shares flaws common to other swarm intelligence algorithms, such as premature convergence,
64 low search accuracy, and susceptibility to local optima. These issues become particularly challeng-
65 ing in multi-dimensional optimization problems Qu and Fu (2019). To address these shortcomings,
66 researchers have enhanced the CSA by hybridizing it with other optimization techniques, aiming to
67 improve its performance Hussien et al. (2020).

68 Structured population models, such as the island model, have been proposed to improve the con-
69 vergence speed and behavior of evolutionary algorithms (EAs) den Heijer and Eiben (2013); Lim
70 (2014). These models partition the population into sub-populations, allowing individuals to coop-
71 erate and maintain diversity. Island-based MHs, where each island explores a distinct region of the
72 search space and periodically exchanges information with other islands, have demonstrated excellent
73 performance in solving optimization problems in works proposed by Al-Betar et al. (2017); Abed-
74 alguni et al. (2019); Abed-alguni and Barhoush (2018); Al-Betar and Awadallah (2018); Awadallah
75 et al. (2020); Kushida et al. (2013a); Pais et al. (2014); Thaher and Sartawi (2020); Gozali and
76 Fujimura (2019/03).

77 In this study, we propose an island-based Enhanced Crow Search Algorithm (iECSA) that com-
78 bines the advantages of the CSA and the island-based MHs. In summary, our motivation for choosing
79 CSA as our approach was driven by its biological inspiration, the need for addressing CSA’s limita-
80 tions, the continuity of research efforts, the opportunity for comparative analysis, and the desire to
81 enhance both exploration and exploitation capabilities. The iECSA algorithm aims to address the
82 limitations of the CSA by introducing an adaptive tournament selection-based guided mechanism, a
83 multi-mode searching mechanism, and a balanced exploration-exploitation strategy. By incorporat-
84 ing the island model, the iECSA algorithm enhances the search process by maintaining population
85 diversity and utilizing parallel computation. In the following sections, we present the details of the
86 iECSA algorithm and evaluate its performance on various optimization problems.

87 This paper introduces an enhanced variant of the CSA, called ECSA, which is further reinforced
88 with an island-based model. The proposed island-based ECSA (iECSA) demonstrates superior ac-
89 curacy and convergence speed when handling various benchmark test sets with real-valued solutions.
90 The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- 91 • To accelerate the convergence speed of the CSA, we introduce an adaptive tournament-based
92 operator that selects the guided solution for each crow. This operator enhances the exploita-
93 tion potential and introduces additional diversification trends, thereby improving the overall
94 convergence performance.
- 95 • We employ a modified random movement operator that takes into account the optimal solution
96 obtained so far, the average position of the population, and a randomly scaled component. This
97 strategy further enhances the exploitation potential and introduces diversification, resulting in
98 improved convergence performance.